Lichenstein Criticizes U.S. Foreign Policy

By JENNIFER BLACKMAN

First-year students who chose to attend law school in the nation's capital for all the Guilc-SBA elections this year. Many first-year students reported that they were unable to cast their votes as the polling booth was not staffed during the hours advertised and they questioned the legitimacy of the election results.

The controversy became exceptionally heated in Section 1. To the election all candidates urged everyone to vote as the margin of victory and defeat would probably be very small. Several students from this section signed and submitted a complaint to the SBA on Thursday, September 24, before election results were announced—expressing the fact that they had tried but were First-year students who didn't vote.

Yet on Friday morning, September 25, SBA President Glynlob told the election results. Two delegates had been elected from each section except Section 1, which elected one delegate and had a tie between two other candidates. When questioned whether the Section 1 complaint had been considered, Lobo deferred answering until after the Elections Committee meeting scheduled for that evening.

At this point, most students felt it was too late to effect any change. It would be unfair to hold another election just for Section 1, as the circumstances prompting the complaint affected all sections. Should the entire election be declared invalid? Most students felt it would be unfair to the candidates who had been declared winners. Section 1 students nevertheless submitted another complaint voicing their displeasure with the way the election was conducted.

A run-off election was held in Section 1 on Monday, September 28 to decide on the second delegate. At that time, Lobo told inquiring students that the Elections Committee met over the weekend and decided that despite election "imperfections," the results were valid. A majority of students in the section voted in the first election, he said, implying that Section 1 sentiments were accurately expressed. In addition, it was claimed that the booth had been staffed for all but a few of the hours advertised and believed students were afforded ample time and opportunity to vote.

Further disagreement between SBA officials and Section 1 students centered on the timing of the initial complaint. Lobo said the Elections Committee did not receive a complaint until Friday afternoon, but students insisted the first complaint was submitted on Thursday. Perhaps, so, allowed officials, but the Elections Committee did not receive it until Friday.

After the election, losing candidates expressed strong support for the winners, but more than a little anger at the inadequacies of the process itself. One losing candidate stated that the most unfortunate result of all was the shadow the mix-up cast on the legitimacy of the winners' election.

In addition to the first-year students' complaints, many second and third-year students were apparently unaware that the referendum was open for vote by the entire student body. A lack of adequate publicity and urging second and third-year vote seemed to be the most students' explanation for their lack of participation.

SBA Botches Up First Year Elections -- Leaves One Section Unsatified

Pitofsky and Williams Speak at Bork Supreme Court Hearings

Dean Robert Pitofsky testified before the Senate Judiciary Committee against the nomination of Judge Robert Bork. Pitofsky was asked to testify because of his academic record in the area as well as the Cordmation of economic power without unnecessarily stifling innovation and efficiency. There are important differences about the merits of particular policies, but the essential features of antitrust enforcement have enjoyed widespread bipartisan support.

Robert Bork has challenged the central logic of antitrust enforcement efforts. It has been said in his defense that his scholarship is formidable (which it is), and that it has been influential at enforcement agencies and the courts (which it has).

But that's not the point. The question should be where does his scholarship lead. In Robert Bork's case, it led to an antitrust program more conservative than proposed by almost anyone else. He supports antitrust efforts and would abandon almost all of the rest of antitrust. When challenged that his minimalist program is inconsistent with the will of Congress and with scours of Supreme Court cases, he has distanced himself from the courts of economic regulation and he has urged that precedent inconsistent with his views be ignored. The claims on behalf of Judge Bork that he is a respecter of the will of the legislature and an exemple of judicial restraint are difficult to make when his record in the antitrust field is taken into account.
Response to Sainz

The September 21st issue of the Law Weekly included an editorial calling for an end to the "insulting namecalling attacks" that so often crop up in our policy-oriented columns. No more, no less. We simply asked our columnists to stop the namecalling.

In the next issue, Mr. Marco A. Sainz, Jr. criticized the editorial, accusing the Law Weekly editorial board of "re- nowned censorship," "poor leadership," and "arrogance." The board, Mr. Sainz said, were the sort of people who branded the great Copernicus a "dangerous extremist." Our editorial, he concludes, evinces an "assumption [on our part] that extremism is inherently harmful to constructive public policy ... a dangerous assumption.

Your conclusions are rather perplexing, Mr. Sainz. We are having trouble understanding how you got from point A (your request for less namecalling by our columnists) to point B (your imaginary world where arrogant law school newspaper editors crush the iconoclasts out of all would-be extremists). Ask some of the students or faculty members who have been here longer than your six weeks how the Law Weekly rates as a breeding ground of extremism. They may inform you that the paper encourages strong points of view and publishes downright inflammatory material on a regular basis. They may remind you that some of our columnists publish their own work in other newspapers, including the New York Times. Perhaps you will be more objective if you take a look at the entire debate from the other side.

Mr. Sainz, another fine impression of the Law Weekly and its leadership and content are way off base. "Beneficent censor"? Champion of the "entrenched status quo"? There is no reason for an editorial discouraging inputs and personal attacks, which are policy discussion to bring these and other epithets our way. Have no fear, despite our aversion to namecalling, censorship of extreme viewpoints remains a stranger to our office. Continue reading, and you'll see what we mean.
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Benevolent censor? Champion of the "entrenched status quo"? There is no reason for an editorial discouraging inputs and personal attacks, which are policy discussion to bring these and other epithets our way. Have no fear, despite our aversion to namecalling, censorship of extreme viewpoints remains a stranger to our office. Continue reading, and you'll see what we mean.

