FORMER BRITISH PARLIAMENT MEMBER
FROM NORTHERN IRELAND TO SPEAK AT GULC

By MICHAEL McFADDEN

The Irish Law Students in conjunction with The Committee for Free Speech on Ireland are proud to announce that Bernadette Devlin McAliskey will be coming to GULC on Thursday, November 8th, to speak on "Censorship and the Northern Ireland Experience."

Ms. McAliskey first came to prominence as a leader of the Civil Rights Movement in Northern Ireland, demanding an end to discrimination in housing and employment and equality in political representation. In 1969, she became the youngest person ever elected to the British parliament, where she served two terms. She was one of the original leaders of the movement to support the political prisoners in Long Kesh Prison, where Bobby Sands and nine other men later died on hunger strike. As a result, Bernadette and her husband, Michael, were shot and seriously wounded by British assassins (Ms. McAliskey was shot 9 times). In 1981, while recovering from her wounds, she became the national spokesperson for the campaign to save the Long Kesh hunger strikers. Since then, she has led protests against Britain's Shoot to Kill policy in Northern Ireland, and on behalf of innocent Irish prisoners in Britain, especially the Birmingham Six. She is the author of Emigrating USA?, written for the New York Catholic Archdiocese, and the autobiography, The Price of My Soul.

Mrs. McAliskey will speak on her experiences and on the issue of censorship in Great Britain, specifically in regards to the censorship of Gerry Adams, MP for West Belfast, and President of Sinn Fein. Mr. Adams, himself, has accepted an invitation by the GULC community to come and speak on this issue of censorship, but has been denied a visa by the U.S. State Department. Please come hear Ms. McAliskey speak at 10:00pm this Thursday, November 8th, in room 207.

GULC WINS EDUCATION DEPARTMENT GRANT TO REWORK FIRST-YEAR CURRICULUM

The U.S. Department of Education has awarded "Georgetown University Law Center a three-year, $286,000 grant to redesign the first-year law school curriculum in ways that could eventually remake legal education throughout the country.

The experimental curriculum, to be taught to 125 entering students beginning in 1991, is designed to acquaint students with theoretical and practical trends that have transformed the nature of lawyering in the last five years. It will prepare students for practice in a world where many of the nineteenth-century legal categories have become obsolete, and where individual client representation in private law disputes governed by common law principles is no longer the norm.

"This is one of the most important academic projects in the history of Georgetown Law Center," said Dean Judy Arean. "It involves a complete reconceptualization of the first-year curriculum."

Several of Georgetown's preeminent faculty members—led by constitutional and criminal law scholar Louis Michael Goldblum—have been assigned by Dean Arean to the pioneering curriculum revision project.

According to Dean Arean, the ultimate goal of the multi-disciplinary team is to discover new ways of conveying introductory principles. The new curriculum will place more emphasis on the legislative and administrative aspects of legal practice and on the theoretical and ethical underpinnings of the legal system. Although students will continue to learn traditional first-year material essential to legal education, the information will be rearranged so as to emphasize the connection between different aspects of the law and to better integrate theory with actual practice, Arean explained.

The Education Department's Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education (FIPSE) has pledged $143,325 for the first year of the project, $204,425 for the second year, and $204,112 for the third. Even after federal funding expires, the Law Center is committed to continuing this ambitious undertaking.

This marks the first that the Department of Education has allotted grant money for redesigning a law school curriculum. Also noteworthy, prominent scholars and deans at law schools around the country wrote the Education Department in support of Georgetown's effort.

For almost one hundred years, the standard first-year curriculum at major American law schools has remained virtually unchanged. Now, instead of taking traditional courses such as torts, contracts and property, students will be enrolled in "Democracy and Coercion," "Government Regulation" and "Justice," which more accurately capture the themes and principles involved with modern law practice.

ATTENTION ALL STUDENTS!

Town Meeting on Curricular Reform: Next Fall, one section of first-year students will be taught an experimental curriculum that departs in important ways from what is now being presented in the first year. A committee of faculty members is now at work preparing the curriculum and is interested in hearing student reactions to it. A town meeting to discuss the new curriculum will be held in the Moot Court Room at 3:30 p.m. on Wednesday, November 7. The committee will present the outlines of the new curriculum, receive feedback and respond to any questions or comments.
LETTER: EJF Thank You

On behalf of the Equal Justice Foundation, I would like to thank the entire Law Center community for its outstanding support of our second annual Services Auction on Tuesday night. Thanks to the combined generosity of students, faculty, administration, staff and the Washington Law Center community, we were able to raise over $8,000 (the final figures will be in short order), which translates into two summer public interest fellowships.

I’d also like to echo the very appropriate sentiment shared by our auctioneer extraordinaire, the Honorable William Esbridge, at the close of the bidding: Tuesday night was much more than a fund raiser. It was an absolutely delightful manifestation of spirit, warmth and cooperation that is all too rare within our competitive law school community. EJF, along with many other student groups, spends a lot of its time criticizing the Law Center for its lack of support for public service law and, while we think our critiques play an important role in effecting positive change, but we shouldn’t forget to acknowledge the support we receive — which goes far beyond fund-raising, to the enthusiasm and creativity offered by all the donors and bidders who helped make the auction a great success.

So we thank you, everyone, for taking time out to donate, buy a raffle ticket, bid in the silent or live auction or just join us for an entertaining evening of spending. I hope the community-building spurred by the auction carries over into our daily lives weeks and months after the auction is long gone and that sense of community eventually fosters an even deeper commitment to public service and public interest law.

Becky Jones, EJF Co-chair

LETTER: Turkey Bingo Response

This is in response to Ellen Davis’ letter regarding the “Turkey Bingo” game in Section 3. I agree with the majority of her comments about the nature of the game. But I take exception to her comment that she is doing her classmates a favor by speaking out in class. I am one of those students who don’t speak in class, but I did not ask Ms. Davis to do me any favors and bear the burden of the class martyr. Class discussion is certainly critical to the learning process, and its value is not to be underestimated. But I would like to caution Ms. Davis against assuming the position she seems to be taking in relation to her classmates.

Rajiv Parikh

Section 3
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JACKSON 80% CORRECT
By SCOTT ALAN SHUDA

"IT'S TIME FOR THE BLACKL MAN TO STOP BLAMING THE WHITE MAN FOR ALL OF HIS PROBLEMS." The sign speaks for themselves. If you ask Don Felden why he makes them, he will tell you it is because he is fed up with our "so called leaders." "It is time some one spoke the truth," he explains. "Our leaders speak more from their wallets then their hearts. While they sit around recycling 1960s ideas, I am working for a 21st century." I went to see Mr. Felden after hearing the Rev. Jesse Jackson speak at GULC. I found myself agreeing with at least 80% of what Rev. Jackson said, but the remaining 20% destroyed his argument and can counter to his avowed purposes.

Why, if Rev. Jackson is so challenged by hope for the future, does he spend so much time burling hate at the past? In his speech, Jackson used at least 20 minutes to vehemently declare Cumbus for committing the New World and practic- ing genocide on its inhabitants. Next he ridiculed the framers of the Constitution, casting them as not as the revolu- tionary stride toward freedom it was, but rather as an attempt at suppression and tyranny. Finally, he said Rev. Jackson's attempt to lead by hope as he claims, or is his purpose to lead by promoting and maintaining his class division.

Den Felden believes he knows the an- swer. Every weekday he presents his arguments to pass by his table at the Judiciary Square Metro stop on Fourth Street. Mr. Felden has been a street vendor at that location for six years. Prior to that he sold insurance, and before that he managed a McDon- nell. He was a political science major at the University of Alabama, Birmingham and will soon have his own boutique inside the new building at Judiciary Square. To him, it all boils down to responsibility. "Those who are busy pointing out the deficiencies in others," he argues, "nine times out of ten refuse to take responsibility for the results in their own lives." "They would rather blame someone else."

The solution, Mr. Felden told me is simple. "If we all took responsibility for ourselves and were accountable fair to children, to our families and to our neighborhoods, we would not have the problems we have today. Why do peo- ple refuse to take responsibility? "Because it is difficult. We have made it much easier to scream racism and blame someone else for the problems in our own lives."

What about the racism that does exist in America? "America has too many elected and un-elected black leaders to even be the slightest bit concerned with racism. Hate is hate, whether from white to black or black to white, and of course it needs to be eradicated. But it is time for us to take the foot off the hate, those who hate cannot make any posi- tive contributions to society so leave them alone, let them wallow in their hate. When someone brings up racism, don't let it go, ask that person what they are offering that is positive."

This brought us to the subject of affirmative action. Rev. Jackson placed great importance in the 1990 Civil Rights Act and its programs of affirmative ac- tion to rectify injustice done in the past. I asked Mr. Felden what he thought of such efforts and whether it was fair to perpetuate a system that discriminates in favor of someone because they are black. Mr. Felden is increasing discriminating against others because they are Asian. "That's crap," he said. "Why penalize someone for working hard and make special exemptions for someone else? All anyone should have is an equal opportunity."

Is the playing field level? "It is. Naturally you will always people have a problem looking at the inequities of the past, but the situation has changed. We Ameri- canos do not own this country anymore, foreigners do. The same white man who blacks keep blaming for their problems so busy trying to save his own job. Its not about the color of my skin, but the quali- ty of my brain and what I have to offer. This country is about money. If you can deliver, you are going to get the nod. It's that simple."

What kind of reaction does he get? Felden says it is mostly positive. "A lot of people, both black and white say it is about time somebody said something. Those who disagree often just don't want to see the truth. The truth is bitter sometimes, but the truth is the truth."

