New Journal Will Discuss Legal Ethics

In the spring of 1986, the faculty of GULC voted to create the Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics which will be devoted exclusively to issues of legal ethics and professional responsibility. A GULC professor and faculty advisor to the journal, Father Robert Drinan, SJ, proposed the establishment of the journal to provide a forum of debate among academics and practitioners. "Controversies generated are the honest debate between people in good faith who want to become good lawyers. This law journal is devoted to that ongoing dialogue." Drinan concluded in saying, "People are looking for a forum where all of these things can be discussed. Georgetown is going to be it!" Faculty support has been enthusiastic. According to Dean Judith Areen, "On most issues the faculty are not of one mind. However, in this case the majority wanted the journal to be published as shown by their votes." Areen attributed any skepticism not to this journal in particular, but to a question of whether Georgetown's resources are able to support not just another journal, but a good journal. "I am enthusiastic because I have an instinct that Georgetown is the place to publish this journal and do it well. If faculty are to write articles to be published, in the first and second issue of the journal. Anticipated authors include: Geoffrey Hazard, writing on corporate ethics; Thomas Ehrlich, writing on comparative ethical problems among all professions; Bernard Wolfman, writing on lawyers' ethical problems with the IRS; Norman Redlich, writing on the commercialization of the legal profession; and Ronald D. Rotunda, author of the textbook on professional responsibility. It is likely that several of these authors will serve as advisory board members on future issues of the journal.

The student editorial board is currently cementing the administration of the journal. The staff will be selected through a write-on competition which will be open to all second and third year students. Students who are already members of other journals will be allowed to hold concurrent memberships. Write-on materials will be available at the Kiosk from 12-8 p.m. on Sept. 10 & 11. Students will have the option of writing either an open essay on a legal ethics issue or a closed packet case comment. Competition papers will be due on Sept. 22.

First year students learn about the many activities and organizations at GULC at the annual club fair last week.

WELCOME BACK
GULC COMMUNITY

BEST WISHES TO
THE CLASSES OF
1989 AND 1990
"Having Fun"

It is difficult writing a "back to school" editorial which avoids the numerous clichés that usually appear. While this editorial may avoid some of the more common ones, it will include some of our own. So here goes! A wise woman was once asked by her children if they would have a good time on their vacation. Her reply was, "Take your good time with you." We think that is appropriate advice for us all. We recognize that law school is primarily work, but unless a student takes a little time for their own sanity, things can get out of hand, therefore, we suggest that each student try to establish a routine that allows for recreation or relaxation.

Another suggestion is that students should realize that there are opportunities to get involved in activities at GULC. These things allow students to take control of their own destinies. This fact is important to remember because all too often students are passive, and allow circumstances at law school to overwhelm them.

Along those lines, there is one organization that might fill the needs of some GULC students, The LAW WEEKLY. By becoming involved in the Fourth Estate at GULC a student will learn all there is to know about what really goes on at this institution, while working with some great people. Those that write for The LAW WEEKLY clearly improve their writing skills, and sometimes their investigative abilities as well. This year we hope to expand our coverage of legal affairs, while maintaining our excellent internal affairs reporting. And of course we continue to publish a variety of opinion and commentary pieces.

In addition to our writing staff we can always use editors, photographers, etc. Furthermore, we are open to any creative ideas students bring to us.

So in conclusion, please stop by our office at 1B-7 and talk to us. We’ll be having the first of our free food and drink receptions very soon, so be prepared. First years are welcome, so join us for a good time and valuable learning experience. And remember what another wise woman once said, "make your own sunshine."
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Letters to the Editor

The Price of Coffee

There is nothing quite so wonderful as the sweet smell of coffee brewing in the morning. Even those who do not imbibe can appreciate the delicate aroma of the freshly ground beans. But until recently, there was no need to wish, that they too were possessed of the inclination to indulge. At its exquisite best, coffee is each meal’s non-pareil nectar. A cup of French Roast with breakfast; a cup made with Colombian beans soaked in almond liqueur following dinner; coffee with a dash of real cream.

But beyond mere taste, coffee serves a dual purpose. Contained within the bean is the alkaloid: caffeine. Caffeine acts as an organic stimulus when coffee is consumed. The resulting bodily response is a temporary increase in alertness.