Hersh's Labor Theory

To the Editor:

Last week Michael Hersh ex-

Fascism as expounded by Mussolini involved a form of socialism known as "corporatism" designed to raise labor's wages. Similarly, National Socialism wasn't called socialism for nothing. Its difficulties with Communism were much the same as the Bolsheviks with the Mensheviks or Trotsky and Stalin, an inter-family spat.

Mr. Hersh's test finally explained for me why Communists act the way they do. Stalin committed genocide against 20 million Soviets to drive up labor costs and improve the lot of workers. Similar measures took place in China, Germany, South East Asia, Ethiopia and now in Afghanistan, all to improve the lot of the worker or as Hersh points out "society in general."

As the Socialists take affirmative steps to diminish the labor pool and drive up the price of labor benefiting all, what are the capitalists doing? They're up to their old tricks. The capitalist countries exploit technology as soon as possible thus doing the work of ten while employing only one.

Hersh-era in his analysis of education. A more advanced technology requires a better educated worker and the West pours billions into education to widen the pool of skilled workers thus driving down labor costs. Further, the West has the most open immigration laws politically feasible so as to bring in all those workers from Ethiopia, Cambodia, Vietnam, Afghanistan and other sunny places where the Left humani-

I would like to thank Mr. Hersh for such an enlightening theory. What formerly seemed so much senseless butchery now takes on new meaning both here at home on the abortion issue and abroad in the fun loving sphere of geopolitics. I would like to finish by saying I do not know Mr. Hersh but am informed he has a sense of humor. I hope he takes this article with all the seriousness with which I took his.

JOHN VECCHIONE
Letters...Letters...Letters

Sexist Committee Attitudes

To the Editor:
It has recently come to our attention that certain sexist attitudes and beliefs with regard to the membership of the Women’s Rights Collective are held by Members of the SBA. In particular, we refer to a comment recently made during a closed-door session of the Appropriations Committee of the SBA. At that meeting, certain committee members questioned the appropriateness of a request made by the Women’s Rights Collective concerning the sexual orientation of所需的funds because we are “just a bunch of dykes.”

At a minimum, such a sexist and discriminatory remark is appalling. The particular sexuality of any one of the members of the WRC is not relevant to the issue of funding of this most vital of student organizations. We represent all women of the Law Center and pride ourselves on the diverse nature of our membership. To reduce women who share a commitment to the ideals of gender equality to nothing more than “a bunch of dykes” is an insult to all women who share our dreams, regardless of their sexuality.

It is mind-boggling to realize that in this year, 1987, a time when women have come so far in their civil rights struggles, that there are still individuals in this country who harbor these antiquated and oppressive views. It is even more mind-boggling to realize that some of these same individuals take seats in our classes and hold positions as delegates to the Georgetown University Law Center Student Bar Association. We demand a full investigation into the circumstances surrounding the making of this statement. We demand a full apology.

Furthermore, we question the policy of having closed-door meetings of the various committees of the Student Bar Association. Such procedural practices leave open the possibility that ignorant and dangerous views will play a major part in determining which student organizations lock out in the funding game.

Sincerely,
THE WOMEN’S RIGHTS COLLECTIVE
THE NATIONAL LAWYERS GUILD
THE EQUAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
BLACK LAW STUDENT’S ASSOCIATION

Lobbying For Safer Transportation

To the Editor,
Whenever individuals take advantage of the mass transportation system, they entrust their safety to those persons controlling the system. Similarly, when people drive their cars, they are dependent upon the safe driving habits of motor carriers. The public’s trust is premised on the vigilance of trained employees to remain alert to any situation that might endanger the safety of travelers. That trust was shaken by recent events, including the Amtrak/Conrail collision in Chase, Maryland which resulted in 15 deaths, 170 injuries and $15 million in damages. Tests showed that both the Conrail brakeman and the engineer had traces of illegal drugs in their bodies.

The tragic Amtrak/Conrail collision illustrates the potential for catastrophic disaster created by those who abuse alcohol and illegal drugs while working in safety-sensitive positions in the transportation industry. Currently, Congress is considering two major bills, S.1041 and S.1539, to attack this problem.

S.1041 would mandate drug and alcohol testing of certain transportation employees in five situations: prior to employment, after an accident, during recurring situations such as physical examinations, and upon reasonable suspicion. Most importantly, the bill would require random drug and alcohol testing of employees. The second bill, S.1539, would amend the Federal Railroad Safety Act of 1970 by strengthening the authority of the Federal Railroad Administration: providing for fines and suspensions; requiring the development of qualification standards and a licensing program; and providing access to the National Driver Register so that records of employees and applicants could be examined.

You can help advance this legislation. Please write your Senators or Congressmen. Ask them to support S.1041 and S.1539 in the Senate, and their equivalents in the House. Ask them to support efforts to improve transportation safety, whatever bills may come forward so that other individuals may not become victims to these poorly monitored systems.

On Wednesday, October 7, 1987, many people will lobby the Congress on Capitol Hill in support of improved transportation safety legislation. Please join this lobbying effort. Participants will attend a Prayer Breakfast on Wednesday, October 7 at 8:00 a.m. in Room 8-339 of the Rayburn House Office Building, followed by a 10:00 a.m. Press Conference in Room 628 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building. Then participants will break into teams to lobby members of Congress to distribute literature, and urge public support.