An increasing number of com- mentators, both black and white, liberals and conservatives have begun to pro- mote the ideas expressed by Don Felden. It is in their hope that emphasis can be taken off the inequities of the past to be placed on the hope and opportunity available in the present and the future.

These writers have no lesser apprecia- tion of the injustices done in America's past; they just do not believe equality and justice can be served by instituting reverse discrimination and promoting racial division.

Jesse Jackson is right, this country needs new leadership and a new vision of equality for all people regardless of race, gender or sexual persuasion. Jesse Jackson is wrong when he employs tac- tics of malice and division to further his cause. Despite Mr. Jackson's claim to the contrary, the President and the majority of Americans who opposed the 1990 Civil Rights Act are not racists. They believe, as Don Felden believes, that it is time people are judged by the content of their minds and quality of the character and not by their gender, their lifestyle or the color of their skin.

JESE, DARE I ASK YOU TO SAY IT AGAIN?
By SHEILA M. LOUIS

There comes a time when a breadth of knowledge blows our way and with it the reality that we are no longer children in blis- lies. O savor the moment when knowl- edge so basic and fundamental presents itself in such an elegant manner; be still my heart for the joy in understand- ing it.

Jesse Jackson's visit to the Law Cen- ter last week was one of these rare mo- ments in time when one has such an opportunity to FORCE oneself to think on the issues of the real world—the ones that, no doubt, will require our deliber- ation as young lawyers endowed with im- peccable problem-solving skills. Although calm and reserved in his pres- entation, I can't help wondering how many of us REALLY heard his basic mes- sage and felt his concern for the human condition. Will young Americans will surely experience or hopefully learn to understand it in the years to come.

While everyone may be willing to con- cede that his messages are never weak, always captivating, how many are will- ing and able to recognize him for his ideas and principles and not his oratory skills. Unfortunately may people know him because of his ability to present his ideas but refuse to consider his mes- sage, instead preferring to turn a deaf ear to the policies that America practices at home but abroad against them, and in the end, refusing to give credibil- ity to his words because he is an African- American. Oh yes, it's a color thing and I do understand—notice I didn't say accept. But it must render some un- comfortable feeling, some realization of incompetency to our elected officials when an unsettled, "informally" trained individual can challenge their system by taking it upon himself to educate the masses on the basic understanding of humanity U.S.-style, in light of his clear understanding of justice vs. "just us" principle.

How long will those of us who claim integrity and conscience elude the basic task of really understanding which skills are involved to bring peace and equality at home and abroad, on a short-term and/or long-term basis. Who among us will learn the art of negotiation, manage- ment and compromise and still be will- ing to take risks given the long, unsure road ahead. And where do those leave us, the "guardians of justice," as Fr. O'Donovan so eloquently puts it. How many of us graduate unable or simply incapable of making "just" decisions. I know, I know, on its face this is not a money- making proposition or priority—no- the job of bleeding heart liberals; real men and women don't make justiciable decisions.

And so, as Jesse says—no rules, no diversity, no hope. Never mind the philosophy comes from an African- American (a naive thought on my part). HOPE, now there's a word. Sounds like a pretty serious word selection to me. But are things really that desperate so that we are only left with the element of hope? Is the situation that bad? Guess it would be easier to assume he just pulled the word out of a hat and since it sounded good... what does a brother know? But, try as he must, Jesse keeps asking us to "keep hope alive." Ponder, ponder.

Whatever becomes of a society and that of its people when life becomes so polarized and injustice continues to hug each one of us like a spiked blanket; false security or revolt would be my guess, take your pick. Could the notion of hope play a role in this somehow?

What's your hypothetical?

Can we all continue to rely on that somebody who will come along and re- fresh the memory of how there can be no peace without justice for all—l'd be particularly interested in knowing the vote on that one. Or will young America, following in that old time tradition, lazily await that special someone to come and rock the conscience someone who will start at the beginning, once more, for those who didn't get it the first time they ever heard it and then in the end leaving you to pray that the person should have been of the caucasian persuasion so that all of America wouldn't be afraid to be- lieve it this time.

Do you really feel that Jesse's visit was long enough? Didn't you have just one little question on the human condi- tion? However you need to hear it to un- derstand it, he can say it, What country, what an opportunity!

As future leaders we must be careful in our decisions and in our actions to observe and perpetuate a basic founda- tion for justice. As leaders we should be apprehensive about taking the short- cuts and other avenues that allow us to
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Funded EJF Student Works to End Domestic Violence in D.C.

By LEE LIAMBELIS

In the U.S., a woman is more likely to be assaulted, injured, raped or killed by her partner than by any other type of assailant. An estimated 3 to 4 million American women are beaten each year by their husbands or partners; and more than 1,000 deaths annually are caused by such attacks.

National experts on violence report wife-beating causes more injuries that require medical treatment than rape, auto accidents, and muggings combined. Law enforcement officials believe domestic violence is the most common and yet least reported crime in our nation. Many of those unnecessary deaths can be prevented if communities recognize the severity and potential lethality of the problem, and intervene before it is too late.

AYUDA's Clinica Legal Latina is the primary source of legal representation for the District of Columbia's low-income Latinas in domestic violence and domestic relations cases. Clinica represents 98 percent of the Spanish-speaking, battered women who resort to the courts for protection. Over 95 percent of AYUDA's clients are Hispanic. Many are undocumented and wary of the legal system. They are an extremely vulnerable, and often exploited population. AYUDA—which means help in Spanish—assists these women by helping them obtain protective orders, temporary custody of their children and eviction of their abusive husbands.

Thanks to the generosity of the GULC Community, as an EJF Fellowship recipient this past summer, I was able to work at AYUDA's Clinica Legal Latina. While there, I drafted orders of protection, motions, pleadings and responses to interrogatories, conducted case investigations and legal research, and interviewed clients and witnesses. The most exciting part of the summer revolved around my stint in Superior Court, where I spent approximately two weeks as unofficial co-counsel in a divorce/child custody proceeding. It was a case in which the intricacies of civil procedure came vividly to life, as I had an opportunity to draft every conceivable motion available in my trusty rule book.

The summer legal intern program at AYUDA is similar to a law school clinical program in that it is highly structured. In fact, most law students from institutions other than Georgetown, received between 9-13 externship credits while working there full-time. While not a complete list, among the law schools which afford students externship credit are: Harvard, American, Catholic, George Washington, North Eastern, University of Houston, University of Denver and the University of Iowa. Second-year students get the added benefit of court certification, which allows them to spend a significant amount of time in Superior Court actually advocating on behalf of their clients. In addition, Clinic orientations and work sessions are regularly held by seminar panelists on matters of relevance, for example: Domestic Violence Syndrome; child support law and enforcement; social services available to the community; and community education and advocacy. The opportunity exists for students to work with coalitions of Latino and women's groups on legislative and administrative strategies, and other advocacy efforts. Interns are encouraged to attend community meetings and lobby on issues of concern.

Summer legal interns are delegated significant responsibility and assume primary responsibility for their clients from initial intake through the administrative hearing. All students work with and are closely supervised by staff attorneys. My summer at AYUDA provided me with invaluable hands on exposure and training in all aspects of litigation. In addition to the practical experience to be garnered, interns are also able to enhance their Spanish speaking ability and their mediation, counseling and oral advocacy skills. To the many supporters of the Fund drive my most sincere thanks for making this valuable experience possible.

ENVIRONMENTAL PERSPECTIVES

ELF Members and Local Youths

Hike on Billy Goat Trail

By JOYCE LIM

We couldn't ask for much more—blue skies, plenty of sunshine, becoming one with Mother Nature. All we needed were some billy goats, and our day would have been complete.

On Sunday, October 28, some members of the Environmental Law Forum joined the Boys and Girls Club of Silver Spring for a hike on the Billy Goat Trail in Great Falls. The outing is one of many where the Sierra Club sponsors with local organizations who seek to give inner city children the opportunity to participate in outdoor activities away from the city. Aside from the excitement of climbing big rocks, and the challenge of crossing a bustling stream via soggy and slippery logs, we hoped we would be able to impress upon the children something besides our weathered hiking talents.

When the outing began, we found our younger counterparts blushing the trail for the rest of us by following the blue markers, which were painted on the trees and rocks—not always in the most visible places. Hadley, sporting his bright red windbreaker, tended to lead us astray, but was able to regain his bearings soon enough. The counselor for the Boys and Girls Club assured us that they would soon tire, though in fact they gained momentum, and were conversing the trail like pros. Was it better to jump from rock to rock, set your foot down on the flat surface or edge of the rock, or slide down the rock and risk wearing a hole in your bottoms? We may never know for sure.

Some of our more memorable moments included discovering a baby turtle in a pool of water in between some rocks (we, it was really a beetle, but it was close enough), and watching the children play with a golden retriever, who didn't seem to mind braving the cold river to fetch a stick. We got a quick introduction at the art of kayaking from a kind passerby, and two of the Elfs saved the day when they caught up to a six-year-old boy bolting down the path in a frantic search of his grandfather. Last, we forget stopping for lunch, where one could find anything from Qatordae, to homemade cookies, to chewy fruity dipsours (which by the way were supplied by one of our bigger Elfs).

While one cannot expect too much in just an afternoon, the volunteers hoped that they had laid the groundwork for developing a relationship with the children in the future. It is ours and the counselor's hope that we can not only provide guidance and encouragement with respect to the value of an education, but that we may become people who they will trust and rely upon, for whatever their needs may be. We have been invited to visit the Club on Saturdays, and possibly participate in coaching some sports teams, and have already planned another outing with them on November 18. If anyone is interested in participating in a Sierra Club outing, please feel free to drop by the ELF office and let us know.

