National Accreditation Team To Meet With Students

By BARRY HUREWITZ

A seven-member accreditation team will visit the Law Center today through Wednesday to meet with students, faculty, administrators, and alumni. The visit is one of the final steps in a long process of accreditation by the American Bar Association (ABA) and the American Association of Law Schools (AALS). The ABA and AALS are jointly responsible for accrediting all U.S. law schools and assuring compliance with national standards.

The accreditation committee will actively seek comments from Law Center students as part of its investigation. All Law Center students are invited to speak with accreditation committee members in an open meeting today from 3:30 to 4:30 p.m. in room 201. Numerous other meetings and receptions will be conducted for faculty members and administrators.

The accreditation process involves in-depth evaluations of all aspects of a law school's operations and is repeated every seven years. Observations made during the committee's three-day visit will be used to evaluate studies submitted by Law Center administrators and to spot-check for compliance with ABA/AALS standards. Assistant Dean Carol O. O'Neill, who has coordinated the year-long process, said the accreditation committee "considers Georgetown a very complex institution and will be deluged with information on its busy visit. The Law Center's large size and breadth of focus prompted the ABA/AALS to add a seventh member to the normally six-member accreditation team.

The accreditation committee will attempt to verify whether the Law Center fully conforms with national ABA/AALS standards relating to facilities, curriculum, finances, and administration. National standards particular to J.D. programs cover areas such as financial aid, placement services, and student groups. Administrators are confident that the committee "will not find anything non-compliant," Dean O'Neill said.

Though most of the standards relate to the J.D. program, the graduate program will receive increased attention because of some new standards recently adopted.

A major focus of this year's accreditation will be the Law Center's handling of cultural diversity issues. "We're proud to show them what we've done with minority programs," Dean O'Neill said. The accreditation committee will meet with the recently-created Task Force on Diversity and Community to discuss Georgetown's efforts in promoting cultural diversity.

The accreditation committee will observe classes in session during each of the three days. The classroom observations, lasting from twenty to thirty minutes, will be unannounced, she said. Dean O'Neill said that the administration will not hand-pick particular classes to be visited by the committee members.

The seven observers will also report on the Law Center's facilities. "They will tour every inch of the place," Dean O'Neill said. The quality of the Law Center's facilities was a major focus of the last accreditation, in 1984, before the completion of the new library building.

The visiting accreditation team is composed of seven distinguished law school deans, faculty members, and private practitioners from across the country. The team will be chaired by Dean Tom Reed of Hastings Law School. The other committee members will include Dean Mary Doyle, of the University of Miami; Dean Pamela Ginn, of Duke University; Professor Emeritus Harry E. Groves, of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; Professor Randall D. Schmidt, of the University of Chicago; Professor Richard Sulles, Jr., of the University of Illinois; and Beverly Tarpley, Esq., of Scarborourgh, Black, Tarpley, and Boone, in Abilene, Texas.

The committee is selected late in the accreditation process to preserve fairness in their evaluations. Law Center administrators were given their names approximately three weeks ago.

Here at the Law Center, preparations for the accreditation have been taken about a year, Dean O'Neill said. During that year, Law Center deans, faculty members, and administrators have performed self-studies and prepared the reports which will be evaluated this week.

Fall Blood Drive A Big Success

By KIM CONNOLLY

GULC students have proven they can do more than sweat blood: they can donate it! On October 16, 1991, eighty-five pints of blood were collected through the Red Cross from Georgetown University Law Center students, faculty, and staff. Fifteen pints were from first-time donors. Red Cross Representative Sabrina Health congratulated the GULC community for substantially exceeding scheduled collection goals.

The blood drive was coordinated by the Taff Chapter of Phi Alpha Delta, Law Fraternity International. The drive was conducted with the assistance of and in conjunction with the Office of Student Life as part of the Fall Health Awareness Week.

Many P.A.D. members volunteered substantial time toward making the blood drive a huge success.

A two-day blood drive is scheduled for early in the Spring semester. For more information about participating in the upcoming blood drive or becoming involved in Phi Alpha Delta, contact Kim Connolly or Greg Lisi through their student folders.
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ABA/AALS Accreditation Committee
OPEN MEETING TODAY
Students: This is my chance to address the Committee!
Room 201 3:30 - 4:30 pm
Letters to the Editor

Defending Columbus

To the Editor:

I am writing in regard to the article about "Criollo Colon"—or was it "Criollo Columbus"?—actually, come to think of it, we Americans call him Christopher Columbus.

The article, which was (at times) amusing, was not entirely accurate. It is hardly worth engaging in a debate over whether the "Lybia-Nubians" discovered America (I thought it was the Vikings!)—the evidence is so scant as to be easily refuted.

One of the largest effects of contact with the new world was the spread of disease—the viruses and bacteria of the old world were brought to the new world on the boats of Columbus and his successors, and killed more natively than the early Europeans' guns. If there had been any previous contact with other peoples from the old world, such diseases would probably have had an impact and some immunities would no doubt have developed. Clearly, no such immunities existed.

As to the alleged linguistic similarities between American Indian languages and Asian tongues, it bears noting that the Native Americans were Asians who crossed over the land bridge.

Most amusing, however, was the brief discussion of the "peaceful" Aztec peoples, and their advanced civilization. These are the same peaceful, civilized individuals who terrorized neighboring tribes and practiced human sacrifices (as I recall, either by tossing their screaming victims down the steps of their magnificent pyramids, or by extracting their beating hearts). The Aztecs were no less inherently violent than the Spaniards who conquered them—they were just not as good at it. Some of the Aztecs' neighbors (perhaps ironically) originally thought of the Spaniards as liberators.

I do not feel the need to defend Western Civilization to people who live in this country—especially not to those who have chosen to study and make a career of the common law; other civilizations exist, and perhaps this civilization's detractors might find one with a more politically correct environment (I hear Lybia-Nubia is delightful this time of year). A word of caution, if you choose to leave your way out, try not to get run over by the flood of eager immigrants.

David M. Frost '91

---

The Law Weekly wishes the members of the ABA/AALS Accreditation Committee a pleasant and informative stay here at G.U.L.C. We are sure you will find that G.U.L.C. meets and exceeds your respected standards.

---
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Placement Committee To Address Military Recruitment

The purpose of this memo is to apprise all students of the procedures that the Placement Committee will follow in carrying out Dean Areen's directive that the Committee make a recommendation respecting the military's apparent noncompliance with the Law Center's nondiscrimination policy.