Substance abuse is a serious problem in the transportation industry and it appears to be worsening. With your support, future tragedies like the Amtrak/Conrail collision can be avoided. If you plan to join the cause for a safer transportation system, please call Mr. or Mrs. Arthur Johnson at (301) 340-3117 or (301) 794-5003.

Susan Koehn

Unbiased Budget

To the Editor:
As a multicultural and multi-gender subcommittee of the S.B.A., the Appropriations Committee is compelled to respond to the complaints and allegations concerning the Appropriations Committee deliberations.

The S.B.A. has historically been underfunded, and as a direct result, all student organizations funded by the S.B.A. will unfortunately have to cooperate with apportionments that do not mirror original requests. Out of more than $80,000 in requests from all groups, only $45,000 could be disbursed by the S.B.A. We reduced all organizational budgets by an average of 55%. The Women’s Rights Collective received 96% of its request, better than the average.

The organizational budgets are painstakingly and thoroughly inspected by line and are judged by the efficacy of projected events and the real or direct benefits to the total student body and not on the political ideologies, cultural or racial composition, or sexual preferences of members or associates.

The Appropriations Committee

Home Court For Homeless

To the Editor:
We wish to thank the SBA for their enthusiastic support and sponsorship of HOME COURT, a student organized and run project designed to establish a Georgetown Fellowship at the Washington Legal Clinic for the Homeless. The fellowship will coordinate the network of student and community volunteers providing legal service to Washington’s homeless families.

A basketball game pitting GULC alumni against Members of Congress will take place next spring. Funds raised in conjunction with the event will go towards the establishment of a self-perpetuating fellowship for a GULC graduate.

Thanks to the SBA, HOME COURT is off to a great start. Anyone interested in lending support or finding out more about HOME COURT should leave a message in one of our folders.

Jeff Schwager
Tracey Aronson
Brian Burke
Tom Klebsler
HOME COURT STUDENT COORDINATORS

ELECTION RESULTS
Proposed Amendment #1 Passed

Section 1
Donna Nuttall
Joe Laplante

Section 2
Charmeine Wattiez
Katherine Decker

Section 3
Walter Pryor
Cindy Thomas

Section 4
Jacqui Borges
Pete Rathburn

Section 7
Tom Urban
Deborah Schiff

Graduate
Victor Thomas
Keith Engel

INT'L LAW WEEKEND

10/9 - 10/10
- Careers in Int’l Law” Panels
- Visits to Law Firms, Gov’t and Int’l Organizations
- Hyatt Regency Banquet with Keynote Speaker
- Continental Brunch
- Ass’n of Student Int’l Law Societies
- Int’l Environmental Law Panel

REGISTRATION:
$40

Please make checks payable to: WASHINGTON REGIONAL COUNSEL. Forms and checks may be dropped off at THE KIOSK: Wed., 10/7, 10 a.m.-3 p.m. or placed in the ILS mailbox, Student Life Office by 10/7.
By JENNIFER BLACKMAN

The SBA House of Delegates met last Wednesday evening primarily to consider a number of proposals and plans for upcoming events involving the dispersal of SBA funds. Debate on most of the issues was lively and characterized by a strong interest in budgetary oversight on the part of all delegates.

The meeting was also an initiation for the newly elected first-year section delegates. SBA President Glynn Lobo extended a warm welcome to the new delegates and apologized for the confusion generated by the first-year elections. Dissatisfaction with the conduct of the election has been voiced by many first-year students who were unable to cast their votes because there was no one at the voting booth during the times advertised.

Greg Winfree, SBA Treasurer and Chairman of the Appropriations Committee, presented the results of the Committee's de-
cisions concerning student organization budget requests. Twenty-one student organizations at G.U.L.C. are essentially in competition for allotments from a total SBA fund of $45,000. Each budget proposal, said Greg, is considered individually on a line-by-line basis. Coincidentally, the budget allotments decided upon were 89.9% of all funds available for the year. An itemized list of the 1987-1988 budget results is pro-
vided below.

Delegates asked a number of questions concerning exactly how the amounts ultimately appropriated by the Committee are decided upon. Winfree explained that the past record of the particular organization is taken into account (in terms of how their money has been used historically), in addition to the number of students affected by the organization's activities.

One delegate noted that although budget requests are evaluated on a line-by-line basis by the Appropriations Committee, the funds are awarded in a lump sum amount. It is therefore impossible for the delega-
tes to ascertain exactly which portions of an organization's budget have been awarded funding and which portions have been de-

Glynn Lobo explained that it is the role of the Appropriations Com-
mitee, not the House of Delega-
tes, to make these specific funding decisions which require an extensive amount of time and effort. Evening Vice President Tim Excellence opposed Lobo's position and urged the House to respect the Committee's position.

Wayne Kimball suggested amending the proposed budget by cutting all appropriations across the board. The released funds would then be considered as constituting a general travel fund for the potential use of all student groups. The ensuing debate on this amend-
ment focused on the fact that such a change would penalize the groups which have no need for travel money, effectively subsidizing the travel of the remaining groups. The pro-
posed amendment was eventually withdrawn and the House approved the $45,000 budget.

