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Georgetown Captures All Honors In Moot Court National Finals

By DAN MORRISSEY
Georgetown's varsity advocates swept to a super-victory last month in the National Moot Court Competition. Randy Mott (74) of GULC was named best advocate of the team and President of the Georgetown team of Sally Helms (74), Joel Kleinman (74) and Mott took first prize both for best team argument and best brief.

The Georgetown team, selected as average A-grade school by the University of Miami in last year's final round, worked its way to the national competition in New York by besting four local schools in the Pittsburgh regionals held in early November. At New York, GULC defeated North Carolina, Oregon, Texas Tech. and Wayne State to earn the right to meet the University of Texas in the finals on December 13. 135 law schools participated in the nationwide competition sponsored by the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

POLLUTION CASE
Helm, Mott and Kleinman poured over three months of exhausting research and practice into Heller Smelter Inc. v. Peet et al., an environmental class action. When Georgetown was assigned to defend the company in the final round, Helm and Mott faced a three-judge panel presided over by Mr. Justice Potter Stewart. The two advocates on each team were given 15 minutes each to make their arguments. The distinguished panel also included Stanley H. Field, Chief Judge of the New York Court of Appeals, Joseph Weintraub, the Chief Judge of the Supreme Court of New Jersey and Bernard Botwin, former Presiding Justice of the First Appellate Division of the New York Supreme Court.

LETTER
In a December 16 letter to Edward Bennett Williams, Judge Botwin wrote: "I am full of admiration for your ability and integrity. Last night I sat as one of the judges...in the final of the national Moot Court Competition. Georgetown won with superb arguments and I do not believe that the two members of its team. But more than that, it also achieved something. I am nerved in the many times I have sat as a judge in these competitions--it also won first place for the excellence of its brief and one of my teams won first prize for the best oral presentation.

"One can consider that 135 law schools participated in this competition, such a combination of the excellence of the Georgetown and the quality of its team."

Georgetown beat Texas and the other schools with better preparation. We have divided work on the brief and the argument among different members. Horts, Kleinman and Mott researched, wrote, and argued their brief together. Georgetown's success sprang from its in-depth analysis of the problem and total exploration of the unknowns, studying the issues and subissues. Mott, a student of medical jurisprudence, staffed the division, working during the day at the Environmental Protection Agency as part-time divison, working during the day at the Environmental Protection Agency and took special care to research legislative hearings on the statutes in question and to check the EPA's statistics on a key issue, the amount of sulfuric acid emitted into a city's air on the health of individuals.

The expanded faculty proposal is "now before a budget review committee," said Monday. A final decision will not be made by the Board of Directors until University President Robert Helms, S.J., presents his overall budget to the Board at their February 1 meeting, Kelly said.

Dean Adrian Fisher said he hopes to have "some indication" on the proposal before the meeting. "The sooner we know the better, people are considering other offers." But according to one source, the delay has already jeopardized faculty recruiting. Because of the uncertain budget situation the Law Center was unable to offer a formal contract to a specialist in state and local government, Betty Levia. Ms. Long has accepted a position at Duke University Law School.

"Dean Fisher stood up as best he could," said a professor, "but the University has hamstrung us again. We're like a dangling yo-yo."

The professor said the delayed faculty request and the cost audit are "interrelated problems, unless the
Same Old Song

As the second semester begins, it is astonishing to realize that the same problems which beset Georgetown last semester continue to persist. On a seemingly endless number of issues, GULC and main campus administrators have consistently reacted in the same fashion: They immediately promise a full investigation, complete disclosure, student input, and a favorable disposition in the near future. Instead, most decisions are made behind closed doors and remedial measures are implemented only after needless delay at all.

At the beginning of last term, we reported that Professor Greenbaum had been named as the interim Associate Dean. His appointment was to last until such time as a committee composed of students, faculty, and administration could select a permanent Associate Dean. To date, that screening committee has never been appointed, nor has there been any word about either a new appointment or a naming of Professor Greenbaum as permanent Associate Dean.

In an issue of the Law Weekly early last semester, we noted that ridiculous long lines to reserve student parking spaces were unfair and we suggested that the lineup system should be changed. Student activities and we were assured that the in-house parking this term would be on a day-by-day basis. Without a word of notice, the parking lineup system was used again this term, with all its patent inequities and sleep-ins to reserve spaces.