Students have, for years, utilized coffee as a means to assist and even support, class and study attentiveness. The typical image of a student studying late into the night, a single light burning above, books piled around in heaps, a pencil in one hand and a cup of coffee in the other, is particularly relevant to law school. Coffee is a tool for students, as basic in use as an alarm clock or the look that catches a professor’s eye.

Businesses have recognized the value of coffee in keeping employees active. Coffee is provided, sometimes free, often at a greatly reduced rate. Even in a restaurant, a single payment will often bring as many cups as one chooses to consume. Why then, does our own GULC cafeteria continue to charge the absurd rate of 60 cents for each single large cup? (A total of 65 cents with tax.)

A coffee drinking student may have one cup upon arrival at GULC, another between morning and afternoon classes, and a final one as a study break toward evening. For many students this is a modest amount to drink. This modest amount would cost $1.98 a day, $97.5 a week and almost $50 a semester, not including finals when even more coffee is consumed.

Were there a choice the situation might be different. But the coffee in the vending machine is just as high or higher in price, isn’t available in a large size and doesn’t taste as good. What few nearby restaurants there are that serve coffee and don’t serve drunk are too time-consuming to go to. One tends to lose the desire to study should he or she wander too far from where the books are kept. With continued blind patronizing of cafeteria coffee, we are supporting what is essentially a monopoly, detrimental to, at least, a small part of our success as students.

Not that the cafeteria is entirely to blame. There seems to have been no colossal objection to prices (other than this one). The cost appears to have been determined by what the GULC coffee buying market would bear, with innocent disregard to coffee’s ultimate importance.

The cafeteria must also make a certain amount of money off sales. But consider, materials and labor necessary to produce coffee are minimal. The 50 or 55 cents profit per large cup is hardly fair.

An improved system of sales balancing student and cafeteria needs would seem to be in order. Below are four proposed methods to achieve that balance:

1. Reduce the price of coffee in all sizes to near actual cost.

2. Allow the small size cup to be refillable, free.

3. Reduce rates for those who bring their own cup.

4. Sell a "GULC Cafeteria Cup" at a premium, one time price of $5.00 or so, which would be refillable free or at a very reduced rate whenever it is brought back throughout the semester.

None of the four methods are difficult to implement and all would, hopefully, alleviate the monetary strain and emotional anguish felt at paying 65 cents for one measly cup.

CHARLES D. ROBERTSON

Ask the Dean:

The Law Weekly and Dean Robert Pitosky invite GULC students to submit questions for the Dean on administrative topics, class curiosities and seemingly answerless inquiries.

Questions should be submitted to the Law Weekly office at Room 1B-7 or through campus mail. The questions and the Dean’s responses will be published monthly.

Publication Guidelines

The guidelines for publication in the Law Weekly for the 1985-86 year are as follows:

1. All copy must be TYPEWRITTEN, DOUBLE-SPACED.

2. All copy must be submitted no later than 6 p.m. on Thursday.

3. RES PENDENS: must be typed on a 44 character line

4. ALL OTHER COPY: must be typed on a 60 character line

Submission does not guarantee immediate publication; the Editors also reserve the right to reject copy, or to edit it for reasons of length or taste.
Commentary

Back Bay

Drug Testing . . . "Bad Vodka and Good Drugs"

By RAY MARIANI

Welcome back. If you were away from the Big Apple for the summer, you may be unaware of the recent war the United States has become involved in. This time it's a good war—but there are still those who are doing their best to prevent victory. This has happened every time our country has tried and successfully defended itself against a foreign aggressor.

The President's war on drugs is over. The bipartisan support he has received and should continue to receive is admirable. The criticism his detractors have levied upon him for his encouragement of drug testing in the government and in the private sector is troublesome.

The federal government has become involved in constitutional threat searching and see a pattern here.

But this time we're not talking about dirty magazines or individuals under your bed. Drugs are dangerous to society, addicting and against the law.

In the last few years, our society has watched the Soviet Union struggle with the problems of alcoholism. The government there has required liquor stores to cut back on hours of sales, mostly to times of day that are least convenient for workers to make purchases. Although nobody was happy to see the problems unfolding there, many of us were proud that our society had not been affected by such widespread substance abuse. Now we no longer need to look overseas to find drugs and alcohol threatening the productivity, and hence, the very backbone of our proudly capitalist and industrial society.