The House then considered another expenditure request in the form of a proposal sub-
mitted by third year students Jeff Schwaber and Brian Burke seeking SBA sponsorship of project HOME COURT. The cen-
terpiece of this project will be a basketball game, hopefully on the Main Campus in the Spring of 1988, featuring G.U.L.C. Fac-
culty against Members of Con-
gress and other public officials. The money raised by the game will be used to benefit West war-
ton, D.C. area homeless fam-
ilies. In the words of the pro-
posal, "the purpose of the event will be to raise money, con-
sciousness and spirits."

Specifically, said the HOME COURT organizers, the funds generated by the game will be used to establish a Georgetown fellowship at the Legal Clinic for the Homeless. The fellowship will therefore enable a G.U.L.C. graduate to develop a home-
lessly oriented legal assistance pro-
gram.

Although the HOME COURT project's proposal has already done a great deal of ad-

1987 International Law Weekend

By DAVE ELIAS

In what has become an Oc

tober tradition, the Interna-

tional Law Society's Fall Weekend will be held this Friday and Satur-

day, October 8 and 9, based at

G.U.L.C. Students from the con-

tenental United States, as well as local area law students, will be joining Georgetown law stu-
dents in a program of lectures, de-

bates, visits, and social activities.

The 1987 International Law Weekend will focus on two issues: international environ-

mental law, and international human rights law. This four-

teenth annual program kicks off on Friday morning with a panel discussion on the meaning of, and opportunities in, In-

ternational law, conducted by law-

yers and professors, and followed by a question and an-

swer session.

Friday afternoon is visiting time. All participants will visit two places, either law firms, government agencies or other governmental bodies, with wide contact and opportunities in the International legal forum.

The evening will be given over to a joint dinner and speaker at the Hyatt Regency.

Saturday morning, after a coffee and doughnut breakfast, there will be a panel/discussion on International Environmental law, and after a lunch break, a debate about an International human rights topic. Saturday evening, the Weekend winds up with an Oktoberfest, which should give participants a chance to talk and exchange ideas and perspectives. It may also be possible to exchange phone numbers, which might come in handy should you have an interview next year in any city of such a new friend and need a place to stay—cheap.

If you have not yet registered, and would like further informa-
tion to ILS chief, Dr. Joe Sommers or leave a note in either Lisa Dupuis' or David Elias' offices. In addition, there will be registration forms avail-
able on the ILS board, located in the Physical Plant on the Lounge Level.
GULC Majority Opposes Bork

by GINA LYNN

An informal survey of students at Georgetown Law Center found that Bork opponents outnumber Bork supporters by 2 to 1. The majority of Bork opponents is even greater in the faculty, which is at least 3 to 1 against Bork.

Many students were distrustful of the efforts Bork’s “original intent” interpretation of the Constitution would have on the Supreme Court. Bork opponents feared that this “original intent” philosophy would cause the Court to abandon its role as a protector of the rights of those traditionally discriminated against, including women and minorities. They also feared if Bork was appointed he would reverse progress made in the area of Civil Rights.

Many opponents felt that Judge Bork makes no distinction between his own political views, which were regarded as ultra-conservative, and his judicial philosophy. “He hides behind a cloak of intellectualism,” one student said. “His personal philosophy is his intellectual philosophy. He just tries not to appear like the reactionary that he is.”

Not surprisingly, those who supported the Bork nomination seemed to agree with the “original intent” interpretation of the Constitution. “The constitution is vague 90% of the time,” one student commented. “You should start with the text and then go to what the framers meant. If it should be changed, then it should be amended by the majority.” Supporters of Bork also agreed with his views on judicial restraint; that the wishes of the majority, as expressed in legislation, should not be interfered with by the court system. “If you want to change something,” a student suggested, “you can vote with your feet.”

Supporters and opponents contrasted strongly on how much of Bork’s political philosophy finds its way into his judicial work. Supporters praised him for his objectivity and his acute reasoning capabilities. They felt that he was not as conservative as his opponents had made him out to be and that the interests groups that had launched a media campaign against Bork had distorted his views.

In light of the fact Bork opponents released to the Senate Judiciary Committee the names of 1,925 law professors who oppose Bork, the Law Center’s faculty bias against Bork may not be so unusual. Constitutional Law Professor Louis Michael Siedman is a strong Bork opponent: “It’s ironic that Judge Bork says we ought to defer to the majority when there is growing evidence that the majority rejects Bork’s views.” He feels that Bork practices judicial activism and judicial restraint according to how the issue sits with his personal views, and because of this considers Bork’s constitutional philosophy.

See Bork page 7
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“How To Maximize Yours Scores
On First Year Exams

Date: Thursday, October 8th
Time: 12:30 pm
Room: Hall 2

Professor: Charles H. Whitebread

From the University of Southern California

Professor Whitebread is an alumnus of Princeton University and Yale Law School. He formerly taught at the University of Virginia Law School. His written works include Criminal Procedure (Foundation Press). Professor Whitebread is a national lecturer for BAR/BRI bar review.

Wee Hours

By CHUCK ROBERTSON

Remember in the old days when people painted their faces black and sang songs like “Mammy” to wide acclaim on the Broadway stage? They don’t do that anymore.

Remember when all Americans of Asian descent were instantly viewed with contempt because of Japan’s participation in WWII, even after the war ended? That doesn’t happen any longer.

Remember all the horribly derogatory names publicly applied to the Jewish, Italian, Irish, etc.? The list goes on but, fortunately, the public application of those dehumanizing terms has largely been silenced.