The most significant issue to affect students last term was the disclosure that perhaps as much as 1 million excess GULC tuition money had been diverted to main campus. After this announcement in October, we were guaranteed that this would be investigated, although figures would not be available until January. The figures, of course, have not been released. The Hilltop now reports that official figures may not be released for several more weeks.

Closest related to the tuition drain was our reporting last term that GULC had one of the worst student-faculty ratios of any major law school in the country. We were promised five new faculty members who, although not nearly enough, would help to eliminate the chronic overcrowding in many classes. As the new term began, the approval of these new appointments was bogged down in the endless maze of administrative red tape. As a result, GULC has reportedly lost some leading prospects to other law schools.

We wonder when all of this procrastination and evasion will end. We wonder when crucial issues can finally be resolved openly and in good faith. We wonder if we will no longer be treated like little children who ask questions, the answers to which they would not be able to understand.

79 Win Insomnia Sweepstakes

BY MARSHALL WHITENSON

Remember last Tuesday at GULC, when there were all those strange looking law students lurking about, with skunked, red-stained eyes and black smiles? They were the winners of Georgetown’s third semi-annual vigil and under-ground parking spaces for full-time students. This new traditional race for space began around noon on Monday (compared to approximately 7 p.m. the day before last term). By 4:45 p.m. there were 79 students waiting, one of each of the spaces available for full-time students. This new traditional race for space began around noon on Monday (compared to approximately 7 p.m. the day before last term). By 4:45 p.m. there were 79 students waiting, one of each of the spaces available for full-time students.

As evening passed into night, few found they could study. For the less dedicated, there was a football match with the telephone booths as the goal points, a six-hour movie (won by Jay Gordon around 2 a.m.), and the color tube with Henry VIII and later, a new TV show based on substantial and home movies that was a disaster—it just wasn’t up to Par. (Boo.)

Rejection Letter

Lauds Interview

That Never Was

Sir:

I was a participant in the recent GRIP interview program. Although I was scheduled in both San Francisco and Chicago, I attended only the former and cancelled the Chicago interviews to pursue opportunities elsewhere. However, I received yesterday the enclosed letter from the law firm of Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz in Chicago expressing their enjoyment in our discussions at the GRIP sessions andexpressing their regrets at their inability to offer me employment. This has served to confirm what I have believed for some time, namely that the better firms are interested only in people with many years of experience and that the typical rejection letters do not come at all in what they say. (See the recent article in Articles Book on this point.)

I would appreciate the efforts that you and the others made to get me into this area under the GRIP concept.

Sincerely,

Thomas S. Renk
Evening Division ’73

Letters to the Editor

Lerner’s Knocks Gilbert’s; Pushes Its Own Product

Dear Mr. Renk,

I enjoyed meeting and talking with you when you were recently in Chicago. We very much appreciate the efforts that you and the others made to get me into this area under the GRIP concept. We are not in a position to offer you a job when you finish your spring term only because of the limited number of openings which we had. If our situation changes, I will get in touch with you.

Sincerely,

Henry M. Thulen

As the wee hours of the morning crawled by, with bodies in bedrolls strewn about the front of the student lounge, Synthas with his broom shook his head in weariness at the prospect of eternal toil to try to clean up after the students’ litter in the lounge. Throwing away the early morning chill could only be the fatigue and exhaustion which followed another night after night. As evening passed into night, few found they could study. For the less dedicated, there was a football match with the telephone booths as the goal points, a six-hour movie (won by Jay Gordon around 2 a.m.), and the color tube with Henry VIII and later, a new TV show based on substantial and home movies that was a disaster—it just wasn’t up to Par. (Boo.)

Our thanks to salmon. More serious, most students regular require some rest. Yet, as the tension built, many were left in such a state.

The last are so personal:

1. I’ll take you on 3
2. 3, W
3. W
4. T
less than 3
less than 2
less than 1
less than 0
less than 1
less than 0

For the next week, take a break and return. Your daily pile of papers will build up, but you can always catch up. And, it will be a good opportunity to reflect on your past experiences and get ready for the next semester.