Each day the front page of major dailies is covered by stories linking individuals from all levels of society to drug use and addiction. Sports figures, entertainers and government workers have all been the subject of investigations and convictions. Too often these inquiries were made after deaths resulting from drug usage. The President has thrown the full weight of his office behind the encouragement of drug testing. Now we need the public to help by cooperating with such programs.

Drug testing has been advocated for those persons in positions of social responsibility. Air traffic controllers, military personnel and other individuals whose decisions at work affect the lives of thousands of persons should be required to undergo drug testing without any reasonable suspicion of usage. The danger of employing persons who may fail to make the right decision at crucial moments because of drug usage outweighs that person's individual right to be free from government sponsored searches without probable cause of wrongdoing.

Should lawyers become the next target group for drug testing? Housekeeping is a dirty business, but the leadership groups of our own profession, such as the ABA, must lead the way if this policy is to be accepted. The lawyer who enters the courtroom to argue the case of the defendant accused of a capital crime certainly bears a tremendous responsibility. There is no question that the life of an innocent or wrongly detained person could depend on his action in the courtroom. Any litigation would be hard-pressed to argue that his right to be free from illegal searches outweighs the value of a human life.

"Perhaps such testing should also extend to other lawyers, those who choose to never enter the courtroom. If we are to hold ourselves out as the virtuous, whose lives have been pledged at the passing of the bar to the enforcement of the laws of our state and our country, can we argue in the alternative that the illegal drug users by the members of the profession must be saved from detection?"

Sociologists warn us about the disintegration of the family unit, indicating a weakness in our society. Will drugs become the second major cause of our nation losing the edge we have fought so long to maintain in technology, peacekeeping and generally setting an example for the world that less developed countries can be proud to follow? Those who oppose drug testing must either think that the drug problem is so much of a threat or that our nation is so short-sighted as to pay no attention to what could easily become a catastrophic problem. Like the other safeguards that the Constitution provides for the protection of liberty through the separation of powers and restraint on government, illegal search arguments against drug testing must yield to the greater interests of enforcing our society as a whole. Failure to resolve the competing interests of individuals and society in this way may render this two hundred year experiment a waste of time.

On the Right

Arms Control . . . "Si vis pacem, para bellum"

By GERMAN SALAZAR

If you want peace, prepare for war. Those words by the Roman author Titus Vegetius Renatus were first published about 391 A.D. in his treatise on military science. They remain as true today as then, and serve to remind us of the external threat that exists for a full and effective commitment to national security.

In recent weeks there has been a renewed interest in the popular press about the possibility of an upcoming "superpower summit" and all the wondrous benefits such high level contact will produce. If they can just get to know each other," we are told, "and end this mutual distrust, we can reach agreement on arms control, and the fear of nuclear war, cooperate in areas of mutual interest," and on and on, spewing forth reams of copy inspired either by ignorance, hopeless naiveté, or complicity with the enemy.

In the area of tactical and strategic nuclear weapons we face far greater impediments to arms limitation treaties than personal discord. The level of American knowledge of Soviet nuclear capabilities is at an all-time low and does not promise to improve. While we have estimates of Soviet ICBMs' mobility, range, and destructive ability, the accuracy of these estimates is very questionable because of the veil of secrecy the Soviet Union is able to maintain over its operations. That veil has two essential layers: the first is the ability of a closed society to restrict the movement of citizens, and especially foreigners, and allow them to see only what the government wants them to see; the second is the Soviet's ability to counteract what limited surveillance apparatus we have been able to deploy. The recent rash of spy cases has brought to light the porosity of our ability to shield secrets from the Soviets. They have gained considerable knowledge of how our surveillance satellites and other devices function and thus have been able to counteract or evade them.

The neutralization of our spy satellites is the death knell of any possible arms treaty. Without verification of compliance a treaty is meaningless, and the Soviet limits on travel and relentless prohibition of on-site inspection leave no other method. Additionally, Soviet strategy places a high emphasis on concealment and mobility, very expensive factors difficult to duplicate in a democracy where military expenditures must fight with many other items for priority, and thus further reduces the value of satellite data. Even critical knowledge of how our surveillance satellites and other devices function and thus have been able to counteract or evade them.