Ready for one more? Remember when grown men adorned themselves in feathers and fringes, whooped at the top of their lungs, did odd little dances and called themselves Redskins while pretending to be American Indian? By golly, it has been a long two weeks.

I’m getting very tired of writing this same article every two years or so, but for those who missed the last one, here it comes yet again. Listen America, Indians don’t want your sporting teams named after them.

No more Redskins, Braves and Indians. No more howling mascots calling themselves Chief. To Indian people, a Chief is a man of respect, not a strutting, squawking, halftime entertainment pro. No more big nosed, brown, stone-faced drawings on helmets and jerseys. I’m Indian. My nose isn’t particularly large, I’m not particularly brown and I’ve even been known to smile when I’m not writing about topics such as this.

Once again, probably for lack of a football season, the argument has arisen whether the Redskins should change their name. In short, YES! How soon can it be done?

Those who argue against the change, other than none of them being Indian, have in common the viewpoint that the name “honors” the Indian. Well folks, quite simply, Indian people are of the opinion that Redskins is a racist term. Try calling one of my less tolerant South Dakota brethren that. It’s an easy way to start a fight. Get the idea?

Beyond that, everything associated with the name has a decidedly appalling quality to it. From the stereotypical music of the fight song to the anti-characteristic war painted and whooping fans, to the absolutely insensitive and inexcusable portrayal of the Indian Chief Mascot; it’s all wrong. It honors nothing.

So why does it continue? One reason may be that Indian people make up less than 1% of the nation’s population. It’s difficult for such a small voice to be heard. Another reason is that, beyond racial and political disjunctions, Indians have become sort of an American symbol, like the Bald Eagle and the Liberty Bell.

Well folks, Indians are not a symbol, we are a people. To symbolize a people, whether good or bad, is to dehumanize those people. It makes it all the more difficult to recognize that there are cares and concerns of real human beings which are truly represented by the name. Think about it, how many Indian people can you name who aren’t people that you know? If all you can come up with are Pocahontas and Sacajawea then be reminded that we still exist as a people today.

We work. We go to school. We pay taxes. We buy cars. We live in houses. We wear suits. We write columns. We watch football. We have even been known to root for the Redskins on occasion.

We hope they will find a more appropriate name. We hope, as it has will, this Black, Asian, Jewish, Italian, Irish, etc. patriarchs, America can finally outgrow such public dehumanizing behavior. Folks... it’s time.
To: The President
From: Jim Barnett
Date: October 5, 1987
Subj: The Domestic Agenda

Recently, you have justifiably devoted a great deal of time to foreign policy issues—Central America, the Persian Gulf, and arms control. During this period, however, the Democrats have taken the reins on four critical areas of domestic policy. Here is some strategy for the coming week:

Judge Bork

The "let Bork make his own case" strategy was a failure. In contrast to Ollie North's testimony, few if any Senate Conservatives will take his appearance, and the who's who list of lawyers, academics and politicians who followed him have largely cancelled themselves out.

Bork's defeat would permanently impair the Administration's leverage with Congress, endangering all White House initiatives in the future. The Senate vote will determine the success of your remaining months in office.

You must go on the offensive, strongly and immediately. The lesson from this spring's vote on the override of the highway bill veto was that your efforts were too little, too late. Whatever happens in the Judiciary Committee, there appears to be enough undecideds to swing the balance, especially if the Democrats do not filibuster. I recommend personal visits to Capitol Hill, consultations to individuals senators, and perhaps a Camp David weekend summit sometime in mid-October.

The Budget

Your signing Gramm-Rudman II last week, at the urging of Chief of Staff Baker and over the strong objections of Secretary Weinberger, made good sense. A veto would have looked fiscally irresponsible. But do not fall into the Democratic trap in agreeing to a euphemistic "budget summit," designed only to get you to commit to lower defense figures and higher income taxes. The deficit goals for FY 1988 can be achieved without either if you stand firm on reducing domestic spending, agree to the sale of needless government assets, and push user fees for those who benefit from specific government functions.

The FY 1989 goals will be more difficult to reach. As a long-term strategy, I recommend laying the groundwork for sensible reform in the spiralling costs of entitlements. Trade

As dozens of members convene their highly-charged conference on the protectionist trade bill, I recommend a two-track Administration approach: (1) allow Secretary Baker to cut some deals on Capitol Hill in the hope that a sensible package can be agreed on (2) continue the veto threat. In not dealing on this bill, the Administration will be vulnerable to charges of insensitivity on the trade deficit, a major political concern. The danger in all-out conciliation is that you may get a real pig-in-the-poke—you deal, so you sign anything that comes down Pennsylvania Avenue.

I further recommend mobilizing some of your natural allies on trade issues (e.g., The Chamber of Commerce, Wall Street) in highly publicized White House meetings.

DOD Authorization

Democratic amendments to the defense bill (ABM narrow interpretation, War Powers, SALT II) are veto-bait pure and simple. Going into 1988, there is nothing the Democrats want more than to take the high ground on national security. If these amendments wind up in the conference report, veto the bill on the spot. The American people have the good sense to know you do not oppose the defense of our country. The Democrats cannot muster the votes to override a veto, so Pentagon spending will wind up in the Appropriations Committees, as it has occasionally in the past. There, likely under extreme time pressure, chairmen will be reluctant to deal with these amendments, and they will certainly be dropped.

How to buy a jacket.