Lerner’s Knocks Gilbert’s; Pushes Its Own Product

As a harbored, harbored first year student, I am barely afforded the luxury of taking time off from my pre-arranged schedule for the purposes of self-expression or emotional release. My brief venture over to Lerner’s Law Book Store, however, places me in the position of either seeking some verbal release or having my emotional drive forced to consider becoming an intellectual limiter.

I walked over to the branch of the illustrious book vendor conveniently located next to the Law Center with the intent of purchasing a Gilbert’s in a particular subject whose name I shall not mention (having been officially forewarned against purchasing any "prepared outlines" in that subject area). Raising my voice, so that it might be heard over the whirl of the electric spy-camera sur

Contended on page 4
For the private investor, one of the most important considerations is the broker's ability to maintain a satisfactory broker.

Stock investors, especially Registered Representatives must pass a test (reputed to be easier than most bar exams), not violate various laws and regulations, and not otherwise mislead or deceive anxious investors. These requirements, so mediocre brokers are easy to find.

Yes, for the serious investor, he is an important consideration. Against the associated brokerage firm, broker's experience, his registered validation, is not very stringent requirements, so mediocre brokers are easy to find.

Yet, for the serious investor, he is an important consideration. For the associated brokerage firm, broker's experience, his registered validation, is not very stringent requirements, so mediocre brokers are easy to find.

For the serious investor, he is an important consideration. For the associated brokerage firm, broker's experience, his registered validation, is not very stringent requirements, so mediocre brokers are easy to find.

For the serious investor, he is an important consideration. For the associated brokerage firm, broker's experience, his registered validation, is not very stringent requirements, so mediocre brokers are easy to find.

As for my old recommendations: Dictaphone has been up more than those on your side, down, and still has room to travel. Helen Curtis is about even and still has speculative possibilities, but could be a bunk steer. Union Carbide has been flat and still up 3+. It's still a clean, B&P (after a short) is off 2 and still could have some downside play. If you have thought it over again in the absence of more bad news, you may be right that the market will recover kin a major way, and just be more selective in your buying.

A most conservative ten-year bull market with many ups and downs, and a likely to have more savvy and more patience for the idiosyncrasies most customers

1. Deal with a reputable firm. This is particularly important if you are trading and will have to maintain their confidence.

2. TAKE YOUR TIME. Talk to several brokers and compare them.

3. Watch them deal with other customers and their peers.

4. Listen. Don't pretend to do more or less than you are.

5. I prefer older, established people. They are less likely to speculate and have a longer permanence for the same reason as well as likely to have more savvy and more patience for the idiosyncrasies most customers

(Continued from page 2)

I为人会的, the sytem was prevented to rule the system, which provided the recipient with the information to maintain the system. We now...
Students Camp in Lounge Seeking Parking

Parking spaces to full time students? The most common offered suggestions included: improve the student union, make the student union bigger, and have more parking spaces.

Steady rain last fall, but failed to take such initiative this term—resulting in.documentation.bure.ass. �

The consensus of the parking ticket line preferred solution as being the most fair and resulting in the maximum use of the student underground parking. Suggestions included charging $50 for the day, and $2 for parking in the basement. One of the problems of implementing this was how to avoid an insurmountable traffic jam of hundreds of students waiting in the entrance concourse of the parking area. Two ideas for solutions were: to have a cop at the entrance and exit inside to ensure that its selected solution is conducted smoothly and fairly. There should be both a waiting list maintained by someone in authority and periodically published via student Union, where either the person or his proxy must be physically present to keep from being evacuated.

1. Security of Interested Students. Although this system is perhaps the simplest, it tried last year and resulted in many unused spaces in the student union. The cars signed up and students from the same car pool could conceivably be assigned separate spaces. This method was felt, did not only result in the poorest utilization of space, but also the poorest measure of real need for the space.

2. First-Come, First-Served. The vast majority of those in line agreed that if the present system of signing up was to be continued, the Law Center should not abridge its responsibilities to ensure that its selected solution is conducted smoothly and fairly. There should be both a waiting list maintained by someone in authority and periodically published via student Union, where either the person or his proxy must be physically present to keep from being evacuated.