The neutralization of our spy satellites is the death knell of any possible arms treaty. Without verification of compliance a treaty is meaningless, and the Soviet limits on travel and relentless prohibition of on-site inspection leave no other method. Additionally, Soviet strategy places a high emphasis on concealment and mobility, very expensive factors difficult to duplicate in a democracy where military expenditures must fight with many other items for priority, and thus further reduces the value of satellite data. Even critical knowledge of how our surveillance satellites and other devices function and thus have been able to counteract or evade them.

In this, the first issue of the academic year, we would like to extend to our wholehearted welcome to the newcomers in the class of 1989 and a salute to the returning veterans in the classes of 1986 and 1987. As the upperclassmen, we already know, and the incoming students will soon discover, Georgetown, like certain other law schools, is one of the last bastions of liberalism in American society. Despite the country's overwhelming endorsement of conservative policies and ideals in the last few elections, the great bulk of the faculty and student body here remain firmly out of touch with the pulse of Americans across the country. How they intend to serve the needs of people they either don't understand or fundamentally disagree with is a mystery to us.

The conservative movement, however, has thankfully not abandoned law school entirely. Young conservatives at Georgetown, Harvard, Yale, Stanford, Chicago and nearly other law schools have formed the Federalist Society to serve as a forum for the exchange of ideas on policy and politics ranging from local to national and international issues. At the school level, guest speakers and group discussions are the norm while at the national level an annual symposium is held featuring the preeminent members of the conservative judiciary and the administration including William Rehnquist, Antonin Scalia, Richard Posner and Frank Earl. This year the Georgetown chapter hosted a successful event last year; this year Stanford had that honor.
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By GERMAN SALAZAR
"A Tribute to Debbie Posner"

By TERRENECE BERG
CLASS OF 1986
Delivered at Debbie Posner's Memorial Service
Georgetown University Law Center
May 22, 1986
A soft, but very bright light suddenly went dark in our lives when Debbie died this weekend, and we are left unable to see as clearly as we had with the hope of her gentle light. Debbie generated a cher- nery warmth that made our home out of her law review office, and all of us knew her first as a kind and caring friend, and second as a kind of rescuing angel, who seemed able to miraculously resolve or relieve almost any problem, from a malfunctioning printer to a maladjusted love affair.

She plunged her whole heart into her work with us, allowing us to benefit from both her tire- less effort and her boundless generosity. She had a joyful way about her and about her work. A joy that could break through office tension like the summer sun through a closed bank. And everyone on the jour- nal was infected by it.

In the hectic rush of late Feb- ruary, harried law students com- ing into the office would get an unexpected taste of their child- hood and a note of support from Debbie, who every week would leave halloween candy in each student's mailbox. Mundane production sched- ules and deadline notices would briefly be replaced by black and orange festive post- ers, greeting the students with a cheerful "trick or treat."

Likewise, in the bleak of mid- February, students who check- ed their mailboxes at the office would walk out beaming as they read their Valentines from Debbie. Coming into the office you would frequently see her listen- ing intently while a student walked or her hair, about anything from job hassles to suspected pregnancy... We could all see that Debbie's wide eyes bade us welcome, and we were all too willing to enjoy her friendly comfort.

All of us, I believe, have come to think of the previous year that our journal LPIB was something special. We couldn't put our fingers on it, but we knew, and we appreciated it, that our stu- dents' run publication was more like a home than a business, more like a family than a collec- tion of editors and staff.

Only now perhaps can we see that our good fortune was more due to loving effort than to blind chance. Debbie provided a hu- man and giving center to our work with one another. It's im- possible, at least for me, to think of LPIB without thinking of her. But her magnificent capac- ity to give continues to serve us now as it has over these past four years. It gives to us a legacy of kindness and dedication, a constant reminder that the way we treat each other--our ability to laugh and to laugh at ourselves--is more important than meeting deadlines and ex- panding footnotes.

Many of us know that the number of times we have said "thank you" to Debbie is count- less, whether because she helped us to avert some computer-related disaster, or because she showed us com- passion when we were per- sonally troubled or down and out of school.