The American Express Card can get you virtually anything from a leather jacket to a leather-bound classic. Whether you are bound for a boat at a beach in Bermuda. So during college and after it, it's the perfect way to keep track of your budget.

How to get the Card before graduation.

College is a fast sign of success. And because we believe in your potential, we've made it easier to get the American Express Card right now. You can qualify even before you graduate with our special student offer. For details, look for applications on campus. Or just call 1-800-THE-CARD, and ask for a student application.

The American Express Card. Don't Leave School Without It.

Bicycle Locks Available

Due to the numerous reports of bicycle thefts at the Law Center, Protective Services has available Master Bicycle Locks for sale at cost ($19.00) for students, faculty and staff members. Bicycles must be registered with the Metropolitan Police Department which can be accomplished at the Five Station located at 6th & E St., NW. The fee is $1.00 and the registration is good for a period of four years.
Art Review

Berthe Morisot

By ALEX PITOFSKY

The National Gallery of Art's current exhibition of the paintings of Berthe Morisot is an enjoyable introduction to the work of an important but little known member of the Impressionist movement. Although the exhibit does not match the comprehensiveness and quality of last year's Matisse exhibit, the National Gallery has once again assembled a lively and distinctive presentation.

Until now, Morisot has perhaps unjustly been considered a rather minor figure in the story of Impressionism. She attended lectures by Manet and later became a close friend of Renoir, who along with Manet, Matiss, and Degas, exhibited commemorating her death in 1899. Many of the paintings in the current exhibit were also gathered together for that exhibit.

The earliest paintings in the current exhibit date from 1864. Morisot's early paintings establish the Matisses-like subject matter of her entire career: daydreaming, quietly contemplative people in colorful settings. Morisot's people and settings are just about claustrophobically attractive. There is not a shabby room or suit of clothes or plain natural scene of face to be found in the exhibit.

By the late 1870's, Morisot's technique grew increasingly abstract. She began to use fewer brush strokes to create her images. Highlights of this period include "On the Veranda," "Little Girl Reading," and a portrait of Morisot's daughter with a doll.

In the mid-1880's, Morisot's work reached its peak, with striking works too numerous to mention here. Her work from this period seem particularly mature, confident, and risk-filled. She leaves patches of blank canvas in works of painting, as if to say that one could accomplish her goals without filling each part of the space within the frame. Her work in the 1890's seems to be a step backwards, lacking the sophistication and sense of adventure of her finest work.

Her movements from pastels to charcoal to back to oils suggest that she was floundering and wondering where to take her work next. Unfortunately, she died before having the opportunity to solve her mid-career problems. However, the exhibit ends on a luminous note with Morisot's last portrait of her daughter Julie. Morisot captures the grace and tranquility of a person no less poignant than her beautiful youth.

Morisot is not the only person to be lauded for this exhibit. The National Gallery has done its best with this presentation. The East Wing rooms are colorful and well-lighted. The exhibit has an excellent balance between showing Morisot's work at its best and instructively showing the progression and development of her artistry. The inclusion of sketches and drawings by Morisot provide an interesting look at her works in progress.

For me, perhaps the best feature of the exhibit is a painting by Manet, "Le Repos," a portrait of Mme. Morisot herself. She is a small, Clark-faceted, and attractive woman. Not surprisingly, her main tools as a painter—her hands and eyes—dominate the portrait. According to a discussion of the portrait on the wall beside it, it was stilled by critics when first exhibited. Like Morisot's best work, it stands as a fine example of Impressionism at its best.

"Trial" Shows Good Judgment

By LARRY GREYLORD

On last Friday and Saturday evenings a devoted group of GULC alumni and professors kicked off the Georgetown Gil- bert & Sullivan Society's (GGSS) fifteenth anniversary season with a rousing rendition of "The Pirates of Penzance." Two shows each night played to relatively full houses. Presumably no one went in expecting a great deal of good fortune that the contract represented by their ticket stub was void for lack of good fortune in performance.

"Trial by Jury" is a one act play, and of special significance to GGSS. It was the musical that was performed by a fun-loving and arguably foolishly group of first year law students in February, 1973.

"Trial" focuses on the plight of the lovely Angelina (Stacy Dean), who has been allegedly wronged by her fiancé,). The defendant Edwin (John Tremaine May), Edwin had lost his heart to An- gelina, but later had it stolen away by another woman. An- gelina decides to sue Edwin on his promise to marry her.

The central character presiding over this romp is, of course the "Learned Judge." Dennis Nollette performed admirably recreating the role Prof. Richard Gordon by a fast-famous Owen on that fateful night fifteen years ago. Nollette's actions, even when he was not the featured singer (playing the card game "War" with his bailiff during testim- ony, dismissing the objecting defense attorney with a gun, swilling from a flask of whiskey, and making lover's eyes at An- gelina) stole many a scene.

Other noteworthy performances were turn in by both May (who wonderfully cap- tured the arrogance of Edwin) and Dean (who showed a fine voice). The jury was made up of eight fine gentlemen all dressed up in furs. Their routines were well choreographed, and even senior jury member Peter Wittenberg's legendary moustache seemed to have been properly (albeit unfortunately) groomed for the occasion. The jury exhib- ited a propensity to dance and seemed to enjoy hamming it up quite a bit. Finally, De- fense Counsel Matthew Elkan deserves special mention as an actor who provided a good natured absorption of the topics of the anger that was directed at Edwin, who continually es- caped.