ELF and Boys and Girls Club Members search for "Baby Turtle" hiding behind the algae.
LETTER: The Bigger Picture

This is in response not only to Meers, Garafla and De Negris, but also to Ellen Davis and other people who feel repressed in their views.

There seems to be some strange dichotomy between older and younger students here. I am assuming that Ms. Davis and the two men complaining about "Love the Law" are older than I am, in fact much older. I have seen this dichotomy play out in other forums, between day and evening students as well.

I am generalizing for the sake of my pet theory. I know that this does not cover everybody, and I do not have illusions that it does. I am also not trying to come up with stereotyped. That is not my point here, and I would appreciate if you do not read it that way.

The average day/younger JD student for my model is about 24 years old. The average age older perhaps LLM student is about 34 years old in my model. Do not attack my model, because I am well aware that this does not apply to everyone in those groups. I think it does apply to Garafa, Davis, et. al. (even though Ms. Davis is a day student)

Us younger people, being closer to college and further from real responsibility, are a bit more in tune with our feelings and attitudes toward each other and this process we are in called "law school," it's just the next step in our march toward growing up. We place great emphasis on having fun and trying not to take things too seriously. It is our social lives that is being squeezed at law school, and we rebel with bingo games and drinking fests.

The people on the SBA who support the parties are the day students, and it is the right students who often vote more money for clubs and more serious functions.

You older people (I am guessing here), have felt the crunch of responsibility and the yoke of working for a living has been placed forever on your neck. Many of you have families, many of you are starting a new career here. Take this stuff very seriously, and may not have as much time to fool around as we younger people do. Thus you get upset at barriers to the learning process (bingo) and the foolishness we young people bring to the rest of our lives.

Further, I believe that many of you see yourselves in the administration's shoes more than I ever would. I've spent the last four years battling administrations, and the last thing I would do is rush to their defenses. But you had the people who have gone to jobs, worn their clothes, stood in their shoes at whatever job you come from. When Susan Clar- ton attacked Dean Aren's style, I thought it was inspired parody.

Much of what we younger people are doing here involves a certain level of soul searching. Are we going to join law firms? When we do lose sight of our personal goals and become another cog in the wheel? I am not prepared to do that tomorrow, and I do not think that even the most prepared 3L is going to be happy to leave academia and start up in refigure.

We attack the adult world that we are being trained for because we know that it is just waiting there to transform us from free thinking individuals into faceless lawyer-prostitutes working for some firm for the rest of our lives. In attacking the adult working world, we are not attacking you or the choices you have made or had made for you by other factors beyond your control.

You older people who are now coming to law school should think about why you may be here. Could it be that you are trying to escape the job choices you made in the past? If so, your unhappiness in the system you were in is similar to our loathing of the law we are marching off to. If not, your unhappiness in the system we are in is similar to our loathing of the law we are marching off to.

I hope that you can breathe for a minute and lift your pressures some to realize that many of the younger students here are going to have fun, no matter what. We would appreciate it if you would join us, instead of seeing yourselves as the main course.

I may be wrong.

Larry Renbaum
SBA Delegate

LETTER: "Dress the Dean"

Recent criticisms of Susan Charlton's "Dress the Dean" cartoon—that it was either despicable personal attack or an incomprehensible and mean—are too literal-minded. Charlton works as a satirist; she uses shorthand—cartoon, exaggeration, sometimes outrageousness—to compress a complicated set of concerns into a single drawing. "Dress the Dean" seems to me to make Dean Aren's supposed poor taste a symbol of other, more disturbing issues (consider the axe and the whip's hat), including student perceptions right or wrong—that the Dean is insensitive and preoccupied about budget-cutting. While no one sees much in the cartoon, differences of opinion are typical in any consideration of art—especially satire. The point, however, is not whether Charlton's constructed an elegantly reasoned argument against Dean Aren's policies, but rather the cartoon has, at least for some, resonance beyond a simple childish insult and that it makes sense as criticism.

But is it mean? Maybe. Still, the satirist's business is to rouse the powerful; discretion cannot be her guide. Steven De Negris argues that, whatever criticism Charlton may have, "maturity, professionalism and good taste would dictate that she criticize the policies that displeased her; she sent her message in the same way every parodist—from Dickens to O'Flannan to the writers of In Living Color—always has.

What is troubling, though, is the re-creation that the cartoon proves Charlton to see infamia—children who should be sent to bed without dinner. Aside from being nastier than the cartoon itself, these criticisms say more than that "Dress the Dean" was in poor taste—they refuse to accept Charlton as a talented, or, for that matter, even a mediocre satirist. When Harlock or Gary Trudeau strike at the very soul of a leader, some grumbles about poor taste, but you seldom hear that they simply have no business criticizing and should be banned as soon as their fathers get home. But this is the tone of the charges against Charlton. Why is her talent so seriously in question? It seems beyond dispute that she is close to, if not already at, professional level. Is it that anyone but a spoiled brat would recognize Dean Aren's taste be immune from satirical attack? Not only is the Dean well able to defend herself, but she is in authority, and knows that with authority comes the critic's barb. Is that Charlton has trained to be a lawyer and therefore should criticize as lawyers do? That begs the question; she is also a satirist. It is that she's just a silly "girl"? That argument deserves no answer.

Charlton has talent and discipline; she works hard and consistently and is entitled to the respect we would give any artist whom we feel may have erred in a particular instance, but whose work is generally admirable. To the argument that she did not accord that respect to Dean Aren, I suggest that the cartoon taken in the lowest light possible—as a reprehensible attack on the Dean's fashion sense—never says that Dean Aren should not be recognized as Dean, that her pretensions to the job are serious delusions, or that she had the people who would recognize her, her defects, or that she is not a satirist at all. A final word: John Garafa's letter of October 29 suggests that "Charlton's cartoon—"The Ten Types of Interviewer"—was an inadequate and cowardly response to criticism of the "Dress the Dean" cartoon. Garafa writes: "Rather than respond in writing, Ms. Charlton apparently decided it was safer to ridicule her detractors obliquely. The piece tells us much more about Ms. Charlton than one would have thought she wanted published. This chillingly, almost incredibly, off the mark. The cartoon Garafla refers to clearly had nothing to do with the "Dress the Dean."" This is, indeed, it used one of Charlton's typical motifs, following the style of Matt Groening. It kindled the interview process. The cartoonists in front of whom we terminally sit is now deemed an "oblique" response to the two-week-old cartoon, as well as a "narrow-minded" and "mean-splitter," then we have bigger problems at the Law Center than Susan Charlton's cartoons.

Jonathan Altman

LETTER: Cartoonist Debate

We hesitate to expand the "childish cartoonists" debate any further, but this is Georgetown, where everyone gets to bitch in the Law Weekly, so we will (as usual) ignore common sense and say our piece anyway.

We are proud members of Susan Charlton's limited circle of friends and we would like to remind everyone of the basic point of cartoon humor: it is an expression of anger. And in the cartoon, "Dress the Dean," any anger felt is directed at Dean Aren. Susan is not beautiful, Susan is not a "girl," Susan is not a "cartoonist.""

If you want to see Susan and her limited circle of friends express their mean-spiritedness, stop by for Paul's excellent jambalaya some Friday evening. The humor isn't just cheap—it's free.

Gary Winsor
Paul Nash
Michelle Morris
Carolyn Hinckley

LETTER: "Dress the Dean"

The dress-up affair turned out to be a complete waste. The Dean was not impressed with anyone's performance, and was deaf to the insinuation that he might be a "nose for more." The students were disillusioned, and the faculty were left with a sense of frustration.

The event was poorly attended, with only a handful of students showing up to witness the spectacle. The costumes were amateurish and the overall atmosphere was one of disinterest. The Dean's presence added to the sense of disbelief that the event was even happening.

The Dean's response to the event was lukewarm, at best. He was not impressed with the outfits or the manner in which they were presented. His comments were dismissive and his attitude was one of disappointment.

The students were left feeling disappointed and disillusioned. The event was not what they had hoped it would be, and they were left feeling let down.

The faculty were also left with a sense of frustration. They had invested a lot of time and energy into organizing the event, and the lack of participation and the lack of interest from the Dean were a source of disappointment.

Overall, the "Dress the Dean" event was a disappointment. The Dean's response was not what anyone had hoped for, and the lack of participation and interest from the students and the faculty left a sense of frustration and disillusionment.
THE VOICE

By CHRISTOPHER B. DOLAN

I was speaking to a friend of mine the other day. Yes I actually have some friends. They may not readily admit it publicly, but nonetheless, they do exist. She told me that she thought that my last column was a tad too philosophical. Well, maybe it was that molly bnd I had that morning that got me on a philosophical tangent. So this week I will get back to the job of answering questions.

NEW STUDENT CENTER

Last week I was asked about the new Gwizita Student Center that is to be built across the street from the Sullivan House on the corner of F and 2nd Streets in the present Colonial parking lot. The sale of this land (see our back issue of the Law Weekly) will fund the student center. The specific question was: Is it true that the facility will be dedicated to students? Is it really a student center? The answer to both of these questions is a resounding yes. While it may be technically correct to refer to the building as the "Student Center," it is important to note that the facility will be dedicated to students. The building will house a variety of student-oriented services, including a student services center, a student activities center, a student resource center, and a student lounge. The center will be a hub for student activities and will provide a space for students to gather, study, and socialize.