At any event, serious ticket vigil. Why is GULC able to offer its day students only 79 spaces? Are the faculty and staff spaces efficiently used? What are the problems in building an adjacent, self-service parking garage, or purchasing a new building? Should the pools be given a preference to students or the general public? These and other questions are made important to the students, and to GULC students and staff, to be taken under consideration by the Law Center in the future.

By HOWARD ITZKOWITZ

Christmas movies—those large, often wholesome PG films produced for the holiday viewing market—are best viewed in the indulgent glow of the season. Two such films, The Grumpy and Judge Roy Bean, pass critical muster in that light as pleasant, not particularly important, escape.

JUDGE ROY BEAN

There are, apparently, two kinds of Paul Newman films: carefully made, tightly acted productions such as Horse Lake and Hud, and those broadly played and produced films like Winning and Hud. Both types have their place, and at least it seems so to a dazzled audience as it witnesses the impossible existence of the Great Showman of yesterday, the performance of the Shenyung Acrobat Troupe is superb.

This reporter has never seen anything like it. He is not able to see such a television production, for the characters are all on the set in one coupons town in the west and it makes it into his personal satire. The plot is absurd, but it is a good time is had by all. The characters even more so, and a good time is had by all. John Huston directed the film, and his direction, or the lack of it, is reminiscent of a film he made about twenty years ago called Beer for the Devil. Despite starred Humphrey Bogard and about a dozen of Hollywood’s most famous stars who actually packed themselves off to the Riviera and made a film. As cinema, it was about halfway along, but it stays up for its entire length. The good nature of Judge Roy Bean, like most made up for any cinematic deficiencies it may (and does) have. It’s not Burt Connoly at all, it’s a small, predictable fun if you’ve seen all your “must” movies for the month.

THEATRE

Chinese Acrobats Outstanding

By ANNE-MARIE PLUMBELL
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Approval of Funds for New Faculty Delayed

Administration is not going to do anything about robbing us and then not giving us anything in return.

Scheduled to be ready by January, the cost accounting study is "running later than anticipated," said Kelly. He invited a "preliminary run" would be available before the end of the month. And even if "it won't be satisfactory," joint work will have to be done, he said, before the statement of indirect costs to the Law Center and other actions of the University will be acceptable.

The final study should determine on a statistical basis what share of general University expenses will be allocated to the Law Center. These include the costs of administrative, academic, health and library services. The test of such statistics and a proportional allocation of costs is central to the continuing controversy over a GULC revenue surplus and "union drain" subsidizing other units of the University.

The purpose of the study, said Kelly, is to develop an indirect cost ratio for the Law Center as a whole to get Federal allocations. The allocation is individual "cost centers," including the Law Center, will be a "by-product of the overall study," he noted.

The $92,000 study is being supported by an indirect cost allowance from the Federal government. It is being conducted by the D.C. accounting firm of Lybrand Long Brothers and Montgomery.

"They've used up all the money in the contract and are anxious to get the study completed," said Kelly.

Meanwhile, Kelly said he would be preparing "manual data" on all direct and indirect expenses but "without all the refinements" of the final computerized study. "It will be in the President's hands by the end of the month." (Last October, Kelly said "if I can produce an earlier estimate of the indirect costs I will.

"I asked if either Kelly's own analysis or the final study will be made public, Kelly replied: "It will depend on whether Father Henle agrees with the concepts underlying the data. He'll have to believe along with Father Ryan and Dean Fisher that the data conveys the allocation in a manner which is acceptable."

Speaking of the Administration's strategy on the cost audit-tuition drain controversy, a professor said, "the longer they delay the better they can diffuse the confrontation." He likened their actions to "squeezing a tomato for its juice without bruising it. Their strategy appears to be: Squeeze us as much as possible, bleed us slowly but stop short of killing us."

"I know some people have said that," acknowledged Kelly, speaking of the accusations of delay, buck-passing and double-talk on the issue. "But if the allocation of all costs of the University is an extremely complex problem. We have to price every building, identify all personnel, associate maintenance costs with staffing. It would be foolish to have to do it on an oversimplified basis.

In a letter to the Law Weekly last October, Professor Sherman Cohn agreed on the difficulty of determining what share of University expenses should be attributed to the Law Center but hoped the cost study would provide some answers. "The report of the study is due by January 1," Cohn wrote. "It should not be allowed to be delayed beyond that time."