1. The Committee wishes to secure the substantive input of all interested students before arriving at a recommendation. To that end, the Committee will conduct a meeting starting at 3:30 pm on Wednesday October 30, in Room 203, open to all students but closed to persons outside the GULC community.

In addition, the Committee will welcome written submissions from students. Furthermore, should any students indicate that they wish to address the Committee orally but would be reluctant to speak in the presence of others, the Committee will entertain requests to meet with the Committee on an individual basis—such individual meetings to occur in the late afternoon on Thursday October 31. (This opportunity is only for those truly reluctant to speak at an open student meeting). Those wishing to submit their views in writing to the Committee, or to request a private meeting with the Committee, may communicate with the Committee by addressing their communication to Prof. Michael Gottesman, Chair of the Placement Committee, and delivering it to his secretary whose desk is located just outside the Dean's office on the fifth floor.

The Committee wishes to make clear that it is not interested in polling the students. Rather, the Committee is anxious to receive substantive presentations that bear on the question of what would be the wisest resolution of this matter. To that end, the Committee has identified the following questions as germane for discussion (although, of course, it is receptive to other substantive formulations of issues to be considered):

(a) Whether the military is in noncompliance with the Law Center's nondiscrimination policy.
(b) If so, whether the noncompliance is true of only a portion of Department of Defense jobs (e.g., uniformed services) or not of others (e.g., civilian jobs).
(c) Whether any action taken to ban the military's use of Career Services facilities should be applicable to all Defense Department jobs or only those to which there is noncompliance.
(d) Whether a ban, if one is to be applicable, should be absolute (excluding not only on-campus interviewing but also provision of information by the Career Services office to students who desire it); or whether such a ban should leave room for students to obtain some forms of information about military employment opportunities in the Career Services office (and if so what information).

The foregoing list does not include the question whether the Law Center should abandon its nondiscrimination policy (or modify it to exclude employers who are permitted by law to discriminate). The Law Center is subject to a regulation imposed by the Association of American Law Schools (AALS) which requires that each law school have a policy of refusing placement services to employers who discriminate on a variety of grounds including sexual orientation. The AALS regulation does not permit exceptions for employers (such as the military) whose discrimination is authorized by law. It is theoretically possible for the Law Center to disobey the AALS regulation, but to do so would jeopardize the Law Center's accreditation. Accordingly, while students are welcome to express views at the meeting advocating a change in the nondiscrimination policy itself, they should understand that it would require a persuasive showing that there is a principle at stake of such importance that it would warrant the faculty's risking the Law Center's accreditation in order to vindicate that principle.

2. The Committee will also be inviting the military and the faculty to present their views before the Committee finalizes its recommendation. The Committee is also gathering data about the precise means adopted by other law schools to implement the AALS regulation, and about the number of GULC students who have secured military jobs in the recent past.

3. The Committee has advised Dean Areen that it hopes to have its recommendation in completed form by early December, at the latest, and Dean Areen has advised the faculty to reserve December 12 for a faculty meeting to consider the recommendation.

—The GULC Placement Committee
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90 Willis Ave., Mineola, New York 11501
(516) 747-4311
Georgetown Must Support Public Interest With More Than Just Rhetoric

By LEE LLAMEBULS, 3L

Peter Butcher's Law Weekly article of October 14, 'GULC Students Discouraged from Public Interest,' laid out in concrete terms the impracticality of the Law Center's Loan Repayment Assistance Program (LRAP). The following will expand on that theme.

Where do I start? How about a quote from the Law Center touting its committment to public interest. This is how Georgetown advertises LRAP to its alumni. "To help soften the financial blow that almost universally accompanies public interest jobs, the Law Center now offers the Loan Repayment Assistance Program. This fund assists graduates in repaying loans if they work for a nonprofit or public interest firm within two years after graduation. For each year an alumnus remains in the program, 10 percent of his loans are forgiven and converted to grants. After a decade, all his loan money is forgiven." (Quote from Renee Loquaint, The Georgetown University Law Center Magazine.)

This quote is troublesome because it is yet another example of GULC wanting to have it both ways, i.e. publicizing its alleged support of public interest, all the while defining the parameters of the program so narrowly that no one qualifies for it. Admittedly, it's a great public relations coup. The administration is quick to tout its lofty goals, but once again they fail to mention the program's many practical limitations.

Of what real significance is it that the school has a program when you consider next to no one qualifies for participation? But wait, there's more.

In my mind, the most egregious limitation (read: misrepresentation) is that most "eligible jobs" (as defined by the Law Center's LRAP Materials) offer salaries that are too low to live on with an average $500-$800 monthly loan repayment obligation, but just high enough that they place you above the $25,000 LRAP phase out. Upon graduation from the Law Center if you work for any of the following organizations, LRAP will be of little or no use to you: The D.C. Public Defender Service, Legal Aid of New York City, The Philadelphia Public Defender, The NAACP Legal Defense Fund or The Public Defenders of Boston. Sound a little strange that a program this institution sponsors so much time and effort promoting does not begin to realistically meet the needs of alumni working for these undisputed public interest employers?

Clearly, Georgetown's LRAP fails to account for the extreme indebtedness many of us encounter upon graduation—much of which is not covered by LRAP. The average Georgetown student borrows approximately $40,000 to finance her or his education. For affluent students, the amount borrowed can be considerably higher, often exceeding $50-$70,000. Much of this debt is frequently comprised of commercial loans, which are not covered. Add this amount to one's undergraduate debt, which is also not covered by the program and graduates find themselves confronting a financial scenario which offers few options and—more often than not—precludes the pursuit of public interest employment.

Further, LRAP fails to address the needs of those students in most need of its assistance. As presently formulated, LRAP goes a long way to help students who are relatively young, childless, società milieu class, do not want to work in large urban areas, have little or no undergraduate debt and have parents who can pay for such things as health care and child care.

In order to be useful to public interest bound students, LRAP should, at a minimum, take into consideration national regional cost-of-living disparities. Right now LRAP places the same income limitations on a grad working in Lawrence, Kansas (with its higher quality of life and lower cost-of-living) as it does on a grad working in DC, New York City or San Francisco. How about an income ceiling pegged to something that approximates reality? Why not, for example, have the ceiling for New York City pegged to the actual starting salaries at Legal Aid? How about taking into consideration the student body profile at the Law Center? According to the Registrar, we are disproportionately urban and need to be in large numbers from areas where the cost-of-living is high. Is it unreasonable of me to assume that, many, if not most of us, might want to return to our respective communities for graduation?