"Trial," in the end with Edwin be- ing declared a snoop, and a great deal at that, was, as both a matter of fact and law. The Learned (Hor-ny?) Judge, however, in his great wisdom, finds a way to settle this dispute without un- duly punishing anyone. He marries Angelina himself. GGSS & will be presenting "Guys and Dolls" November 5, 6 & 7. Rumor has it that a bevy of "Hot Box Girls" promise to strut their stuff, and more likely than not, make a number of males in the audience sweat. And that production is even half as good a musical (and there is no reason to believe it won't be) only a snoop would miss it.

Lichenstein from page 1

foreign events are impractical for a variety of reasons. "Grena- da worked well because it was quick, it was cheap, and we accomplished what we set out to do." Lichenstein, who work- ed for the CIA from 1953 to 1973, asserted that covert action plays a real and necessary role in U.S. foreign policy today. "Yes, sometimes we do kill peo- ple. We do break eggs in order to make a cake. Someone who doesn't think so doesn't understand the real world, which contains people like Colonel Khaddafy." The address was sponsored by the Georgetown University School for Law and Public Policy.
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Registrar

Office Hours
The Office of the Registrar is open to assist you with your academic concerns during the following hours:
M, W, Th: 8:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m.
Tu: 8:30 a.m.-8:00 p.m.
Fri: 8:30 a.m.-3:30 p.m., 5:00-6:00 p.m.
(Friday: 3:30-5:00 closed for staff training.)

Exam Conflicts
Students who wish to petition for relief from a 24-hour exam conflict should do so at the Office of the Registrar as soon as possible. The deadline for submission of exam conflict forms was September 30, 1987.

Bar Forms, Certifications, Etc.
A friendly reminder from the Office of the Registrar: When submitting any form, request for certification (i.e. loan deferrals, bar forms, forms for employers, etc.) please include your SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER and expected date of graduation. This will shorten the time needed to complete your request.

In The Event of A Class Postponement...

Notice of individual class postponements may be obtained by calling the recorded postponement number: 602-9446.

Student Disciplinary Code

A student is held to have notice of the GUL Student Disciplinary Code and its provisions by virtue of enrolling at the Law Center. Copies appear in the bulletin.

Graduation Applications

Students anticipating graduation must complete an application for degree in order that a graduation audit may be completed and diplomas ordered. Forms may be filed at the Office of the Registrar according to the following schedule:
May graduate...... Deadline October 1
October graduate..... Deadline June 1
February graduate...... Deadline September 1

Applications filed after these dates (or failure to file an application) will result in a $50.00 late fee and may result in your not having a diploma at graduation or your name in the commencement program.

Privacy Act

Under the provisions of the Privacy Act, the Law Center may release certain information designated as "Directory Information," (See Administrative And Academic Regulations, Aug. 1987). Students may elect to have this "Directory Information" withheld by filing the appropriate form at the Office of the Registrar.

Do We Have Your Current Local Address?

All students are urged to update their biographic information and to ensure that the Office of the Registrar has a current local address and telephone number. Several mailings will occur in the near future. You will not want to miss out on any correspondence because of an incomplete or misaddressed mailing.

Financial Aid

Is Your Student Loan Check Here?
If you have not picked up your GSL, SLS, LAL, or other student loan check yet, stop by the Financial Aid Office to see if it has been issued. Office hours are 9:30-1:00 and 2:00-5:30.

Placement

Student Cancellation Policy

Please remember that if you need to cancel an interview, you must do so by 12 noon the day preceding your interview. You must cross your name off the list in the on-campus interview sign-up. This list will be available on Tuesday. No cancellations can be accepted over the phone for your protection. Please don't cancel to do something else. All interviews are at least one week in advance, so there is no acceptable reason for not being able to cancel by the appropriate time. Thank you very much for your cooperation.

Public Interest Research Group

The Public Interest Research Group will be conducting on-campus interviews on Oct. 12 primarily for Boston, D.C., N.J., and California. There are also some other opportunities available. PIRG is involved in research and advocacy around a variety of environmental, and consumer issues.

Grip Update

Miami: The Miami program will be held on Mon., Oct. 12 at the Brickell Point Holiday Inn, 485 Brickell Avenue. There is a new addition to the Miami program—Brood & Cassel, seeking 2nd, 3rd, LLM. (Adv, Sec, Tax). Students can sign up with this firm until Tues., Oct. 6. Atlanta, Seattle, Results from the Atlanta and Seattle preference sheets will be available on Tuesday, Oct. 6. Any changes or cancellations must be made by Oct. 8.

Los Angeles and Philadelphia: Results from these Preference Sheets will be available on Thurs., Oct. 8. Any changes or cancellations must be made by Mon., Oct. 12. There is a new addition to the L.A. Grip—Onick; Herrington & Suttif- fe, seeking 2nd & 3rd year students. Open sign up for this firm begins on Oct. 8.

San Francisco, Chicago, Dallas: Preference sheets will be available on Oct. 9 and due by 5:00 p.m. on Oct. 9.

Call Back Workshop

The first call back workshop will be held on Mon., Oct. 5 at 3:30 in Room 18-10, Marilyn Tucker, of the Placement Office, will be joined by Neda McGui- ness, the Recruitment Coordinator of Gunter Yostley, West Palm Beach.