Asked about the deadline, Kelly replied: "We said January, not January 1." Cohn was also instrumental along with Drans Fisher and Greenhalgh in proposing the five new faculty members. He is ill this week and was unavailable for comment on the audit and faculty request delays.

The administrator admitted the Law Center is a money-maker for the University. "All law schools tend to produce more income than they spend." But he denied that the Hilltop was shortchanging GULC or that the University has not been meeting the Law Center's budgetary needs.

Along with Dean Fisher, Kelly said he'd "doubts there will be any tuition increase in 1973." The 1973-1974 budget, said Fisher, won't be decided on the basis of the cost audit. "It can't be ultimately resolved before this year's budget is resolved."

Instead, Fisher has been pushing law school needs "as hard as I can. But I haven't forgotten about the audit." He added.

---

DEBITS ON THE LEFT... CREDITS ON THE RIGHT

In what must have been the most courageous act by an university official in 1972, Dean Adrian Fisher held an open meeting with students last month to explain Georgetown's fiscal policy.

---

Lerner Law Book Co., Inc.

136 F Street AND
509 E Street, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001
National 8-5785

NEW & USED STUDENT SUPPLIES

LAW BOOKS
LEGAL FORMS
Placement Work Continues After Fall Semester Rush

BY TOM GOODBOY

Maybe you thought that once the rush of fall job interviews ended, the GULC placement office lapsed into the languor of the third year student with a firm offer from Arnold & Porter. Not so, according to Anna Tucci, Director of Placement, and Ann Krentz, assistant director.

"It's not the cruel crunch you have from September to December," Ms. Tucci admits, looking out of her basement office to the front of the placement service, where there were perhaps a half-dozen students. But the office stays busy, she says, with what she terms the "threefold thrust" of its second semester operation.

First, the office is helping seniors who aren't yet set with a job, and second-year students who don't have a place to work this summer.

TURNOVER TIME

Second, it is "turnover time" among alumni who leave their present jobs, Ms. Tucci says, "after Christmas bonuses," and sometimes seek the placement office's help.

Finally, the office is looking towards next fall's rush of interviews, gathering materials describing GULC, its programs, and its changing grading system. These materials are sent in a packet to about 2,500 potential employers at the start of the summer.

Interviews for third-year students are continuing, and will continue "gradually" until July, says Ms. Tucci. Late interviews are more likely to include small law firms, corporations, and newly-funded government agencies rather than large firms.

"But even some of the larger firms that have a notable deficiency in a particular area will rechuckle," says Ms. Tucci. There's even an occasional student who loses a job he thought he had, and turns to the placement office for help.

One student who took a job with a government agency, at the group's insistence, returned from Christmas vacation to find what Ms. Tucci calls a "Dear John" letter from his employer, informing him that the funds that were to pay him were gone, as was his job.

"A very distasteful Trial by Jury

Twelve chorus members for the jury unless recent decisions require fewer. Struck by the Moot Court Room as the perfect walk-on set for this production, the director envisions a light, flexible rehearsal schedule designed as breaks in study and geared to the requirements of the individual performers. The producers are courting SBA for financial backing with plans to provide the school with pro bono performances. The current run is tentative itself, ready to be adjusted in accordance with enthusiasm and response generated. Trials for all members of the Law Center community are being held on Thursday, January 18, from 7-9 p.m. and on Friday, January 19 and Monday, January 22 from 2-3 p.m. in Room 810A, located on the stage right of the Moot Court Room. People interested are encouraged to attend. Inquiries to the box office at 310-6250.

Inaugural Demonstrations Set

MARCH ON WASHINGTON
Saturday, Jan. 20

U.S.OUT OF SOUTH东 ASIA NOW!
EN D THE BOMBING NOW!

MARCH ON WASHINGTON
Saturday, Jan. 20

U.S. OUT OF SOUTH ASIA NOW!
END THE BOMBING NOW!

THE RIGHT OF PETITION
Seeking to redress one of the long-standing grievances in the history of the Republic, another activity will again gather in Washington to protest the war at a counter-Inaugural this weekend.