PAUL'S WORLD

Bush's Midterm Grades

By PAUL NASH

Well, well, well. If any of you are paying attention, you know that midterm elections are rapidly approaching. If you're registered around here, get out and vote. If not, too late to send in those same absentee ballots. So, midterm elections mean that we can rate the Bush Administration and, if we so choose, decide, begin calling for impeachment. For a long time, President Bush maintained tremendous popularity in national polls. Unfortunately for him, some real issues came along and he had to actually work for it (in other words, Presidential decisions). His ratings fell appreciably and dissent began to emerge. The Administration has been fortunate that its approval has held steady, thanks to the war in Afghanistan and the war with Iraq. However, the situation is changing, and if Bush wants to maintain his high ratings, he will have to work for them. The President must confront the issues head-on, and take responsibility for his actions. Only then can he expect to maintain his popularity.
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LETTER: Change Reply

"All who live by the pen shall also perish by the pen," or so might Jesus and Baron Lytton have collaborated. It was therefore with a morbid curiosity that I skimmed October 28th's "Weekly" for my obituary. I was pleased that my article had painted a disturbing enough picture to merit a response, but only in law school could my hyperbole be taken out of context, distorted, and have its meaning lost.

It would be erroneous for me to say I could follow the logic of the reply piece, but I interpret it as being a call for compassion and a rejection of pity—among other things. Compassion is "a deep feeling of sharing in the suffering of another." How can one share in another's suffering? Pity, on the other hand, is "sorrow or grief aroused by the misfortune of another." Both are problematic, but pity is at least attainable. (Both definitions are from The American Heritage Dictionary.)

The pity of which I spoke does not come from pride, as the response believes. "Horror and revulsion" might come from guilt, which need not be "related to pride." My underlying thesis was that my socio-economic position was largely a result of chance. That did not create in me pride, but did gnaw on my conscience about the plight of persons without my good fortune.

Ironically, the reply chastises me for my self-indulgent guilt, but invokes a religion (Christianity) which regularly employs guilt as a tool. All of this comes from the presupposition that pity and guilt must come from pride. To my way of thinking, the presupposition is tenacious at best. The argument is too metaphysical, either way.

For the purpose of brevity, I shall limit myself to one more grievance. The answer to my rhetorical question, "But who is that Messiah?" is Me. I can deliver and liberate myself. I can work towards that deliverance and liberation of others. It is not that anyone is inadequate, people are just unwilling.

One final note, because I cannot share in another's suffering, I can have no compassion. Though pity is revolting, it is the best I can offer. Tom's asserted biblical authority is not necessarily controlling. "He that hath pity upon the poor lendeth unto the Lord."—Proverbs 19:17

Love,
DF Edwards

LETTER: Douglas Excuses

**TOP 10 BUSTER DOUGLAS EXCUSES**

10. It's hard to concentrate when you're getting hit in the face.
9. Couldn't hear bell over all the normal ringing in my ears.
8. Changed nickname right before fight time from "Buster" to "Bustex" and responded accordingly.
7. Thought neither fighter was permitted to hit above the belt.
6. Seeing the shiny, rippling, muscular Holyfield in his Jockey's at the weigh-in stirred my emotions deep inside.
5. Masterfully recreated the role of Apollo Creed in Rocky IV.
4. Pre-occupied with those federal budget problems.
3. If everyone reacted to violence the way I did—stopped fighting, beat their swords into plowshares, and learned to get along—the world would be a nicer place in which to live.
2. Robin Givens winked at me.
1. Thought I'd have 10 seconds to get up PLUS that extra hour from daylight savings.

by Russ Ginsberg

Deadline for Letters to the Editor Thursdays, 6:00 pm Room 161
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WOMEN'S LAW AND PUBLIC POLICY

By JUDY LYONS WOLF

Tucked away in a small office in the Sex Discrimination Clinic on the third floor of the Law School is a program with a large reputation: the Women's Law and Public Policy Fellowship Program. In its eighth year, it can point to alumni in positions throughout the country dealing with women's issues. The current Fellows, now placed around Washington at various public interest organizations, bring the number of Women's Law Fellows to sixty-seven. Since the Program began in 1983, Women's Law Fellows have been placed in thirty-three organizations, including women's rights groups, civil rights groups, Congressional offices, government agencies, and the GULC Sex Discrimination Clinic.

Anyone who holds a J.D. and/or an L.L.M., or will hold it by the beginning of the Program, from an ABA-accredited law school may apply for a fellowship. Last year 125 applications were received, representing 71 different law schools. Seven of the applicants were from Harvard Law School, six each from Boalt Hall/Berkeley and Boston U, five each from NYU, Wisconsin and Brooklyn. And Georgetown? Only three applicants were received from the Law Center. This year's Fellows come from eight other law schools: Berkeley and Stanford (two each), Harvard, Yale, NYU, Michigan, Wisconsin and the University of Natal in South Africa by way of Duke. (There is a Georgetown connection however: Adjunct Professor Emily Van Tassell is a Women's Law Fellow working at the Senate Judiciary Committee.)

Where else are this year's Fellows working? People for the American Way, the National Women's Political Caucus, the Intra-Family Offense Unit of the D.C. Corporation Counsel, and OEF International—a program which works throughout the world to promote women's rights under law, especially in the Third World—have all received their first Women's Law Fellow this year. MALDEF (the Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund) and Senate Judiciary have their second Fellows. The Women's Legal Defense Fund, the National Women's Law Center and the Sex Discrimination Clinic have Fellows. Of what kinds of work are the Fellows doing? Last year, three Fellows played key roles in the development of the Just- Mental Civil Rights legislation. The year before that, four Fellows wrote various amicus briefs in the Webster case. In each of the last two years, a Fellow has testified before Congress. The Fellows at the GULC Sex Discrimination Clinic, at D.C. Corp Counsel, and in previous years at Ayuda, all represent victims of domestic violence. In short, the Fellows engage in important work on legal and policy issues affecting women and have opportunities and experiences not often accorded to recent law graduates.

In addition to their work on women's issues, the Fellows come to the Law Center for regular seminars. A seminar this October points to the quality of their offerings. The Fellows had reserved seats for the Supreme Court argument in Johnson Controls, which involved the fetal protection policies of a battery manufacturer. They received copies of eight briefs in the case (including the one authored by Professor Ross and Cahn in the Sex Discrimination Clinic). After the argument, Marcia Berzon, who had argued the case (brilliantly) for the petitioners, came back to the Law School and led a discussion of the argument, how it had gone, what strategies they had used to delude some of the emotional content of the issues, what she expected the justices to do. It was a wonderful opportunity for the Fellows to be close to that thinking behind a Supreme Court argument. Similarly this week, the attorney at the ACLU Reproductive Freedom Project who is responsible for their role and briefs in rust v. Sullivan came to discuss the case on the Monday before it was argued in the Supreme Court on Tuesday. In the legislative area, Cokie Roberts addressed the Fellows at their orientation in September on the role and effect of women in the Congress and on the Congress. The list goes on; during their year, the Fellows are exposed to extraordinary people and opportunities as they learn how women's legal and policy issues are being addressed in the nation's capital.

And yet very few GULC students apply. Perhaps those who are committed to working on women's issues have not heard of the Program. Maybe they do not know it is housed here at the law school. Maybe, the list of possibilities is endless. For those who are interested, however, the application deadline is November 30, and applications are due November 25. Applications are available at the Women's Law and Public Policy Fellowship Program office in Room 334 or by calling 622-9640. Anyone interested in applying and needing more information should feel free to talk with either Professor Susan Ross or Assistant Director Judy Lyons Wolf.

FREE TRADE, PROSPERITY AND THE ENVIRONMENT

By ANDREW J. LORENZ

Mr. Gehlert's article on the hidden cost of free trade (Law Weekly, Oct. 15, 1990) raises serious issues that deserve more serious treatment. There is a remarkably strong consensus among international trade experts that the free trade regime created after WWII has been the principal engine of the unprecedented economic growth and prosperity of the industrialized world. No responsible economist doubts that free trade fosters efficiency and wealth creation, contrary to Mr. Gehlert's assertion. Even Paul Krugman, who I think is fairly labeled a protectionist, only disputes the extent to which trade barriers retard economic growth, not the fact that they do. Even so, he only achieves his result by ignoring the bracing, invigorating stimulus that foreign competition brings to an economy.

Being poor is no guarantee of environmental virtue, nor are government controls on the trading system or economy necessarily the answer. In fact, quite the opposite is generally true. The barrier system in place until recently throughout most of the communist world (COMM-ECON) is in a case in point. Government interference and subsidies caused horrendous inefficiencies throughout the economies of these countries. In particular, wasteful use of subsidized energy (e.g. in former East Germany, Soviet oil elsewhere) has left a disgusting legacy of pollution. I suggest Mr. Gehlert take a look at the stone work in Prague or the water of the Vltava.

Poverty and environmental degradation also go hand in hand in Africa, which is rapidly becoming deforested due to local demand for wood fuel. In Indonesia, the logging of tropical hardwoods is having a similar effect, encouraged by the policies of the government.

More than anything else, the developing nations need market access for their goods. The nations that broke out of poverty in the last decade, especially the four tigers (Singapore, Hong Kong, Taiwan and South Korea) were those with policies that encouraged export-led growth. It is emphatically not free trade that exploits these countries, but rather the protectionist policies of the industrialized world.

In precisely those fields in which the developing world is best able to compete (textiles and agriculture), the European Community and Japan (and the U.S. to a lesser extent) have strangulated market access. The Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) in the EC costs the nations of Europe tens of billions of dollars each year, and keeps the countries with a comparative advantage in agriculture (including the U.S.) out of the market. Textiles are governed by the notorious Multifibre Agreement, which has a similar maldistributive effect. Both of these sectors are covered by protectionist exceptions under GATT.