Let's face it, folks, despite the fact that urban public interest jobs pay relatively more, those salaries are often too low to offset the higher cost-of-living. Ever try to live, commute to work, purchase groceries (not most of course), purchase sundries, do your laundry, pay off your exorbitant loan debt and pay rent in New York, Boston, San Francisco, Miami, Chicago or Los Angeles on a total monthly income (after taxes) of little over $1,000? LRAP's failure to take national regional cost-of-living disparities into consideration makes the program inapplicable for most urban public interest jobs. Does this mean that Georgetown graduates should be precluded from serving the legal needs of the urban poor? I would hope not.

Given its history as a Jesuit Institution and its position of prominence in the nation's capital—a city that is majority minority and disproportionately poor and legally underserved—Georgetown should set a better example.

In closing I would echo Peter's call to arms. If you feel LRAP should be a priority, join with other similarly concerned students in lobbying the administration for improvements to the program. Specifically, the financial aid committee, the finance committee and the faculty are all in a position to consider improvements to LRAP this fall. You might also want to let your SBA representatives know of your interest in the development of a truly viable program. If's in particular should know that last Spring, the present SBA leadership ran on a platform whereby they pledged to work towards improving LRAP—I urge you to keep them to their pledge for more information contact Peter Butcher 3L or Phyllis Jaudes 2L or other members of the SJP Committee on LRAP.

Personals

K.S.-I am totally sure that no one in the library can see into the fifth floor office when the lights are off. Maybe I'll run into you there again soon.

S.G.-I'm not getting any younger. You're not getting any younger. Let's not get any younger together?

Another sleepless night... Interesting how a year changes things... huh Mooney?


Coming this month.

Metamorphosis

More Res Pendens

The Georgetown Gilbert and Sullivan Society

The Georgetown Gilbert and Sullivan Society is currently accepting proposals from individuals interested in directing a production in February 1992. Those interested should submit proposals including their name, the name of the play they would like to direct, their ideas about the play, the number of people in the cast, the set requirements, and the costume requirements. Proposals are due in the Gilbert and Sullivan box in the Office of Student Life by 5:00 pm, November 15, 1991.

By SEUNG-HO CHOE
TREVOR “The Bear” CHAIT
MIKE “Black Jack” RIZZO
MIKE “The Commissioner” STEIN

We'd like to start this week's article with a disclaimer. If you haven't already, you may have had to say about hockey, why, you ask? Our so-called "expert" on the subject, 3L Michael Deutsch, forsook us all to join a fantasy hockey league in which he now occupies the cellar. In the future, we vow to do our own research so as to not let our faithful readers down.

In keeping with our promise, this week we employ the vast, "objective" knowledge of 3L John "Naville Chamberlain" Singer to analyze the upcoming NBA season. This week the Pigs focus on the Eastern Conference.

ATLANTIC DIVISION

1. Boston Celtics—Although aging, Boston's front court of Bird, McHale, and Parish is still one of the best. Bird, though at the twilight of his career, is still one of the NBA's premier players. Kevin McHale, their挑选, could be troublesome because he gives these older big men much needed rest. Reggie Lewis is developing into one of the better swing men in the East and in a good backcourt combination of Brian Shaw and Dee Brown account for nearly 12 assists a game last year. The Celtics’ two main weaknesses, a lack of outside shooting & the aging frontcourt, should not prevent them from winning the Atlantic.

2. Philadelphia 76ers—Whether you like him or not (and we do) Charles Barkley is the most dominant small forward in the game. He can beat you inside, outside, off the boards, on the break, and with the dribble. The return of Johnny Dawkins to the point and the emergence of Hershey Hawkins gives the Sixers a potent backcourt. The question marks include Armond Gilliam's ability to gracefully coexist with Sir Charles and whether Charles Shackleford can maintain the productivity he demonstrated last season.

3. New York Knicks—The Knicks will improve with Pat Riley at the helm. Patrick Ewing is one of the three best centers in basketball and the best in the Conference. The addition of John Starks will help, but won’t be a cure-all for the Knicks. With McDaniel starting, Kiki VanDeWeghe will overmatch most opponents' second unit forwards. The backcourt could be a mess. Although The Pigs feel Mark Jackson will return to previous form, at the first slip the fickle New York fans and media will clamor for rookie O'Day Anthony. Furthermore, this team has no outside shooting.

4. Miami Heat—This rating depends upon the signing restricted free agent Sherman Douglas. Without Douglas, this team is pointless. In a league that is sliding toward the upper echelon of NBA centers, the drafting of Steve Smith added to the log jam at shooting guard and small forward where the Heat already have Willie Burton, Glen Rice, and Kevin Edwards. The team may have drafted the wrong Smith; they should have taken power forward Doug, and rate-gist Grant Long to the bench.

5. New Jersey Nets—In spite of the fact that the NBA Entertainment Center has been established in nearby Secaucus (not to be confused with Hotel-Kia, don’t look for too many highlights in the year and video), Rookie of the year Derrick Coleman is the only proven commodity on this team. At the present time the Nets' backcourt is $750,000 away from respectability (the difference between what Kenny Anderson wants and the Nets are offering). Drazen Petrovic will start this year, but his skills are more suited toward an instant offense, off the bench. The play of center Sam Bowie will also be critical in the Nets’ ultimate improvement. The bench here is atrocious.

6. Washington Bullets—Perhaps Nutra System, instead of Wes Unseld, should be feeding the Bullets their plays this year. The guards, Michael Adams & A.J. English, should bring excitement to the moribund Cap Center. Their holdout could be troublesome because he gives these older big men much needed rest. Reggie Lewis is developing into one of the better swing men in the East and in a good backcourt combination of Brian Shaw and Dee Brown account for nearly 12 assists a game last year. The Celtics’ two main weaknesses, a lack of outside shooting & the aging frontcourt, should not prevent them from winning the Atlantic.

2. Philadelphia 76ers—Whether you like him or not (and we do) Charles Barkley is the most dominant small forward in the game. He can beat you inside, outside, off the boards, on the break, and with the dribble. The return of Johnny Dawkins to the point and the emergence of Hershey Hawkins gives the Sixers a potent backcourt. The question marks include Armond Gilliam's ability to gracefully coexist with Sir Charles and whether Charles Shackleford can maintain the productivity he demonstrated last season.