Now we have the chance to say "thanks Debbie" in a public way, in the presence of God and of each other. We say it in grati- tude for her selfless commit- ment to the project and to each of us, we say it in sadness for knowing that her infectious laughter is now quiet, but final- ly we say thank you with joy for the time she was given to us of how to live and work through a life that shined with love.

Note: Ms. Posner was the Ad- ministrative Assistant for the Journal of Law and Policy in In- ternational Business.

Campus Ministry Offers Refuge to GULC Students

The GULC Campus Ministry team extends heart WELCOME to the Class of 1989/90 and WELCOME BACK to the rest of the GULC community. We want to greet everyone to the Rev. Katherine Eppeeri is no longer with us. The first Pro- testant Chaplain is Ms. Gloria Jackson, a seminarian from Howard University, who will be doing her inter- ship with Georgetown Uni- versity Campus Ministry, and spending at least one day a week here at the Law Center.

Ms. Gloria Jackson's services will be made known as soon as they are available.

Students contemplating mar- riage at Georgetown University chapel are encouraged to plan arrangements well in advance of the proposal date and to each of the chapel's office.

Please feel free to stop by the Campus Ministry office at any-time, to find out how to get to know you. Please let us know if there is any way we can be of better service to you.

Two L Blues?

By JOE BECKMAN

It only took me until halfway through my second class to fall into old habits: I read the sports section first, focusing all my attention on the wisdom my corporations professor was im- parting on myself and 124 other lucky souls. I wasn't really Inter- ested in what the contents of the morning Post were, the first NFL regular season game being over two weeks away, but it was all I could do to keep from fail- ing asleep. Having grown accustomed to doing off in the privacy of my own office all summer long, I felt self- conscious about engaging a few of the people who I hadn't seen all summer. This helped offset the great emotional trauma I ex- perienced as a result of paying out some $5,350 on Friday for the privilege of being mentally abused and intellectually be- littled for the next nine months.

Of course, the main reason I was able to cut with so many people that the powers that be not only demanded an exor- bitant sum of money from us all, but they insisted we wait in interminable lines for the priv- ilege of doing so.

Since I have pretty much res- ignified myself to the fact that there is nothing particularly sexy about law school, I did make the most of this opportuni- ty to renew old friendships. Of course, in order to get anyone to speak to me I had to begin by inviting them to the upcoming

"Dean's-a-Dorkfest 86." (This is a real event renters, tentatively scheduled for Saturday Sep- tember 20, so ask me about it when you see me ... I'm seriously. Naturally, after such a magnificent offer on my part a number of people reciproc- ated by asking about this col- umn. Many even managed to genuinely feign interest when they asked what the title of it would be this year.

This was a potentially sensi- tive inquiry, since many of those who were "curious" had probable cause to believe that I was still in fact a "one L." Fortu- nately for my lifestyle this is not the case, but the shock of suc- cess left even me without a smart-assed reply to questions of this nature. "One L Blues" was a title that was more the creation of my editors last year than any legitimate artistic commitment on my part. I have trouble enough splitting infinities each week without having to worry about giving a name to this garbled group of gerunds.

As a result, I have decided to give you, my reader, the oppor- tunity to enjoy that most sought after of all experiences: revenge. I am hereby and hereby affirm ("herein") an- nouncing the first annual "You read it, so you name it" contest (herein "contest").

Like the party, this contest is a real life event. Consider it your chance to nurture that literary bud inside you waiting to bloss- om, or consider it your chance to finally realize that petty ven- detta you have held against me since I insulted your home- town, but consider doing it. Entries will be accepted at the law weekly office, or may be stuffed in my message folder. If neither of these options suit your interests, perhaps you might put them in an envelope

with my name on it and drop it off at the placement office. I'm sure they would be glad to de- liver it for you.

To finish this column—well the contest rules—off with a flourish, I will add that the win- ner will be announced at the party on September 20. Winner need not be present to claim prize, but bribes, particularly in the form of small, unmarked bills, will certainly increase the individual entrant's chance of winning. An all-expense paid trip to Oktoberfest (not to be confused with Dean's-a- Dorkfest) is rumored to be in the offering, and a "Dorkfest 86" T-shirt is definitely among the numerous rewards awaiting some lucky winner. As the say- ing onelocation day in my home- town goes, "vote early, vote often!" But be sure and cast your ballot.