MARCH ON WASHINGTON
Saturday, Jan. 20

THE PIPE'S BEARD
a coffee house
on capital hill

a forum for music,
the arts,
discussion,
movies

220 east capital capitol street
(across from the folger library)

beginning in january
fridays 9 - 11
sundays 8 - 11

for more information call gary schroeder
543-4200

SIX

Two
Tuesday
March

31

on the corner
new jersey & mass. avenues, n.w.

washington, d.c.

839-2290

...
Soviet Union Strips Chalidze of Citizenship

(Continued from page 1)

"When you start to talk about human rights in the Soviet Union, people in the West talk about violations of human rights. Where there are no violations of human rights, there is no need to talk about it, since it is the duty of the state to protect human rights. But the public may get the impression that the Soviet Union is a terrible country where human rights are only violated, and nothing else. This is an incorrect impression. The Soviet Union leads a normal life..."

As Dr. Chalidze's host at Georgetown University Law Center in Washington, D.C., we failed to see any lawful reason for the deprivation of Dr. Chalidze's Soviet citizenship. It appears inconceivable to us that the Soviet Government took such drastic actions because of Dr. Chalidze's scholarly lectures here at academic meetings. Since the Soviet Government granted Dr. Chalidze permission to accept Georgetown's invitation to lecture in Washington, D.C., we believe we are entitled to a fuller explanation than has been revealed so far as to the grounds of the Soviet Government's action calling Dr. Chalidze. We request this explanation at the earliest date possible.

Sincerely yours,
Samuel Dash
Director

Hense criticized the Soviet government's actions in the following letter:

His Excellency
Anatoly F. Dobrynin
Ambassador E. and P. of Union of Soviet Socialist Republics
1125 16th St., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

My dear Mr. Ambassador:

Since I was one of the persons who officially invited Dr. Chalidze to lecture in the United States, I feel constrained to write you concerning the action of your government in withdrawing citizenship from this distinguished representative of Soviet intellectual life.

Unfortunately, I was out of the city and later ill at the times Dr. Chalidze was visiting Georgetown. However, everything that I have heard from our faculty and students confirms the view expressed in Professor Dash's letter to you of December 18th.

It is quite clear to all of us that Dr. Chalidze proceeded with the utmost discretion and propriety. In fact had he not radiated a basic sincerity, people might have felt that he was simply defending the Soviet Union against external criticism.

I have criticized my own government in terms much stronger than those used by Dr. Chalidze and I consider myself a very loyal citizen of the United States. It is a great shock to all of us to find the Soviet Government reacting to what is moderate and reasonable criticism in such an arbitrary and outdated manner.

Professor Chalidze's visit to the United States really did a great deal of good to improve our understanding of the Soviet Union and to increase sympathetic feelings. The subsequent action of your Government has pretty well erased the good effects of his lectures. I hope that you will make serious representations to your Government that this action was most ill advised and totally counterproductive in terms of public opinion in the United States.

Very sincerely yours,
R.J. Healy, S.J.
President

Chalidze and his wife Vera are now resident aliens, and they plan to stay in the U.S. indefinitely. He wants to continue his work in the human rights field including studies of prison and mental hospital procedures. Chalidze is now living in New York City. His immediate plans include a lecture tour to major universities, and writing a book about his human rights experiences.

MAN WITHOUT A COUNTRY

Russian civil libertarian Valery Chalidze (left) answers questions at a news conference held at the Law Center one week before his Russian citizenship was revoked for "anti-Soviet conduct." Gulc Prof. Sam Dashi (right) protested the action in a letter to Soviet Ambassador Dobrynin. The man in the middle is Leonid Kricerman, Chalidze's interpreter.

NACRELLI

FEBRUARY 1973 BAR EXAM COURSES

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

SIX WEEK COURSE JAN. 15 - FEB. 21, 1973

Two separate courses will be offered: A Day Course from 1:30 pm to 3:30 pm, and an Evening Course from 6:30 pm to 8:30 pm. Classes will be held daily, Monday through Friday. Students may attend either the day or the evening sessions or alternate at any time, or hear a lecture for the second time. From Feb. 16-21, all classes will meet 6:30 pm to 8:30 pm.