The U.S. has put the issue of agriculture on the agenda of the GATT Round of the GATT by proposing to eliminate subsidies and turn over visibility trade barriers, such as quotas, into tariffs. These tariffs would then be progressively lowered for free members (the same procedure followed so successfully for manufactures). Opening the world food market would be a tremendous boon to the U.S. economy, given the world-leading productivity of our farmers and our status as a net food exporter. The U.S. is also pursuing better protection of intellectual property rights under GATT.

SUCCESS WITH SYPHAX

By TRICIA McHUGH

Georgetown Outreach would like to thank all those who baked, bagged cookies and candles for, and/or participated in the Halloween party at Syphax Elementary on October 31. The project was a big success. The 50 kindergarteners for whom we had the party were extremely excited to see us. They really enjoyed the clown, mouse, crayon and teenage mutant ninja frog costumes, as well as the Halloween stories we read, and spooky music we played. They were equally happy to receive the special treat their parents gave them.

After the party, volunteers met and talked with other Syphax students during their lunch/recess period, and assisted many with their Halloween costumes and make-up prior to the school's pumpkin contest and costume parade.

We also met many of the school faculty and staff, including the school principal, Ms. Marilyn Williams, to whom we presented a package of Safeway and Giant receipts totaling over $8000.00. She was very appreciative and extended thanks to the GULC community. Thanks again to all who contributed!

G.O. will be planning other events with Syphax Elementary. We hope the terrific response of the GULC community continues; close to 30 volunteers participated in the above activities. Photos of the fun day are forthcoming; please look for them on the G.O. bulletin board.

Finally, please see Tricia in the G.O. office (#172) ASAP if you are interested in assisting in a festival at which the homeless will be making Christmas crafts. It is called the "Old Fashioned Christmas Gift Making Festival," and is Saturday, November 17, in the Catholic University area. This event allows the less fortunate to prepare gifts for Christmas which they otherwise would not be able to afford.

Be Safe, Ride the Shuttle to Union Station
Free Trade
Continued from page 9

der GATT. Patents for U.S. pharmaceu-
ticals are routinely ignored in Bra-
Zil, which leaves U.S. firms footing large
research and development bills without
reaping commensurate overseas pro-
fits. Elsewhere, copyrights for U.S.-
produced software are also violated.
Both of these practices can best be
attacked through a multilateral forum
like the GATT which has competence to
set uniform international guidelines for
competition.
The total benefits to the U.S. economy
that the current GATT round succeeds are
estimated at $300 billion over the next
decade. The total cost of failure is
to be $100 billion over the same period (fig-
ures from the Stern Group). Mr.
Gehlert’s claim that the U.S. will not be
benefit from the strengthening of GATT
is simply not true.
In terms of surrendering sovereignty
to a group of bureaucrats in Rome, the
GATT provides for a nation’s noncom-
pliance upon payment of compensation.
The recent history of international safety
and environmental “trade crisis,”
however, shows that they are usually a
protest anyway. The EEC’s temporary
refusal to allow U.S. beef that had been
given growth hormones into Europe last
year, against all evidence that such beef
was perfectly safe, is a case in point.
How about the issue of British beef and
sporogen disease, or possibly the
biggest hoax of all, the Chinese grape
cyancide scare? Apparently the latest evi-
dence is that the cyanide was introduced
into the grapes after their arrival in the
U.S., possibly with some sort of FDA col-
fusion. GATT provides not only a more
impartial view of such matters, but the
payment of compensation brings home
to each excluding country that it is im-
posing a cost on itself. Only when the
costs are quantified in this way can the
national political process draw some ra-
tional balance between cost and risk.
In addition, the regime being tried out
in California is quite controversial. As I
understand it, the Californians have re-
jected a basic tenet of toxicologists that
“the dose makes the toxin”; and are try-
ing to exclude all traces of substances
that may have harmful effects above a
certain dose. To me, this also violates
common sense. Many things are harm-
ful in excess, such as vitamin A, that are
beneficial in small doses.
Mr. Gehlert raises an important issue
in addressing the interaction between
the free trade regime and the environ-
ment. He misses the major difficulties,
however. As the industrialized world
moves into its post-industrial age, our
awareness and concern for the environ-
ment have taken a new leap. It is at
least partly because we are rich now that
we have the luxury of cleaning up after
our own mess. Mr. Gehlert scornfully
mentions the usage of the term “ex-
ternality” to refer to the environment.
But unless we live on Walden Pond, it
will always be an “externality”; keeping
the environment clean is not the end of a
productive, wealth-creating process.
Rather, it is something to be enjoyed
after one is already fed, healthy and em-
ployed. Most of the world is not that far
along yet.
The paradoxical result of Mr. Gehlert’s
proposal (killing GATT would be to
lock the developing world into a highly-
polluted poverty. The real danger is that
the emerging post-industrial world will
seek to stifle the development of the
remainder under the guise of environ-
mental protection. The rich nations of
the world got that way by polluting. Do
they have the right to deny the poor
countries the chance to develop in the
same way? Even if they did, they cannot.
Without technical assistance or foreign
direct investment, and behind a pro-
tectionist wall, the developing world will
build vastly inefficient and highly pollut-
ing industries anyway.
The way out of the clash between en-
vironmental and development is far
from clear. It is plainly not to be found in
global poverty, however. In fact, the
characteristics of the post-industrial
environment give some reason for optim-
ism. Who pollutes more, a steelworker
or a software engineer? An automobile
manufacturer or a telecommuter? Technol-
ology has greatly reduced the amount of
energy required to produce a given unit
of output since the oil price rises of the
1970s. Fusion and solar energy, super-
conductors and other technologies promise future gains in this area at an
accelerating rate.

Just as plainly, these issues are in-
ternational and are best addressed in an
international cooperative forum like the
GATT that has long expertise in defusing
trade conflicts. Unless nations agree on
environmental standards, countries with
higher standards will be undercut by
competition from the less stringent.
This area is ripe for international con-
lict, especially if the polluter can export
its waste through favorable winds or
water flow.

In sum, economies pollute, and al-
ways will. The question is how much to
accept in light of the desperate im-
perative of most of humankind to
achieve a decent lifestyle. Free trade can
help, and GATT is its most effective
framework.

Jesse
Continued from page 3

distort justice; this is what GULC
teaches us. But do you see, for example,
how America continues to presume
that peace can be in Israel without the
Palestinians, peace in the whole of
Southern Africa without dismantling
apartheid, peace in these United States
when racial hatred is perpetuated on the
unfounded fear that develops when one
loses in the so-called divine right to pow-
er game. In the end, will you give serious
thought toward putting yourself in a vul-
nerable relationship in order to “do the
right thing?” (It is and will continue to me-
mentarily entertaining to watch the
incompetent future “guardians”
give it their most eloquent and best
shot.)
As always, lots of questions without
answers, must be a law school thing.
Unfortunately, my gut reaction is that
many of you will never consider this kind
of mental deliberation a priority in our
lives and so the children of the genera-
tions to come will have to rely on some
orator to remind them about the ele-
ments of justice and risk, integrity and
rights, history and freedoms in a world
of controversy that we will no doubt
leave behind. Hopefully each one of us,
before we leave the Law Center, will
have the opportunity to educate our
mind in the realms of injustices that we
as a nation practice on ourselves
and others and perhaps value that lesson
enough that we remember to allow it to
help us be an asset to our society and
others who will be in need of our ser-

dices.
But isn’t it enlightening that this man
challenges you to find elements of charac-
ter in your person. And so, I say, Jesse
please come back and speak to us
again; the masses are still lacking in the
basic of humanity.
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D.C. COALITION CELEBRATES PASSAGE OF MANDATORY ARREST LAW

By K. SHAH

QUESTION: What do the following have in common: Crowell & Moring and the GULC's own Sex Discrimination Clinic, D.C. Councilperson Hilda Mason and national Public Radio journalist, Jessica Bertram?? They were all recipients of Certificates of Recognition distributed by the D.C. Council Against Domestic Violence in celebration of the passage of the mandatory arrest law by the District of Columbia last June.

Seventeen individuals and organizations were honored at the Coalition’s Fourth Annual Awards Ceremony, held on October 29, 1990 at the law firm of Steptoe & Johnson for their contributions in educating the public and the D.C. City Council on the need for police enforcement on emergency domestic violence calls. The new law basically mandates that if a police officer has probable cause to arrest an individual at a scene where an infraction has occurred, the officer must arrest: the officer no longer has the discretion to not arrest. The basic assumption and goal of the new law is to equate acts punishable as a criminal offense if it occurred on the street to similar acts of violence when they occur within a home. With the new law becoming effective sometime next spring, Lieuten-

NEW POOL TABLE RACKS UP SUPPORT

By MARC CRISAFULLI

Since its arrival in early September, some have wondered how the idea to place a pool table in one of the student lounges came about. Bill Healy, SBA President, has been at it for a while. He said that his goal was to provide a "social activity for the students." Dean Ju-

GULC TO HOST COMMUNITY THANKSGIVING DINNER

By SHANTI NARRA

Thanksgiving is a holiday most of us associate with home, family, friends, good food and warm memories. However, it is not such a happy occasion for those in less fortunate situations than ourselves. In an effort to spread some of our good fortune to others, the GULC in conjunction with the Office of Student Life is organizing a Thanksgiving Dinner. The event is open to the entire GULC community (students, staff, faculty and administration). We, as a community, will be hosting the dinner and sharing our meal with 25-30 underprivileged children from a local community group. Georgetown Outreach has made arrangements with the Community Chil-

dren’s Ministry for their children, between the ages of 6-12, to be our guests. The dinner will be held on Wednesday, November 21 at 5:00 pm. There are no classes that day but the Law Center is open for a normal business day, so staff and faculty will be here. Any student who is not going away for Thanksgiving or who is leaving town Thursday rather than Wednesday is invited to join us. Those wishing to take part are asked to bring a dish or if you can’t cook volunteer to help set up or clean up after the dinner. We are arranging for a local grocery chain to donate the turkeys and several staff members have very generously offered to cook the birds for us. Also, Sellers has offered us the use of their facilities for storing, warming, and serving dishes brought in. We have set up a basic menu and would ask anyone who wants to take part to please sign up in the Office of Student Life to indicate (1) what they plan to participate and (2) what they plan to contribute to the dinner. For those of you unable to attend because of travel plans or other commitments but still interested in contributing you can bring dishes in on Tuesday which we will store until the dinner. In addition, the children will be arriving at approximately 4:30 pm. Anyone interested in helping to keep the children entertained until dinner can also sign up in the Student Life Office.