Other call back workshops will be held on:

Fri., Oct. 8 11:00 Hall 1
Mon., Oct. 12 1:00 Hall 7
Wed., Oct. 14 11:00 18-17
Thurs., Oct. 22 12:15 Hall 5

Getting a Public Interest Job

The Equal Justice Foundation and the Placement Office will sponsor a workshop on Wed., Oct. 7 at 3:30 entitled, "Getting a Public Interest Job."

National Lawyers Guild

The National Lawyers Guild will hold its Fall Rush Preview on Wednesday, Oct. 7, 3:30 (See general meeting Wednesday, Oct. 7, 3:30) (See bulletin board for room in which to discuss Spring activities and select officers. Casual dress.

Peter Kemmerle, just back from 2 years working in remote areas of Nicaragua, will speak with students who are curious about social conditions, liberation theo- ry, or politics. He was detained twice by the contra's and has witnessed atrocities. He is also called about abuses by the Nicaraguan government. Sponsored by National Lawyers Guild; 3:30 Thursday, October 8 in 81 lounge area.

Phi Alpha Delta

RUSH PARTY—Phi Alpha Delta, GULC's largest and oldest law fraternity, will hold its Fall Rush Preview on Wednesday, Oct. 7th between 5:30pm and 8:30 p.m. in the Faculty Lounge (4th Floor). All current members and students interested in being initiated this fall should attend. Catered refreshments will be available.

Georgetown Gilbert & Sullivan Society Desperately Seeking Musicians

GG & SS is looking for a drummer and a bassist for its upcoming production of GUYS & DOLLS. The music is great and the time commitment manageable. WE ARE ALSO LOTS OF FUN!!! If interested, please contact Matt Cohen ASAP at x8270.

Women's Rights Collective

THE WRC WILL MEET ON WEDNES- DAY, OCTOBER 8, 1987 at 5 PM to hold elections and discuss further our plans for the year. Old and New Members most welcome. Anyone who couldn't make the 1st meeting held on Wednesday, Sept. 22 is especially encouraged to attend. We will meet at 5PM, Oct. 8th outside the WRC/NLG Office. Rm 18-46.

Christian Legal Society

The Georgetown chapter of the Christian Legal Society (GULC-CLS) will have two information/organization meetings this week, on Wednesday, October 7, at 12:15PM in 18-10, and again at 5:00PM, also in room 18-10. We will discuss speakers, meetings, election of officers and projects/social activities. All interested students are encouraged to attend. For more in- formations, contact Steve Eden at 231-4823 or Herb Walker at 737-1118, or leave a message in one of our folders.

International Law Society

Law students from across the country and GULC, too—will attend the In- ternational Law Weekend here October 8-10.

The Weekend costs $40 and includes panels on "Cancer In Int. Law," "Int'l Human Rights," and "Int'l Environmental- eal Law"; visits to law firms, government and international organizations; dinner at the Hyatt (Sen. Byrd has been asked to speak); a continental brunch; and an Open House.

Get your application on the ILS bulletin board or as printed in the WEEKLY; bring your check to ILS's mail box at Student Life or to the Kiosk this Wednesday.

HOSTS: we still need possible hosts for out-of-town Weekenders from other schools. Sign up at Kiosk Wednesday, November 1st.

VOLUNTEERS of the WEEKEND: final planning and Board meeting Wednes- day at 4 pm in Hall 2 (tentative location).

FOREIGN LANGUAGE PROGRAMS: interested in foreign languages? Please attend meeting Wednesday in 18-18 at 4:45 and for evening students at 7:35. Questions about IL? Call 962-9260.

Bar Review

You've heard about Jim Bean v. Ferguson, Roe v. Wade, but what about Molonl v. Moosehead (Canadian Supremacy), Gin v. Vodka (the value of 'national' versus 'local')? Jim Bean v. Jack Daniels (proof of proof)? Come discuss these important issues and more at Bar Review every Friday at 12:00. See the Service Board for this and every week's location. Comments? Questions? Contact Deanne Ancker.

Constitution on Disk

A complete copy of U.S. Constitu- tion an on an IBM PC compatible disk is available at no charge from Arthur W. Silver's office. Leave an MS-DOS compatible disk in his folder next to Hall 3, and it will be returned to your folder or office as soon as possible. The disk is available in a number of word processor formats, including ASCII, WordPerfect, Xywrite, WordStar, WordStar 2000, and MultiMate. There is no need to specify which format you need, as all of them will be copied to the disk. Both 3.5" and 5.25" disk formats can be accommodated.

Dukakis Campaign Meeting

Looking for something exciting? But one without ghosts in the closet? Join other Georgetown students in becoming active participants in the national "DUKAKIS FOR PRESIDENT" campaign. Jump in on the ground floor at the first Dukakis campaign meeting on Wednesday, October 7th.

Public Interest Opportunities Workshop

If you would like to learn more about Human Services/Public Interest job opportunities, the Placement Office and EJF invite you to attend the upcoming series of workshops which will take place on Oct. 7 at 3:30 p.m. in 18-10. If the workshops interest you, please put your name and enrollment sign-up sheet in the Placement Office.

Work Study Student "Wanted"

The Barstow Center needs a fun-loving typist who can type regular office hours and perform general office duties. Fifteen hours per week and workstudy eligibility are required. Please drop off resume and cross schedule (after Co-Directors) in Room 18-8A, next to the Moot Courtroom.

The Law Weekly is currently seeking:

*Contributors
*Writers
*Photographers
*Proofreaders

Drop by the office at room 18-7