Welcome back.

Watch This Space...
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OPEN MEETING NOTICE

The University Committee on Investments and Social Responsibility will hold an open meeting to hear the views of the University Community on holding investments in American Corporations doing business in South Africa.

DATE: Tuesday, September 9, 1986
TIME: 8:00 P.M.
PLACE: Room 103 Reiss Science Building
(Main Campus)

GEORGETOWN JOURNAL OF LEGAL ETHICS
proudly announces its
FALL WRITE-ON COMPETITION

OPEN TO ALL 2nd & 3rd yr. STUDENTS
(If you are a journal member you will not be asked to resign your position.)

Option 1: An open packet essay addressing an important ethical dilemma facing the legal community today.
Option 2: A short closed packet case comment.

MATERIALS AVAILABLE
KIOSK: 12-8 p.m.
WED. Sept. 10 and TH. Sept. 11
RES IPSA LOQUITUR

The Pieper New York State — Multistate Bar Review offers an integrated approach to the New York Bar Exam. We emphasize sophisticated memory techniques, essay writing skills and a concise, organized presentation of the law. You will be prepared and confident.

PIEPER NEW YORK - MULTISTATE BAR REVIEW
It Speaks For Itself.
90 Willis Avenue, Mineola, NY 11501   Telephone: (516) 747-4311

EARLY REGISTRATION DISCOUNT TO DECEMBER 1, 1986

Say, NO to PROCRASTINATION . . .
Say, YES to your FUTURE!!!
Plan NOW, for your next paper

ASK ANY ONE OF THESE PEOPLE ABOUT LYNCH & ASSOCIATES QUALITY OF WORK AND TURN AROUND TIME FOR GETTING PAPERS TYPED . . .
INFORMATION IS STORED ON TAPE. IF YOU DO NOT NEED TO RETAIN PAPERS TYPED LAST YEAR, PLEASE LET US KNOW, WE NEED THE DISK SPACE!!!!

REFER 6 STUDENTS
GET YOUR NEXT PAPER TYPED F R E E
If you referred any of the above students, please let us know.

REFER 3 STUDENTS
GET $5.00 OFF YOUR NEXT PAPER

SPECIAL NOTE: DO NOT WAIT UNTIL THE LAST MINUTE!!!
Above rates will not apply, if:
SAME DAY DELIVERY — $30.00 PER HOUR
RUSH/EMERGENCY TYPING — $40.00 PER HOUR
1800 K St. N.W., Suite 922 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 466-4970/4971

RATES:
—Text without footnotes—$1.00 per page
—Text with footnotes—$1.50 per page
—Resume $20.00 (1 page), $5.00 add’l page
Registrar

Welcome
The Registrar and staff would like to extend a warm welcome to all entering and returning students. The Office of the Registrar is open to assist you with your academic concerns during the following hours:
- M, W, Th: 8:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m.
- Tu: 8:30 a.m.-8:00 p.m.
- Fri: 8:30 a.m.-3:30 p.m., 5:00-6:00
(Friday, 3:30-5:00 closed for staff training).

Graduation Applications
Candidates for graduation in February or May 1987 must apply at the Office of the Registrar by the following deadlines:
- February 1987:......, September 30, 1986
- May 1987: ........., October 15, 1987
Applications filed after these dates (or failure to file an application) will result in a $50.00 late fee and may result in your not having a diploma at Commencement.

Academic Regulations
A student is held to have noticed of the GULC Student Code of Professional Responsibility and its provisions by virtue of enrolling at the Law Center. Copies are available at the Office of the Registrar.

In Event of A Class Postponement
At any time during the academic year notice of individual class postponements may be obtained by calling the recorded postponement number: 662-9446.

Privacy Act
Under the provisions of the Privacy Act, the Law Center may release certain information designated as "Directory Information," (see Administrative and Academic Regulations, Aug. 1986). Students may elect to have this "Directory Information" withheld by filing the appropriate form at the Office of the Registrar no later than September 15, 1986.