The atmosphere of the ceremony was not completely one of victory, despite the celebration of the passage of an im-

portant law. The ceremony was dedi-
cated to the memory of Debrah Fitts, a 31-year-old police officer in the Juvenile section, whose work with abused chil-
dren earned her recognition by the Coalition. Ms. Fitts was portrayed as an individual whose faith in the justice was betrayed when she sought assistance in the D.C. courts to seek a protective order against her husband. Ms. Fitts was killed by her husband and many of the family members and other individuals who attended the awards ceremony were in tears as the award was accepted by her somber but proud children.

Those honored came from a variety of backgrounds. Among those lawyers honored were Grace Freedman, a tax attorney at Arnold & Porter, and Patrick C. Hyton, who in addition to being a legislative assistant to Councilperson Mason, is a recognized literary and novelist, and is with the law firm of Windhorst, Stimson, Putman & Roberts. Judge Colleen Kollar-Kotelly was recog-
nized for participating in judicial educa-
tion training on domestic violence issues. A number of advocates from the D.C. Crime Victim Assistance Program and the Citizens Complaint Center as well as the Police Department were also honored for their willingness to enforce the new law. Students and other individuals interested in further in-
formation about the D.C. Coalition Against Domestic Violence should con-
tact Naomi R. Cahn at the GULC Sex Discrimination Clinic.
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BAR REVIEW

By KATHY FRANCO and MONIQUE LAFORCE

It's back to the Commonwealth this week, to that great little neighborhood, Old Town, Alexandria. As you can tell from this cheerful opening note, yes, we like this week's bar, so if you were expecting another scathing review this week, too bad. We'll leave the rest of the paper to complain and gripe about all the problems in the world ... we're just havin' a good time.

Union Street is located, surprisingly, at 121 S. Union St. in Old Town, Alexandria (that's in Virginia for you D.C. people). In keeping with the Old Town theme, it's real-old-fashioned bar, that reminds us of the pubs in London and Boston, only this place has the Southern touch. To enter you'll pass through a revolving door, which is fun at the beginning of the night, but can be nauseating as you're trying to escape at 2:00 am. Seeing how many people you can jam into one opening can be fun, although the management may not take kindly to this idea. Once inside, the pub like atmosphere totally kicks in: it's kind of dark, with natural brick shivering walls, wooden bins and molding along the ceiling, antique pictures (authentic) on the walls (you get the picture). So now you're thinking, O.K., it sounds like some stuffy yuppie bar. Well there are a few of those types, but there are more of the laid-back locals from the area who come to hang out with friends and such down some beers. The crowd and the bartenders are friendly ... very.

Speaking of beer, Union Street boasts 16 kinds of beer on tap, including its specialty brewed house beer, Virginia Native, which we gave about a B+ and which we're told Phyllis Richman (Post critic) called the best beer in America. But don't go looking for a Bass or Amstel, because they only serve domestic beers--God bless America! They have two very reasonable price ranges on drafts, $2.60 for the lower-end beers and $2.90 for the Sam Adams, Anchor Steam types.

Unfortunately, Union Street falls in two critical tests we give a bar. First, they don't serve pitchers, which not only give you more mugs for your money, but are also a lot more convenient when you're out with a big group. This fault is slightly compensated for by the fact that the drinks are served in hearty-sized mugs and are not outrageously priced. The other missing element is Happy Hour. Although there is usually a pretty good crowd who frequents Union Street during post-work hours, there are no "drink specials" per se.

The best night to do Union Street are Friday and Saturday, when the crowd is at full force. One thing they do right is crowd control, so although you may have to wait in line to get in, you can avoid this problem by getting there a little early, say, before 9:30; you can be comforted by the fact that you won't be body-to-body once inside (unless of course you want to be). Everything revolves around the huge U-shaped bar that's in the center of the room. Hanging around the bar is fun and convenient, or you can try to grab a table along the windows to check out/make fun of the people waiting in line. And although there's no dancing, the tunes are great: good-old-time rock and roll, selected by you from the jukebox.

If you're up for something a little more mellow, they also have two other dining/bar areas, where they feature classic American cuisine, with a southern flair, as well as a raw bar. We have yet to sample the food, so you'll have to try your luck with the menu.

If you go to Union Street, chances are you'll run into Allison Leinbach and Jennifer Waish, who are on their way to becoming permanent fixtures there, and who we thank for sharing with us their "insiders view" on this bar.

GREATEST MOMENTS IN SPORTS

By TOM McCONVILLE

As all successful writers will agree the most important aspect of continued fame and fortune comes from keeping in direct contact with one's readership. Therefore, you, the readers, have been polled for this article. In keeping with the tradition of certain famous columnists, this writer has combed the Center (including the Quadrangle) for those moments that you, the reader, consider to be significant in sports.

The consensus choice for the greatest moment in sports was the blast that Kirk "Gibby" Gibson hit off Dennis "I'm a loser" Eckersley. Student after student after student had dreamed back to that great day in October 1988 when Gibby homered off the fence, took a few cuts, and then took the Eck deep in Game 1 of the Series. Said Center student Jeff Bases: "After that, I stopped watching baseball, because everything else would just be a disappointment.

The runners-up in this poll were many. Another popular moment came in Game 6 of the 1986 World Series when Billy Buckner took an easy groundball and年内d it into Kenny. When this writer saw Buckner the other day, going door to door with Fuller Brushes in tow, he recounted: "You know, the Mets promised me a lot of money for that, but never paid. What can I do? I can't bring it to court, because I'll be a bum, and a burn-out. So, just chalk it up to the curse of the Bambino." Center student Kathryn Heet enquired: "Sure, he booted the ball; but when he went over to get it, he gave me quite a thrill."

No list of great sports moments would be complete without a mention of Team USA's gold medal performance at Lake Placid in 1980. That young bunch of ragtag players stole the hearts of many by capturing the gold, and also nearly gave Al Michaels a coronary. Two Center students had differing perspectives on the event. Celeste Richards said: "It made me believe in miracles—how else could I have gotten to meet Tom McConville?" On the opposite end of the spectrum, it made Michael Warrach explain: "It made me believe that even the Soviets could be bought—how else could I have graduated from Maryland?"

The folklore surrounding Franco Harris' catch for the Steelers in 1972 was also much heralded. Who could forget Franco picking the Ball from the turf, and then angling the length of the field for the winning score. A dynasty was created. Said long-time Steelers fan and visiting student Jeff Taylor: "From that day forward, I wanted to work in a steel mill in Pittsburg. Unfortunately, I wasn't qualified."

To make the list hit a bit closer to home for Center students, poll respondents were asked to think of a great moment in Georgetown's sports history. Said Center student Russ Ginzberg: "My favorite Georgetown Sport? Isn't he the guy who checks your back pack in the library?"

In a more responsive mode, Tom Schrempp detailed: "Wasn't it great when Freddy Brown, a GULC alum, threw the phantom pass to James Worthy in the NCAA finals? It warmed my heart."

So, there it is. Each respondents' answer could not be included due to space constraints, but this is a fairly representative list. Some of the quotes may be a tad different from what was actually said, but such is life.
The story centers upon the relationship between Ensign Nellie Forbush (played by Lesa McCorkle) and a rich French planter named Emile De Becque (Bob Ashley). Their budding love is helped along by Luther Billis (Billy Jacobson), a sailor who is always scheming to get to Bali Hai, a nearby island known for its topical pleasures. The situation gets more complicated when Lieutenant Joe Cable (Kirk Douglas) arrives on the scene to prepare for a secret mission, only to fall in love with one of Bali Hai's natives (Heather Lennox), due in part to the encouragement of her mother, Bloody Mary (Shannon Harner). The call of duty conflicts with their romances when Cable and De Becque are asked to spy on the Japanese. The story is played out with lots of singing, dancing, and comedy, but you'll have to go to the show to see how it all turns out.

G&S is an entirely student-run organization. Its six-member board selects and produces three shows each year: a Broadway show in the fall, a Gilbert & Sullivan show in the spring, and a student-directed play in the spring. Although professional directors are hired for musicals, all technical and construction work is done by students. In this show, Debbie Grossman is the director, Barbara Greenfield is the choreographer, and Robbie Richardson directs the music.