3. New York Knicks—The Knicks will improve with Pat Riley at the helm. Patrick Ewing is one of the three best centers in basketball and the best in the Conference. The addition of John Starks will help, but won’t be a cure-all for the Knicks. With McDaniel starting, Kiki VanDeWeghe will overmatch most opponents' second unit forwards. The backcourt could be a mess. Although The Pigs feel Mark Jackson will return to previous form, at the first slip the fickle New York fans and media will clamor for rookie O'Day Anthony. Furthermore, this team has no outside shooting.

4. Miami Heat—This rating depends upon the signing restricted free agent Sherman Douglas. Without Douglas, this team is pointless. In a league that is sliding toward the upper echelon of NBA centers, the drafting of Steve Smith added to the log jam at shooting guard and small forward where the Heat already have Willie Burton, Glen Rice, and Kevin Edwards. The team may have drafted the wrong Smith; they should have taken power forward Doug, and rate-gist Grant Long to the bench.

5. New Jersey Nets—In spite of the fact that the NBA Entertainment Center has been established in nearby Secaucus (not to be confused with Hotel-Kia, don’t look for too many highlights in the year and video), Rookie of the year Derrick Coleman is the only proven commodity on this team. At the present time the Nets' backcourt is $750,000 away from respectability (the difference between what Kenny Anderson wants and the Nets are offering). Drazen Petrovic will start this year, but his skills are more suited toward an instant offense, off the bench. The play of center Sam Bowie will also be critical in the Nets’ ultimate improvement. The bench here is atrocious.

6. Washington Bullets—Perhaps Nutra System, instead of Wes Unseld, should be feeding the Bullets their plays this year. The guards, Michael Adams & A.J. English, should bring excitement to the moribund Cap Center. Their holdout could be troublesome because he gives these older big men much needed rest. Reggie Lewis is developing into one of the better swing men in the East and in a good backcourt combination of Brian Shaw and Dee Brown account for nearly 12 assists a game last year. The Celtics’ two main weaknesses, a lack of outside shooting & the aging frontcourt, should not prevent them from winning the Atlantic.

CENTRAL DIVISION

1. Chicago Bulls—The key to the Bulls’ championship season last year was the presence of Michael Jordan, Defense and continuity enabled Chicago to defeat Detroit and Los Angeles. Scottie Pippen, a terrific all-around player, and best friend Horace Grant provided necessary muscle and inside scoring. The maligned “Medical” Bill Cartwright proved that a steady yet unspectacular center is enough to run a championship. Paxson’s ability to hit the open jumper and play within himself is the perfect backcourt complement to His Airness. Because role players inhabit the bench, an injury to any of the starting five could spell trouble in the Windy City.

2. Indiana Pacers—When Bob Hill took over at coach Danny Ainge, Pacers began scoring at a “toss” furious pace. Reggie Miller has a smooth stroke, but someone is going to hit his hot streak. Chuck Person (although he's backing up his dirty talk with adept use of both hands, Michael Williams loves ball-handling, and LaDelle Thompson is known to give a good whack down low, Delif Schrempf is a great sixth man who can beat you in many ways.

For the Pacers to challenge Chicago, they must play more tenacious defense and perfect their half-court execution.

3. Detroit Pistons—The once great nine man rotation no longer guards anyone. With his demise, the Olympic snubbing, look for a healthy Isaiah Thomas to compete with Ho-Farouk. His backcourt mate is Darrell Dawkins is the team's most complete and likeable player. Darrell Walker has been moved to Microwave as Detroit's third guard, thus substituting defensive rebounding for scoring. Chuck Daly has a formidable task in getting production from a make-shift front line, James “the Buddha” and T.C. Edwards has taken his low-post repertoire to the Clip Joint, leaving the Pistons without an inside scoring threat. At forward, Detroit has two defensive stalwarts (Dennis Rodman and John Salley) and two proven scorers (Orlando Woolridge and Mark Aguirre), but no one can do both.

4. Milwaukee Bucks—Do not let last year's 48-34 record fool you. While the Bucks boast an excellent backcourt, the frontline is as old as the Celtics but far less talented. Jay Humphries, who may inevitably be traded, is an underrated point guard while Alvin Robertson can produce a "quadruple double" (points, assists, rebounds, and steals) on any given night. Sixth man Curtis Ellis will consistently get in and out of the lineup.

5. Atlanta Hawks—The press guide is a must purchase for Hawks' fans at the Omni this year. Atlanta's facade in the off-season includes the acquisition of Travis Mays, Blair Rasmussen, Maurice Cheeks, and rookie Stacey Augmon and Rodney Monroe. On the other hand, Atlanta parted ways with a Handsome James " revered by the fans, ", Spud Webb, Moses Malone, John Battle, Tim McCormick and Doc Rivers. How the infusion of new faces will mesh with established forwards Dominique "T.C." Wilkins and Kevin Willis remains to be seen.

6. Cleveland Cavaliers—If Mark Price and John Battle were healthy, the team from the Midwest could make some noise and eventually be an undesired playoff opponent. The opening night backcourt will consist of rookie Terrell Brandon and swingman Craig Ehlo. The front court, though, is experienced and versatile. Larry Nance will continue to provide scoring punch, underappreciated Brad Daugherty could be the fourth best center in the game, and Hot Rod Williams when focused can burn anyone.

Sixth man Danny Ainge must live up to expectations for the Cavs to contend.

TRIVIA TIME: Name the top three assist leaders in the decade of the 1990’s.

ANSWER TO LAST WEEK'S QUESTION: The most valuable player of a league championship series is Steve Garvey who won it once with the Dodgers and with the Padres.