Do We Have Your Current Local Address?
All students are urged to update their biographic information and to assure that the Office of the Registrar has the current local address and telephone number. Several mailings will occur in the near future. You will not want to miss out on any correspondence because of an incomplete or missing address.

Spring Exam Review
The last day for students to file a request to review their Spring '86 exams is Friday, September 29th. Requests may be filed in the Office of the Registrar. Please allow 3 to 5 days for exams to be retrieved from storage.

Financial Aid

Short Term Loans
From Aug. 28 to Nov. 15, short-term loan applications will be accepted on Wednesdays and Thursdays and checks will be available the following Tues. or Wed. Students are permitted to borrow one short-term loan of up to $300 per semester.

Placement
Placement Program
Additions
LL.M. and the Job Market will be held on Tuesday, Sept. 16 at 4:45 PM in room 1B-18. The program, as originally scheduled, will also be repeated on Thurs., Oct. 2 at 4:45 PM in room 1B-18, but there will not be third session on the 8th of October.

Career Alternatives
On Tuesday, Oct. 28 at 1:20 PM in Room 1B-10, the Placement Office will sponsor a discussion of career alternatives for those students considering using their JD in other than traditional practice. This discussion will be the first part of a multi-session program which will continue second semester and will focus then on specific non-traditional careers. The Oct. 28 discussion will be an overview of possibilities.

Placement Office Programs Beginning Week of Sept. 8
Interviewing Skills Workshop
9/9 12:15 1B-33
9/10 3:45 1B-33* 1B-10
9/11 9:00am 1B-10* 1B-10
9/12 11:15 1B-33*
*Please note: These room assignments are different than those originally scheduled.

Department of Justice Information Session
Wed, Sept. 10 4:45 Hall 5

BLSA Program to Feature Area Attorneys
On Tues, evening, Sept. 9 in the Faculty Lounge the Placement Office in conjunction with BLSA and a group of black attorneys will come together to discuss the legal hiring process. Each attorney will share his/her views on the process, offer advice and suggestions, discuss their respective firms and conduct a mock interview. The program is specifically designed for second and third year students currently involved in the job seeking process but all BLSA students are welcome. Coffee and doughnuts will be served.

On-Campus Interviewing: What Law Firms Look For
If you are concerned about making a good impression in an interview or confused as to what impresses firm interviewers, plan to attend one of these programs. Hiring attorneys from different firms will be discussing what sets applicants apart from each other and how to use 20 minutes most effectively. The first of these programs will be held today, Mon., Sept. 8 at 4:45 PM in Hall 5 with William Brownell of Hunton and Williams and John Byrnes of Dow, Lohnes & Albertson. Another panel of attorneys will discuss this same subject on Wed., Sept. 10 at 8PM in Hall 2. The speakers for the second program are Warren Connelly of Akin Gump and Michael Narodilli of Baker & Daniels. Plan to attend one of these sessions if you have not been through the on-campus process before.

Student Activities

Amnesty International
There will be an organizational meeting for Amnesty International on September 4th, at 3:30, in Hall 7. All are encouraged to attend for a movie, a short talk by Fr. Robert Drinan, S.J., an introduction to the group's activities, and food and beverage. A good time will be had by all. The group's members will remain for some time afterward so if you are late still try to come to Hall 7. Otherwise, leave a message in the mailbox in Student Life.

Equal Justice Foundation
EJF's open house has been rescheduled for Wednesday, September 10th at 3:30 in the courtyard. All interested students please join us for beer, wine & munchies and get acquainted with EJF and our members. A brief introductory and organizational meeting will follow.

The Federalist Society
There will be an organizational meeting of The Federalist Society on Tuesday, September 9, 1986, at 4:00 p.m. in Hall 2. All persons interested in becoming members MUST attend this meeting, or contact Jay Feaster at 537-0071.

Acaplyptic Genders
NOW

SBA All Organizations sign up for Presentations to the Appropriations Committee.

Presentations will be heard Mon., Sept. 8th thru Wed., Sept 8th from 8:30 pm until 9:30 pm. Sign up sheet is posted on the SBA door.

Journals

NOTICE
Georgetown Immigration Law Journal 1986 Fall Write-on Competition Packets available Sept. 9. $8.00 Kiosk 9:00-9:00 GUL 662-9635.