Why would a busy, upright law student spend several hours a week rehearsing to be in a play? "Because it's fun!" is the response you will get when you ask most G&S members. The organization provides an opportunity for students to get involved in something that has absolutely nothing to do with law. After a week filled with concerts, journals, and clinics, they need an outlet and a chance to relax. If you want to be a part of that, we hope you'll decide to join us. It's a marvelous way to meet people from many academic backgrounds. In fact, the students in G&S do the same kinds of things that other busy law students do. Nevertheless, they find time to be in the show. In fact, many like it so much that they come back and participate in the shows as alumni. The organization is always looking for new talent (or warm bodies), and they will have open auditions for the spring play sometime after everyone recovers from this one.

Tickets to the show are $5 for students and $6 for real people with real incomes. The tickets are on sale now at the table outside the chapel. If you decide to go at the last minute, student tickets will be available at the door for $6.

Social Connections

All ads placed in the red box at the kiosk by at least Thursday should appear in the following Monday edition of each Law Weekly. Also, I apologize for last week's ads that are in this week's edition.

Seminary Grad @ LAGA Napil receipt: Great meeting you! Hope to see you again! — J Av of B

Jose 1: Try a little research before your next column... it's the Stanford Cardinal [singular], and their mascot is a Christmas tree, not a bird. And this columnist is a woman who didn't even go there.

Dear K.: Sometimes you get what you want! — Obsessed

Mr. McCvennella: Since when is the cardinal a "jewel" in the crown of the PAC-10? When was their last Rose Bowl appearance, anyway? I am concerned that UVA could take them anytime, anywhere. And the Wahoos wouldn't need any help from the trombone section. Let's just hope the huskies make us proud in January. — Temptom T. P.S. It takes a Stanford grad to ob three consecutive super bowl losses.

To the "Soon to be mine" hardbody EIC: I heard you're taken but I know she's far away... forget your darling for just one night and your darling will be me! — Superwoman

Met you in the hot tub. Remember Atlantis? Let's get together. Yeah Baby!

Hey Jolly Rancher: Small-town boy wants to know "Are you wild at heart?" — R.S.V.P.

Cartoonists are creative. Cartoonists are great. Call up your favorite. And ask for a date! — See how we rate

When we're not late
Meet you at the gate
With the secretary of state.
Don't be a bum
Better chew gum
Read this or die
Ha.

Hey, Honey, Darling,
Light of my life,
I'm sorry, I couldn't find a phone. And anyway, Hey, You fatter me. I mean, it was good, but it was only 20 minutes.

Cyrano—I miss your parachute! Did the balloon have to close so early? — L.T.

HEY EVERYBODY — JOE RAND
GOT A CALLBACK TO NEW YORK.
AIN'T THAT SOMETHING?

Kimmer—
The Big Apple is calling you. Start spreadin' the news!

When Quinn the Eskimo gets here, Everybody's gonna wanna dance! — E.O.

Dear Joe:
There must have been something better that happened last week. Get a column.
... AND SPORTS FOR ALL

By JOSÉ IASAS, II

This week's column will, for once, not focus on the great all-American sport of football. But before we delve into the great escape of college basketball, a quick look back at the picks I made pre-season for pre-football. At the middle of the season, just how well do last week's predictions hold up?

Well, you've probably figured out that I wouldn't have brought it up if they weren't doing well. Nobody ever talks about predictions after the season starts. But I am pleased to report that I have been practically 100 percent correct. In the AFC, I predicted that the Dolphins and the Bills would battle it out to the bitter end for the AFC East title. As of this writing, those teams are tied for first place, a prediction that was not as easy as you might think. In the NFC, I predicted that the Giants would win the East, and the 49ers the West. Big deal—anyone except die-hard Red Sox fans would have picked the same way. But I did buck the Bean-bashing trend and pick Chicago to take the Central division. They currently have a two-game lead. I also predicted that the Giants would escape the Atlanta Falcons in the playoffs, indicating that this could be the year for the Giants, but that’s another story. All I can say is, my pre-game analysis is as sound as anyone else's. This is my congratulations story. It is time to move on to college basketball. This will be the first of a couple of articles devoted to hoops. This week's column focuses on the debate over which conference is the best in college basketball. Over the last ten years, both conferences have tended to focus on just two conferences: the Atlantic Coast Conference (ACC) and the Big East. The Big Ten conference is always lurking in the shadows, waiting for recognition from the East Coast basketball Establishment. But the truth is known (and in this column, my truth, ah, I mean the truth, is always known) all you have to do is look at the Big Ten top to bottom to realize it doesn't quite stack up. Yes, they have Indiana, but they also have Wisconsin, Northwestern and Iowa, who haven't had real programs in years. Ever. So the real debate is between the ACC and Big East.

To bring this debate to life in front of your very eyes, I've asked two basketball fans to come out. Arguing for the supremacy of the ACC will be Bart Simpson, who actually plays basketball for Duke under the alias of Bobby Hurley. Representing the Big East will be the ever-alien Joe Rand, graduate of Georgetown's undergraduate program and writer extraordinaire. I, of course, will moderate the debate.

Joe Rand (remember, for the Big East): "Uh, well, I think the Big East is the best conference because we've got a few more coaches. The ACC isn't quite as good. Big East > 11.

Get it? Ha-ha." Bart Simpson (remember, for the ACC): "Eat my shorts, man. The Big East's beasts are just lumbering hulks of bullys. Who, why you guys had to do more personal fouls last year to keep from having entire teams foul out?" Joe: "Uh, natch, that was just so wees could see more blood and let Alonzo beat up on guys half his size. Besides, who has more national titles over the last ten years?" Bart: "Sorry, Joe, it's a tie between the conferences, at two apiece. We also tied in the ACC-Big East Challenge last year." Joe: "Yeah, well, I betcha we got more teams in the Street & Smith's top 25 this year than you do, squirt.

Bart: "Hey, dude, check ya facts. The ACC has five of its teams, while the Big East has four. We have two in the Top Eight, while your only team in the Top Ten is at #10." Joe: (bellowing loudly and shouting his gut): "Hmmm, well . . .

Joe: (steping in out of pity's sake): "What I think Joe was going to say was that this pre-season polls aren't conclusive.

How about looking at another criteria?" Joe: "Yeah, right . . . wait, does that word mean?" Joe: "What, criteria?" Joe: "No, "at."

Bart: "OK, let's look at the coaches. Over the last ten years in the ACC, you have such amazing coaches as Dean Smith, Mike Krzyzewski, Lefty Driesell (who was made a scapegoat), and Terry Holland. I mean, the Boston Celtics wanted K as their new coach. In the Big East, you have Jim Boeheim, the coach who has done the least with the most amount of talent, and John Thompson, whose political/social agenda dictates everyone from playing. This guy opposed a move to set a minimum SAT score that players needed to be eligible to play college ball, and trust me, it wasn't being set at rocket scientist levels, I wonder what reason he would have for not wanting the SAT score standard?"

Joe: (looking devastated by Bart's withering attack): "Well, I guess you have a couple points."

Bart: "Look, don't have a cow, man. It's a mistake anyone who has been brainwashed by five and a half years of Georgetown education would make."

Joe: "Well, uh, I guess you're right, I didn't want to go to a Big East school, but Ewing came to my house one day and said that if I defected to the ACC like everyone else was doing, he would pound my little body all over the North-east."

Bart: "Cool, dude! That happened to me on The Simpsons once, let me tell you the Big East."

Well, there you have it. For any of you out there who are still unsure of which conference is better, just wait for this year's ACC-Big East Challenge. In particular, look for Duke vs. Georgetown again (but more on that later).

One final note: This week's game between Virginia and Georgia Tech is big for several reasons. It's the last real game for the#1 Cavaliers, and if Virginia wins, it should set up a meeting with the Fighting Irish for the National Championship.

Next week: Pre-season college basketball picks."

MOVIE REVIEW

By JILL ROSENTHAL

Avalon is writer-director Barry Levinson's latest addition to his series of semi-autobiographical films. But unlike his coming-of-age film Diner (1982), his story of doubling aluminum-side salesmen (Tin Men, 1987), Avalon is less of a story than an experience. This almost folkloric tale spans five generations of Krachinsky, a family that hailed from Jewish immigrants to Baltimore. The film is, perhaps because of its epic proportions, essentially plotless. It is instead a compilation of seemingly unconnected scenes which creates a montage of childhood memories, a look through a family album of photographs snapped by an eight-year-old child named Michael (Elijah Wood in the role of Levinson as a youth). The disjointed scenes unite one or two central elements of the director's own life values in the face of the American Dream, the consumption of tradition by capitalism and by the great melting pot. Although the film's viewpoint is that of the eight-year-old boy, it is the grandfather, Sam Krachinsky, around whom the film revolves. Sam (Armin Mueller-Stahl, Music Box) was the youngest of five brothers who came to the new world to seek their fortune (by paper hangers). Even as an old man, he vividly remembers his arrival in America in 1910, amidst a celebration of fire-works on the Fourth of July. Mueller-Stahl's Sam is an engaging mixture of stubborn pride, traditional notions, and unserving childlike wonder at all that is new -- the_prodigious growth and resentment when his son (Aidan Quinn) elopes with a woman he has never met (Elizabeth Perkins), and worse, when he discovers his son has changed his name to "Kay." Mueller-Stahl is paired with the equally impressive Joan Plowright (widow of Sir Laurence Olivier) as the grandmother who just can't quite get used to the idea of women driving cars. Levinson structures his film around traditional family celebrations, momentarily interrupting the national and secular holidays of Thanksgiving and the Fourth of July. He focuses on the centering of the extended family, laying blame on three aspects of modern America: capitalism, the suburbs, and television.