NOTES: LPB has dominated Journal Softball League again this year. After winning the championship last year, LPB went undefeated against lesser journals this season. Hey losers, how about some real competition next year...It's too bad that the Evander Holyfield/Mike Tyson fight has been postponed indefinitely. Regardless of Tyson’s out-of-ring problems, he was the type of champion that would take on all comers and arguably has the best grasp of boxing history of any fighter ever. Holyfield, on the other hand, is merely the best of the rest, which isn’t saying much given the list of “illuminaries” that now predominates the heavyweight division. (Can you say Francisco Damilano?) Tyson would have destroyed Holyfield and still may if the fight ever takes place at the coliseum. The upcoming half-ball season comes lists up all of America, impact freshmen, under class players, etc., you may not see elsewhere: the all-division from Prop. 48 Team. Point 99 beat out Tampas, FYU, Ferris St. Off-guard—Jamie Brandon, Louisiana St. Small forward—Dwayne Montou, Louisiana. Power forward—Michael Smith, Providence. Center—Luther Wright, Seton Hall...Hey Fred Clarke, sign Eddie Murray for two years, sign Mike Morgan to a long-term contract, induce Orel Hershiser to agree to an incentive-based contract, let Dave Hansel play third, and platoon Sharperson and Harris at second. With Joe Offerman rounding out that infield and Daniels, Butler, and Strawberry in the outfield, the Dodgers may have enough for 1992...More on baseball, It seems the Giants may be interested in trading Kevin Mitchell. They need young pitching the two best trade partners would be Seattle and Philadelphia. Both have solid rosters and good prospects at AAA California & Wilkes-Barre respectively. Two possibilities: Terry Mulholland & Ernie Whitt (plus an aging but still productive Dala Murphy from thePhillies, or Brian Boitano & Pete O'Brien for the outfield) from the Marlins...By the way, Jane Fonda's display at the World Series has been disgusting. The way she draped herself all over Ted Turner is vile. Furthermore, Little Miss Politically Correct didn't seem to notice, the er- rors of her chapping ways until it was pointed out to her by the Native Americans. Seems like Holani Jane didn't think before she chops.
## YOU BE THE JUDGE!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BAR/BRI</th>
<th>BARPASSERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPERIENCE</strong></td>
<td>6 Years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OUTLINES</strong></td>
<td>No separate Remedies outline, although Remedies was tested separately on the July 1991 exam, February 1991 exam and tested on other exams since 1989. Written by attorneys.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAR/BRI's Bar Exam oriented outlines are clear &amp; concise. Separate outlines for each subject tested.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlines written by ABA Law School Professors, many of whom are casebook and hornbook authors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students receive a black letter law capsule summary of all subjects. This Mini Review includes flow charts and comparison charts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FACULTY</strong></td>
<td>No capsule summary of subjects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distinguished ABA Law School Professors lecturing in their area of expertise. Professors include: Charles Whitebread (UCD), Arthur Miller (Howard), Janet Kerr (Pepperdine), Willie Fletcher (Boalt Hall); Dean Catherine Carpenter (Southwestern), John Dancer (Hustings); Richard Wylick (U.C. Davis).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney instructors teaching multiple subjects.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MULTISTATE WORKSHOPS</strong></td>
<td>No 6 day early bird workshop offered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilbert 6-Day Early Bird Multistate Workshop offered to BAR/BRI enrollees at no additional cost. Each day covers a separate Multistate subject. The format consists of an exam followed by a lecture which reviews the answers and highlights the areas most tested on the Bar Exam.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbrace Multistate Workshops are offered during the BAR/BRI course. These additional workshops are integrated with your substantive law lectures. Substantive lecture sequentially followed by a multi-state workshop. This is designed to give students the maximum benefit from these workshops.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 2,000 Multistate questions, including 545 ACTUAL MBE QUESTIONS from the National Conference of Bar Examiners (NCBE).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computerized testing, grading and analysis. Students are graded on a National Scale.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multistate workshop offered. Students desiring additional Multistate workshops are encouraged to enroll in APTS (same ownership as Barb's) for an additional $200 charge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ESSAY WORKSHOPS</strong></td>
<td>Over 2,000 MBE questions, number of actual NCBE MBE questions unknown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full essay workshops offered.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAR/BRI's essay workshops develop your skills in writing answers specifically for the California Bar Exam. These strategies are emphasized through in-class exercises.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full essay workshops offered.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students are graded on a National Scale.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each student has the option of writing &amp; having graded &amp; critiqued up to 30 essay assignments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance test workshops offered.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERFORMANCE WORKSHOPS</strong></td>
<td>No computerized testing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAR/BRI teaches a time/value management system necessary to cope with this unique portion of the Bar Exam. BAR/BRI utilizes actual California performance test questions in this teaching system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A simulated Bar Exam given over two consecutive weekends that includes all three sections of the California Bar Exam (Essay, Performance, and Multi-state). This format prevents student &quot;burn out&quot; which occurs when students take a full Bar Exam a few weeks prior to the actual Bar Exam.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essays &amp; Performance exams are graded and critiqued.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 day simulated Bar Exam given less than 2 weeks prior to actual bar exam causes student &quot;burn out&quot; and is inefficient use of valuable study time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SIMULATED BAR EXAM</strong></td>
<td>Schedule lacks lectures on California subjects (Wills &amp; Community Property) and Trusts - audio tape home use only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students utilize a structured Paced Program that gives a daily study schedule organized so that their performance will peak at the most important time: the 3 days of the Bar Exam.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule lacks lectures on California subjects (Wills &amp; Community Property) and Trusts - audio tape home use only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Much of lecture time is wasted learning a few chart method.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLASS SCHEDULE</strong></td>
<td>All substantive law lectures on video only. Audio only for Wills, Trusts &amp; Community Property. Old video?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live lectures at most major locations throughout California. New video tapes reflecting the most current changes in the law.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIVE / VIDEO CLASSES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Find Out All The Facts On California Bar Exam at the BAR/BRI table

**THURSDAY, OCT 31st, 11am-1pm**

Free Community Property Outlines
Train's Tracks

By ANDY "A-Train" LAYTON

The race for the Luv-Shaq is al-
most a full throttle and the NBA
season has not begun. The Luv-Shaq?
If you think this story is in reference to
the Los Angeles Lakers, you're right.

The Luv-Shaq is none other than
LSU Tiger man-child Shaquille
O'Neal. He is what every general
manager from Boston to Seattle is
dreaming about. The savior, the mes-
elah of our lives. The one man who could
single-handedly lift even the Los An-
gle Clippers from basketball obliv-
iion and transform them into a cham-
pionship contender overnight.

The NBA draft is eight months
distant and it is too early to dream.
Especially if you are Wes Unseld, Abe
Pollin and the Washington Bullets.

Take a walk down to the Capital
Centre and you will realize why Abe
and Wes are drooling over 7-1 and
21-year-old Canadian centre.

The Bullets have been in a down-
ward spiral since 1979. That was
when the Bullets lost to the Seattle
SuperSonics in the NBA Finals. Fans
had something to cheer about,
Turnaround jumpers from the "Big E"
Elvin Hayes. Bone-crushing picks by
Unseld. Line-driving run-one-han-
ders from Bobby Dandridge. Smooth
playmaking by Hersey Hawkins.
Long-range bombs from Kevin
Grevy.