THREE-WEEK COURSE: JAN. 31 - FEB. 21, 1973

Classes will be held Monday through Friday: 1:30 pm to 3:30 pm and 6:30 pm to 8:30 pm. Students attend both sessions daily. From Feb. 16-21, classes will be held from 6:30 pm to 8:30 pm. Students may attend classes prior to Jan. 31 and repeat lectures.

MARYLAND

5-WEEK DAY COURSE: JAN. 15 - FEB. 23, 1973

5-WEEK EVENING COURSE JAN. 22 - FEB. 23, 1973

The Day Course will be held daily, Monday through Friday, 1:30 pm to 3:30 pm until Feb. 8. From Feb. 16-23, classes will be held from 6:30 pm to 8:30 pm.

The Evening Course will be held daily, 6:30 pm to 8:30 pm through February 23. Students may alternate day and evening sessions or hear a lecture for the second time. All students will attend classes on three Wednesdays from 8:30 pm and 6:30 pm to 10:15 pm.

THREE-WEEK COURSE: JAN. 31 - FEB. 123, 1973

Classes will be held Monday through Friday, 1:30 pm to 3:30 pm and 6:30 pm to 8:30 pm; Wednesdays 6:30 pm to 10:15 pm. Students may attend classes prior to Jan. 31 and repeat lectures.

All courses are approved for the payment of veterans' benefits. The tuition of $185 includes a complete set of law summaries on all the subjects covered in the bar examination, a previous Multi-State bar examination and answers, essay-style bar questions and answers, a book of selected factual problems for class study and other materials. The law summaries and questions and answers are yours to keep.

David Among the Goliaths

By Chip Shoshani

It was a real shame. The largest audience for a single sporting event was treated to one of the nondescripts' most boring contests disguised as Super Bowl VII. Both teams apparently set out to "be" their way to victory - a tactic employed by the Redskins with some success during the regular season. Thank heavens for Gary Gamester, who tried to break the game open with a long pass late in the fourth quarter. His presence made the game more exciting for the last two minutes than it had any right to be.

The problem of how to keep the record audience of 75,000 awake and somewhat interested throughout the game fell largely on the shoulders of the two NBC commentators, Curt Gowdy and Al DeRogatis. In the back of their minds had to be the fact that some prominent sponsors at Xenia were paying up to $200,000 per minute to underwrite the event. Personally, I was grateful because I found the commercials to be the highlights of the long afternoon.

Neither Gowdy nor DeRogatis ("DeRo," as I am told he is called by football fans) happens to be a favorite of mine. In the slight chance that you might come across any pronouncements for the all-time best announcing team are two men who never actually worked together. They are Len Krieger, who did the play-by-play for some of the first years of the American Football League, and Gowdy's late, great Paul Christman, who had an uncanny sense for calling the big play without being obvious about it.

But both Gowdy and "DeRo" did a better than average job calling a less than average game. Gowdy had obviously done his homework. At times he was almost incredibly redundant in his key plays as they unfolded. Yet, overall his usual smoothness did seem to go well with the tone of the game. And he can be forgiven his one error, which came late in the game when he described the Dolphin safety Jake Scott being hit by the Rams after a key interception with the observation - "A great play by Scott, whose mother's name, I understand, is a psychiatrist!" Now I was left to ponder the meaning of that comment. Maybe Gowdy was suggesting that Scott needed a long session with his mother after nearly being decapitated earlier in the game when he fumbled a punt in the middle of a crowd but then Gowdy had called that play a perfect punt. Well, figure it out for yourself.

Gowdy did come up with the day's big scoop when he revealed prior to the game that the Redskins had gambled on all to win in the Los Angeles Bowl for three days prior to the Super Bowl. The Redskins' strategy was simple: go for it all.

DeRogatis was obviously working hard to keep himself in check. Usually he is a font of interesting and predictions about what would happen next and by whom. When he hits his stride, his passion comes through and I like it. But, on the other hand, this game was a shocker and it must have been tough for him to contain himself.

Curiously again, Exxon scored only four points in the crucial second half, and they were the results of two foul line jumpers. Hmm, the other announced scoring pattern for Exxon was five points, plus, and they were the results of two foul line jumpers. Hmmm. But according to Exxon, the professor who wrote the report, Dean that firm, offers...