The perils of capitalism are depicted in the family squabbles that begin to erupt when certain more entrepreneurial family members enter the discount department store business (back when "guaranteed lowest prices" was an innovative slogan) and start to make more money than the others. Whereas in the money of the old days all the family members pooled their money without question for dis- appointments when his son (Aidan Quinn) elopes with a woman he has never met (Elizabeth Perkins), and worse, when he discovers his son has changed his name to "Kay." Mueller-Stahl is paired with the equally impressive Joan Plowright (widow of Sir Laurence Olivier) as the grandmother who just can't quite get used to the idea of women driving cars. Levinson structures his film around traditional family celebrations, momentarily interrupting the national and secular holidays of Thanksgiving and the Fourth of July. He focuses on the centering of the extended family, laying blame on three aspects of modern America: capitalism, the suburbs, and television.

The perils of capitalism are depicted in the family squabbles that begin to erupt when certain more entrepreneurial family members enter the discount department store business (back when "guaranteed lowest prices" was an innovative slogan) and start to make more money than the others. Whereas in the money of the old days all the family members pooled their money without question for dis-
ASK TRACY
This Week . . .
By TRACY GREENBLOM

Grapping with Life review? How can I immediately grat- ify?”
What we seem to forget is that “I” is not the only person who counts. Somewhere in that empty hole exists meaningful others, such as friends and family. We may become so rapped up in ourselves that we lose perspective of the other important things in our lives. Sure the law school is important, but so is maintaining our friendships and sharing our lives with people we care about. We don’t have to isolate ourselves from the world simply because we are law stu- dents.

Sometimes events that occur in our lives wake us up to other significant things around us. For instance, I was startled to the fact that my father is going to have to undergo open-heart surgery. After I found out about this, I realized that eventually I would get one. Worrying wouldn’t help my situation at all. My school work suddenly didn’t seem as overwhelming. I knew that I would get it done at some point in the future.

What mattered and still matters the most in my life now is seeing that my father is well and has a fast recovery. My energies will not only go toward fulfilling but toward comforting the one person in my life who I know I cannot live without — my dad.

Let us not forget the precious things in our lives simply because we are law stu- dents. Let us not draw ourselves off to the world and miss experiencing life with those we care about. Your life is as fulfilling and as complete as you let it be.
KETTLE OF BEDLAM

By JOE ATKINS

As you probably didn't notice, I didn't write a column last week. That's because I didn't do anything fun last week at all. I didn't even make a sorely needed light-hearted contribution to the tempestuous pages of the Law Weekly. This week there's even a real review in here, unlike my half-assed attempt at a column the week before that. I didn't even remember to mention the new Grateful Dead album, a two-record live recording entitled Without a Net, or the new Soul Asylum release called And the Horse You Rode In On. Goddamn, I must have been totally out to lunch. I did, however, remember to give you a little preview of this week's album.

TheFlaming Lips have released their fourth long-player and it is called in a Priest Driven Ambulance. The Lips are from Norman, Okla., where, if Flaming Lips records are any indication, there ain't a whole hell of a lot to do. Except go to church and try to get in touch with the Almighty, or in the alternative, drop acid and think about Jesus and UFO's alot. Flaming Lips lyrics read like a drug-added National Enquirer. Their fascination with Jesus (and other messianic types) surfaced on their first full-length LP Hear It in nuts like "Jesus Shooting Heroin," "Charlie Manson Blues," and "Godzilla Piss." Drug experiences as religious encounters received similar attention on their second and third albums, but by this album, the Jesus thing has evolved into a full scale fetish. The title alone suggests the sort of trouble everybody is in for in a Priest Driven Ambulance. Sure enough, on the record are "Shine On Sweet Jesus—Jesus Songs No. 5," "God Walks Among Us—Jesus Song No. 5," and "There You Are—Jesus Song No. 7." The numbers are the band's own, suggesting that there are four prior Jesus songs in the band's repertoire. You can go figure out which ones they are yourself, because exams are coming soon enough and you will need all the procrastination techniques you can think of.

Musically, the Lips are still up to pretty much what they've always been doing. The band's mainstay is cacophonous guitar provided by Wayne Coyne, while Mike Kelley's bass lopes along, providing a little structure to Coyne's thoroughly undisciplined strangulations of his guitar. The album has none of the long wanderings far afield like "Hell's Angels Cracker Factory" or "One Million Billionth of a Millisecond on a Sunday Morning," with which the band regaled their listeners on previous albums. Nope, this time every song (all 10) is exactly the same length—three minutes and 28 seconds.

The Lips draw most heavily on influences from early 1970's landmark albums such as The Beatles (which really is a 1970's album), Neil Young's After the Gold Rush, Pink Floyd's Middle, and others of that ilk. With such a good pedigree, you wonder how the Flaming Lips ended up something like they do. While the music benefits from some of the in-
RES PENDENS

WLA Announcement
On November 6 at 4:30 p.m. in room 446, the DC Coalition Against Domestic Violence and the WLA will hold a meeting to discuss all sorts of feminist stuff. Come on by.

On November 12 at 5 p.m. in room 446, the DC Coalition Against Domestic Violence and the WLA will hold a meeting to discuss a pilot project on domestic violence. Advocates for Domestic Violence Victims, the Advocates program will train people to help domestic violence victims put together effective cases for civil protection or criminal prosecution. The November 12 meeting will give background on domestic violence, and the new mandatory arrest law in DC, and will give more information on the range of assistance Advocates will provide, and how you can get involved. If you are interested in the program, but cannot attend the meeting, please call Deborah Epstein at 682-9400.

Also on November 12, at 7:30 p.m., the WLA will be sponsoring legal observer training for abortion clinic defense. Legal observers play a vital role in protecting those who attack clinics. If you would be trained, or would like more information on this and other abortion clinic defense activities, please come to this meeting. (Check WLA bulletin board for more info.)

STUDENTS HELPING STUDENTS:
EJF and Career Services will be sponsoring their annual public interest information fair this Wednesday at 3:45, at tables outside the Career Service Office. Students of all academic disciplines, interested in public interest work, will be available to talk to you about the places they worked and how they went about getting their jobs for the summer.

Financial Aid
Spring Semester Loan Deadline
Students who intend to apply for loans to meet spring semester tuition payments should submit their applications to the Financial Aid Office as soon as possible.

Fall Student Loan Checks
Student loan checks are being disbursed in R.L. 581 from 8:30 a.m.-5:30 p.m. If you have not picked up your fall semester disbursement, please do so immediately.

avoid Defaulting on Prior Loans
If you took out a student loan to attend a school prior to attending GULC or if you borrowed from a different lender while at GULC than the lender that you attend this year, you may need to take care of some paperwork so that your lender will not place you into DEFAULT status for non-payment.

Full-time students and part-time students whose grace periods have not yet expired and who have arranged for a deferment form to be sent to their previous lender(s) if they are not borrowing for this year, may enter the same institution for the 1990-91 year. Many lenders will assume that a student is no longer enrolled and therefore no longer eligible for loan deferrals if a new application is not received in subsequent years.

Preserve your credit rating and save yourself from the problems that defaulters experience when they apply for future student loans! BE SURE TO FILE A DEFERMENT FORM with your previous lenders NOW! Blank deferment forms are available at the Financial Aid Office. All deferment forms must be certified by the Office of the Registrar.

Bar Exam Loans for December Grads
Loan funds are available for December graduates who would like to help in meeting their expenses while studying for the bar. See the Financial Aid Office for details.

STUDENTS HELPING STUDENTS--A D.C. GROUPLAND STUDENTS PUBLIC INTEREST FAIR--EJF and the Office of Career Services are holding a mini-level public interest fair here at GULC. Although there won’t be any interviewing, there will be opportunity for all students who have worked in public interest organizations and find out more about their work and what they have to offer to the public interest fair on the job hunt from students who have been there. The fair will be held on WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 7th in the LOUNGE ACROSS FROM CAREER SERVICES from 3:45-5:00 p.m.

THE 1990/91 EDITION OF THE NAFL DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INTEREST LEGAL INTERNSHIPS IS NOW AVAILABLE IN THE OFFICE OF CAREER SERV.
ICES. The Directory lists 200 nationwide positions in many different areas of public interest law.

L.L.M. Resume Directory--The Office of Career Services is currently collecting resumes of all L.L.M. candidates who would like to be included in a L.L.M. resume directory. We offer the directory to all employers who contact us and express interest in learning more about our L.L.M. programs and students. If you are interested in being included, please drop off one copy of your resume indicating it is for the L.L.M. directory.

RES PENDENS
These discussions by the Career Services Office are being held for first year students at times when there would have been a Legal Research and Writing class. Therefore we anticipate no schedule conflicts.

UNDERSTANDING LEGAL CAREERS AND THE JOB MARKET FOR FIRST YEAR STUDENTS--
NOV 7 1:30 PM ROOM 205, 1:42-43, 42-17
NOV 7 7:55 pm ROOM 156, 71-2, 71-73, 74-1
NOV 8 10:05 AM ROOM 336, 11-11, 2-1, 14-1, 14-2, 44-2
NOV 8 10:05 AM ROOM 156, 13-1, 33-2, 37-1, 41-2
NOV 8 1:20 PM ROOM 101, 31-1, 31-2, 32-1, 32-2, 43-32, 41-1
NOV 7 8:55 PM ROOM 156, 72-2, 73-1, 74-2
NOV 9 10:05 PM ROOM 164, 12-1, 12-2, 233-1, 3-23
NOV 9 11:10PM ROOM 156, 13-1, 13-2, 24-1
PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS AN ADJUNCT PROGRAM ON NOV 14-2LS SPEAK TO 1LS ABOUT SUMMER JOB OPPORTUNITIES. AT 3:30 IN ROOM 106.