The 1980's provided a drought that
surpasses anything that even Califor-
nia has experienced. Think of the Sa-
haras drought. The one man who could
mirage of hope along the way, Moses
Malone, Gus Williams, John Williams,
Jeff Ruland, Manute Bol, and Ray
Vincent, to name a few.

None of these players, however,
was what would be called mains
from heaven. Poor trades and bad
drafts have contributed to the Bullets'
despair. Who can forget the fiasco
selection of Kenny Green instead of
Karl Malone or Hakeem Olajuwon?

Now it is 1991, and the Bullets
have lost all of their exhibition
games to Ben Navy King, their best
talent. He is hurt and might not return
until February. LaBradford Smith,
this year's number-one draft pick, is
hurt, unsigned and has yet to practice.
Mark Aileris is out of commission until
December. Harvey Grant is nursing a
severely sprained ankle and has yet
to dress.

This leads to the biggest problem
of all (and I mean it when I say BIG):
John "Doughboy" Williams. Here is a
prime example of a player with all of
the talent in the world and a heart
the size of a pea.

With the second consecu-
tive season has boycotted training
camp because the Bullets had the
gumption to tell him to lose weight. We
are not talking about a mere five pounds.
Would you believe fifty?

Williams simply loves to eat. That
is everything in the restaurant. At 6-9,
310 lbs., Fat John is not likely to get
lost in a crowd. In fact, it has been
suggested on more than one occasion
by a prominent local sportswriter that
the best way to locate Fat John is
to ask every fast-food restaurant
whether anyone has ordered the en-
tire drive-thru menu recently.

Thus the Bullets downward spiral
continues with no apparent hope in
sight. Not that anyone will notice
cause nobody dares to be seen at a
Bullets game. The sole consolation is
that if the Bullets are the worst
record in the league (a distinct pos-
sibility), they will have the most ping-
pong balls when lottery-time rolls
around. Hope springs eternal. For the
sake of the Bullets, hope will spring
Luv-Shaq.

As I promised last week, Air-Jordan
cashing is in full season. This sum-
mer, His Airness was kind enough to
play in a summer league all-star
game in Milwaukee. Little Sir Michael
knew what would transpire.

Jordan was approaching the hoop
for one of his death-defying, breath-
taking, spine-tingling, bias-esque windmill-slam dunks. But wait. Out
of nowhere, comes Marquette Univer-
sity's top recruit Shannon Smith to
swat Air Jordan's dunk. Swat is prob-
elably too kind a word. A more fitting
description is that Air Jordan was
given the Spalding Sandwich. This oc-
curs when your shot is rejected back
in your face such that the Spalding
trademark is emblazoned on your
forehead.

So what does Mike do? Does he
give the 17-year-old a high-five? Does
he offer any words of praise to this
budding star? Hell no!

Jordan began what could be de-
scribed as nothing more than a class-
less tantrum. He proceeded to hack
Smith every time he touched the ball.
Then he told his teammates to clear
out so that he could humiliate this
younger one-on-one. Of course Jor-
dan slammed it down on Smith's head.

Yet even this sudden sense of vin-
tinctiveness was not enough. He
grabbed the ball and whipped a 90
mph fastball into Smith's chest. This
is the kind of behavior that a self-pro-
claimed role-model for young boys
make a brake.

To top this off, Mike decided that
he was more important than the rest
of his teammates and decided to boy-
cott the Bulls trip to the White House.

Granted you may not like George
Bush's politics, but you don't dis the
President when he invites you to
Washington.

It's no wonder that this sort of be-
havior has already begun to splinter
the once cohesive Bulls. Horace Grant
stated that there is one set of rules for
Jordan and another set for the rest of
the team.

Maybe it's time for His Airness to
remove his head from the clouds and
take a deep breath of reality. Jordan's
attitude these days is enough to make
one consider about cheering for
Bill Laimbeer and the Pistons.

The team to watch this year in the
NBA will be the Indiana Pacers. It
would not be a surprise if the Pacers
get the Bulls a run in the Central
Division. There is no team in the
league that can match the trio of
Reggie Miller, Delif Schrempf and "The Rifleman" Chuck

In fact, this should be the year
that Person establishes himself as one
of the true forces of the NBA. His trash-
talking attitude is backed up by clutch
performances. Witness his 39-point
explosion against the Celtics last
year in the playoffs that almost gave
Larry Bird an early summer vacation. Add
to the equation rookie man-monster
Dale Davis, and the Pacers will be
tough to beat come May.

Now if you really want to talk about
potential, there is always the
Miami Heat. The Bullets should take a
page from this team when it comes
to getting the most for your money in
the NBA draft. Sherman Douglas,
Glen Rice, Ronny Sealy, Kevin Ed-
wards, Willie Burton, Grant Long, Alec
Kessler and Bimbo Coles are stellar
young talent. Throw in rookie Steve
Smith, and the Heat will melt many
opponents this winter.

The only problem is that this
team is in dire need of a quality coach.
Can you hear me Rick Pitino? Kevin
Loughery is not the answer. This man
is the symbol of futility. The only rea-
son he's here is because Billy Cur-
ingham has a soft heart for the un-
employed. Loughery is the only coach
in the league who could lose with the
1992 U.S. Olympic Team. That takes
talent.

The only signing period for high school
players is only days away and oral
commitments continue to trickle in.
Wing forward extraordinaire Redrick
Rhodes inked with Kentucky, shun-
ning former high school teammates
Jerry Walker, Terry Dehere and
Danny Hurley at Seton Hall. The
Jersey City, NJ. St. Anthony's H.S.
superstar, who Rick Pitino's run and gun
style over Ohio State, Arizona, Mary-
land, Providence, Syracuse as well as
Seton Hall.

Southpaw point guard Duane
Simpkins announced his intention to
head west to Maryland. The 6-0 product
of Hyattsville, MD's famed DeMatha
H.S. should give Gary Williams four
years of stability at the point. Simpkins
shunned Wake Forest, Miami,
Providence, Duke and North Carolina.

Arizona also landed its first star re-
cruit of the signing period by landing
6-7 wing forward Corey Williams of
Batesville, IL. Williams will replace
Chris Mills next year for Lute Olson's
Wildcats. Williams was leaning towards
being a Duck, but changed his
mind when Blue Devil coaches backed
off to chase 6-8 shot blocker
Tony Moore of Potomac, MD's New-
port Prep.

For today's segment of dirt du jour,
sources have told this writer that
Duke will continue its recruiting suc-
cess by landing 6-9 wing guard
Richard Keene of Collinsville, IL. Keen
told Indiana's Bobby Knight, North
Carolina's Dean Smith and LSU's Dale Brown that he is no longer
interested. He is regarded as number
two guard prospect in the country
behind Cal's Jason Kidd. Keene's
mother has been actively pleading
with her child to attend Illinois, but
the son wants to play in the ACC.

Speaking of the future, how about
the potential of the Pittsburgh Steel-
ers? This year might not be the year
for the Steelers to make the playoffs,
but next year watch out! Chuck Noll
has finally seen the light that Bobby
Brister is not NFL talent. Brister would
be better named Bubblehead for his
utter lack of talent.

Neil O'Donnell is the quarterback
for the future for the Steelers. O'Donnell
has what Brister lacks—a
brain. Throw in bowling ball Barry
Foster and wideout Louis Lips and
Chris Calloway and you have an un-
derrated offensive arsenal. Add to
this abundance of talent, Pittsburgh
has the two largest tight ends in the
league in Eric Green and Adrian
Cooper—both of whom are blessed
with great hands.

The Steelers are a defensive line-
man or two away from being the next
force in the AFC. The secondary
of Rod Woodson, Thomas Everett,
Carneil Lake and David Johnson is the
best in the league. The Bills will have
reason to worry, Bruce Smith, you
better get healthy soon because this
might be the last chance the Bills
have of legitimately winning the
Super Bowl. The rest of the AFC will
catch up sooner or later.

This Space For Rent — Cheap
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is truly an art to this. The trick is to
see just how long you can stay in the
city, living off the firms you’re inter-
viewing with, before they kick you out
of town. The Career People on the
third floor advise that you not have more
than one callback per day. I know for a fact that this is a gross
typo and, in reality, you should not
talk to more than one firm per week.
I’m serious. They can be drain-
ing. All that talking. So, if you’re going into,
say, Hawaii for some callbacks,
you just let those firms know that
you’ll need at least three or four days
between firms while you recuperate
in a luxury hotel with excellent room
service. They’ll certainly understand,
what with all the money they save on
plane tickets only having to fly you
out once.
I know people who have spent
months in beautiful Los Angeles
hotels, who have pretty much set up
permanent residences, who have
their mail delivered there, who are
registered to vote there, by stringing
a hall-of-dinner calls backs four or
days apart. So try this, and let me
know how it goes when you get out of
here.

The Callback Interview
The Career Services people advise
you that, since the callback offer indi-
cates that a firm has a greater inter-
est in you, you should do a great deal
of research on the firm to demon-
strate your interest. This is more than
a typo. Someone must have snuck
into their offices and deliberately
planted that lie to make them look
foolish, for there is no reason you should
ever have to do research into any
firm.

After all, are they researching you?
Are they calling up your parents to
see what kind of person you are? Are
they looking you up on LEXIS? No, of
course not. So why should you worry
about the time talking about
yourself. That’s what I do, and I’ve
been Very Successful in callbacks,
getting at least one offer for every
two or three dozen that I do.
Also, firm research is totally not
impressive to your interviewer. Real-
ize that in a large firm most of these
attorneys don’t even know the name of
the attorney next door, much less
the details of the firm’s most recent
big case. If you walk in making jokes
about some major court victory the
firm just had, the lawyer will probably
look at you like you are from some to-
 tally different planet. Especially if the
lawyer is an associate; you show any
firm knowledge to an associate, and
she’ll probably start asking you
about the firm’s current deals, cases,
finances, etc.

GO ORDER STEAK OR SODA CRACKERS?

On the other hand, of course,
FOUR OR FIVE drinks show even
more maturity, and will definitely en-
sure that you get REAL relaxed for
The Lunch, so relaxed that you might
not feel it if a taxi comes in your ear so
drinking exactly what you are looking for. So I
definitely recommend at least some
drinking. Something hard. Bourbon,
moreover.

The other major difficulty at The
Lunch is deciding what exactly to or-
der. I know that people might say that
what you order has no importance.
Someone might even sneer at the
Career Services office, impersonate
one of their helpful Career Helpers,
and tell you that no one really cares
what you eat. Well, as Professor Es-
krig would say, Au Contrare, whatever
that means.

In fact, what you choose for The
Lunch will be the Sole Determining
Factor in whether you get an offer. It
is crucial. Do you just get a salad,
hoping to impress them with your
lack, environmentally sound choice?
Do you go for the steak, to show
you’re a Real Tough Person unafraid
to drink the blood of another living
thing? Do you get the most expensive
entree? The least expensive? Though
they don’t show it, the lawyers wait
with bated breath for your choice,
knowing that your whole future de-
pends on your call. As soon as you
decide, the choice is relayed back to
the firm office so they can have the
secretary’s kids start typing up your
rejection letter, if necessary.

So, you ask, what do you order?
What choice will ensure my prosper-
some future? Well, to tell you the truth,
I have no idea. I’d just be guessing. All
I can say is that it’s Very, Very impor-
tant and you shouldn’t blow it if you
want a happy life.

If it’s all the same, though, I’d prob-
ably go for the most expensive steak
they got. If you’re going to guess
wrong, you might as well go out eat-
ing good steak. And just for good
money, get the salad, too.

And, of course, don’t forget the
bourbon to wash it down.

Randy’s Guide to Interviews will
continue next week, with Part Three,
Coming Soon: A Professor in Hell, The
Short Story.
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Please Note Date

Changes
Spring 1992 Advanced and wait-list period will be held November 11th-15th. Forms, directions; and a list of Open and Wait-List courses will be available at the Kiosk and at the Registrar's Counter beginning November 11th. All forms will be deemed received on November 15th for priority purposes. Wait-List Winners will be notified by mail shortly thereafter. A Spring Add/ Drop and Wait-List process will also hit held during the first week of classes in January.

Fall Examination Information:
Please check the bulletin boards posted on Room 202 regarding the following policies:
1. 24-hour exam conflict
2. Deferred exam
3. Use of typewriter/word processor during examinations.

Mary 1992 Graduates
Students anticipating graduation in May were asked to complete an application for graduation by October 15th. If you have not done so, please come to the Office of the Registrar immediately. Application for graduation, audit and diploma order.

State Bars Information
Upperclass students are encouraged to contact the Bars for the states in which they like to practice and obtain information about the application process. Deadlines for February and even May exams in 1992 are fast approaching, and some of these deadlines cannot be waived. Some states (e.g., Florida and Ohio) encourage early registration by lowering their fees. Some states would like you to register in your first year of law school. Other states, i.e., South Carolina and Indiana, have required courses which must be completed before you can be admitted. Information on Bar requirements, including telephone numbers and addresses of the state Bars, is available at the Office of the Registrar.

We want to hear from you!
We have a SUGGESTION AND COMMENT box at our front counter so our students may let us know how they are liking or offering comments on areas that need our attention. If the writer will list his/her name and address, we will respond. Anonymous cards are also welcome. Comments and responses of general interest will be posted in the front office bulletin board without identification of the initial correspondent.

Current Local Address
All students are urged to update their biographic information to insure that we have their current local address and telephone number for future mailings. If you have an office number, please give us that too.

Student Disciplinary Code
A student is held to have notice of the GULC Student Disciplinary Code and its provisions by virtue of enrolling at the Law Center. The Code appears in the Bulletin.

Student Roster/Privacy Act
A roster of all registered students, including local address and phone numbers will be made available each month at the Student Message Center, the Kiosk and in front of the Office of Student Life on the first floor. Under the provisions of the Privacy Act, the Law Center may release certain information designated as "Directory Information," as per Administrative and Academic Regulations, August 1991. Students may elect to have this "Directory Information" withheld by filing the appropriate form at the Office of the Registrar.

PALESTINIAN & JEWISH WOMEN IN DIALOGUE
Feminist perspectives on the conflict, Tuesday, November 6, at 8 p.m. at the home of Professor Gary Peller, 2519 Baltimore Ave. (near Takoma Park metro). Refreshments provided. Co-sponsored by Middle East Dialogue for Peace, Women's Legal Alliance.

PALESTINIAN/JEWISH CONFLICT: A JEWISH RELIGIOUS PERSPECTIVE
Rabbi Max Ticktin, Chairman of the GWU Dept. of Judaic Studies and long-time member of the Fabrangen Synagogue, will discuss the sources and shahers stereotypes, Tuesday, October, 29th, 8:30 pm, George Washington U. Hillel, 2536 H St. NW (near Foggy Bottom metro).

ACLU
The American Civil Liberties Union of the National Capital Area's Public Education Project is sponsoring a lecture series to commemorate the Bi- centennial of the Bill of Rights. The first lecture of the series will be on Thursday, November 14th at 7:30 p.m., at the National Archives' fifth floor theater, located on 8th and Pennsylvania Avenue (enter at Pennsylvania Ave. NW). The guest speaker is author Peter Irons, professor at the University of California, San Diego. He will speak about his experiences in researching and writing his latest book, The Courage of Their Convictions, an account of sixteen people who helped shape history by taking their cases to the United States Supreme Court. The lecture is free and open to the public.

Healthy Body, Healthy Mind
Get a workout without going to Yatus. Join yoga classes on Thurs., 6:30-8:30 p.m., Mount Court Room. En- theorised instructors, low-impact and yoga. Bring $1 an exercise mat or towel. Starts Nov. 4th.

Notice
Official Meeting on Military's Recruitment Policies
As part of its process in preparing recommendations to the faculty with respect to the military's entitlement to recruit on campus and through the Career Services Office, the Placement Committee will hold a hearing on Wednesday, October 30, 1991, in Room 206, starting at 3:30 p.m., to re- ceive students' views. A more de- tailed announcement will appear in next week's Law Journal.

The Writing Center is Open
Upperclass students may sign up for an appointment in Room 540. The Writing Center is designed to assist students with writing concerns such as essay writing style, getting started, becoming more efficient at research, organizing materials, using technol- ogy effectively, or reviewing drafts as an objective reader. Senior Writing Fellows will be available at specific times throughout the week and by appointment for evening.

Accreditation
On October 28-30, a team of seven representatives of the American Bar Association/Association of American Law Schools (ABA/AALS) will visit the Law Center to evaluate the Law Center for purposes of ABA/AALS accreditation. The team will tour the Law Center, visiting classes and speaking with faculty, staff, and students. There will be an open meeting on Monday, October 28, from 3:30- 4:30 p.m. in Room 201 for all students interested in talking with members of the accreditation team.

All Saints
All Saints Day is Friday, November 1st. There will be Masses in the GULC Chapel on Thursday, October 31st at 6:30 pm and Friday, November 1st at 12:15 pm.

October 24
First Years can now make appoint- ments to see counselors in the Office of Career Services. The Office is always open for 1LS to use the counselors and we would be happy to answer questions. The counselors will be coming to legal research and writing classes; Wed. 11/13; Thurs. 11/14; Friday 11/15 and Monday 11/18.

2LS Speak to 1LS About Summer Jobs
There will be a program on November 15 at 3:30.

LLMS
Lee Carol Johnson is collecting re- ports for the LLMS Directory. You may turn in your resume at the Office of Career Services.

Understanding Small Firm Practice

Litigation Opportunities with Federal Agencies
-Matt Elkan Commodities Trade Commission
-Carlo Kagan—Nuclear Regulatory Commission
-McCary—Federal Trade Commission
-Don Shalhoub—OSHA

The United States
Attorney
The U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia has Spring Internship positions available for sec- ond- and third-year law students. Deadline is November 15. Information is available in the Office of Career Services.

Federal Judicial Clerkships
A program will be presented on November 20. Speakers will be for- mer and present clerks.

Robert Stark—US District Court (DC); US Supreme Court (Wilmer Cutler)
Joseph Guerra—US District Court (DC); US Supreme Court (Sidley and Austin)
Cathy Wallman—US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit; US Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit (Arnold and Porter)

Richard Siegel (97') Delaware Supreme Court.

3LS
Legal Aid Society of Cleveland will be interviewing on November 11. 3LS interested should have resume and writing samples to the Office of Career Services by November 4. Interview sign up is at desk in the Office of Career Services.

Georgetown Student Chapter of the Association of Trial Lawyers of America
The newly formed Georgetown Student Chapter of the Association of Trial Lawyers of America will hold an informal meeting on Thursday, November 7, 1991 at 7:45 p.m. in Room 203. If you are interested in being an ATLA member, but are unable to attend the 7:45 meeting, please come to Room 203 between 4:30 and 9:00 p.m. on Thursday, November 7 to pick up important information regarding membership and future events. If neither time is convenient for you, please contact Karen Rothfleisch for further in- formation. Anyone interested in trial advocacy is welcome to join—pro- active plaintiff's attorneys, defense attorneys, or any combination thereof are welcome. The national organ- ization is comprised of members representing the entire spectrum of the litigation field; this is the oppor- tunity to meet them all!