Committee implemented guidelines to restrict key spending areas across and narrow the scope of what was requested.

Group's chief activities are its letter-writing campaign.

End-of-the-year receptions, brown bag lunches, magazine subscriptions, gifts, and musical entertainment were funded. The musical entertainment restriction gained favor BLSA, which last year featured musical entertainment at key cultural events. The gifts restriction affected Georgetown Outreach, which had bought buttons and T-shirts to mobilize volunteer activity.

Lunch the guidelines, which were a combination of a prior guidelines and ones formulated by this year's Budget Committee, the committee looked at other criteria in evaluating budgets. These included the number of active members; the track record of the club (or how they've spent allocated money in the past); the delinquency of the club's plans, especially

The Justice Brennan Seminars

A lottery system by which 108

O'Neill, co-ordinator of the seminars, the divisions of students permitted
to submit lottery forms for the different seminars were drawn along
tines of class standing based on the advice of the professors hosting the
essions. The hosts and hostesses of the seminars restricted to students of higher standing felt that those who
had already taken Constitutional Law
would get the most out of the seminars.

SBA Hammers Out Club Budget Agreements

By GABE GONZALEZ

Last Wednesday night, at a few

minutes past 11:00 p.m., the SBA came to agreement on the budget for student organizations for the 1992-93 school year.

The meeting, the first SBA meeting of the year, is an opportunity for student organizations to appeal the SBA Budget Committee's funding decisions. The Appropriations Committee, composed of six SBA members, listened to 15-minute presentations from students from more than 30 groups, on the weekend of September 12-13. It presented organizations with their funding amounts on Monday, the 14th.

The total pool of funds available for distribution was approximately

The Appropriation Continuation page 3

Anita Hill to Speak at GULC

By JUDITH O'SULLIVAN

On October 15, 1991, the U.S. Sen-
sate confirmed Justice Clarence Thomas by a vote of 52-48. Justice
Thomas confirmation was the culmination of one of the country's most arduous Supreme Court Hearings. Charges that Thomas, while Chair-
man of the Equal Opportunity Com-
mission, had sexually harassed Pro-
fessor Anita F. Hill, identified the na-
tion. The widely shared perception among women was that the all-male Senate Judiciary Committee had dis-
counted the importance of Hill's case. The televised look at the inside of the workings of the Senate "Club" galvanized the country's female elec-
torate, ushering in "The Year of the Woman" in national politics.

On Friday, October 16, 1992, from

students were invited to submit lottery forms for a seminar on the "Operation of the Supreme Court." The seminar "Confessions and the Four Amendment," "The Death Penalty," "Procedural Due Process," "Equal Protection: Gender," and "Free Press and Free Speech" were open to lottery ticket submission from all students of second-year or higher standing. All students are permitted to enter the lottery for one other seminar, "Warrren Court Reminiscences." According to Assistant Dean Carol

GULC Professor Eleanor Holmes Nor-

ic-American feminist theo-

First-year, second-year evening, and masters program students were

The Justice Brennan Seminars

O'Neill, co-ordinator of the seminars, the divisions of students permit-
ted to submit lottery forms for the different seminars were drawn along
tines of class standing based on the advice of the professors hosting the
essions. The hosts and hostesses of the seminars restricted to students of higher standing felt that those who
had already taken Constitutional Law
would get the most out of the seminars. The seminars were directed toward constitutional issues if those groups so desired.

In addition to Justice Brennan and the twelve students whose lottery tickets are chosen, the seminars will be attended by the Justice's host and hosted by a different faculty member each meeting. Some of the seminars will have readings assigned by the faculty member hosting that session. Each seminar is scheduled to be an hour-long forum beginning with a brief presentation from Justice Brennan followed by a period of ques-
tsions and answers. The seminars are scheduled during lunch hours to give the greatest number of students the opportunity to participate. This is especially pertinent for the evening division students, because Brennan did not visit evening classes last year.

This is Justice Brennan's second year as a visiting professor at George-
town University Law Center, and this is the first year the seminar format has been used here. Last year Brenn

Continued page 5
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SBA Delegates: Don't Vote Special Interests!

To the Editor:
Very few things would cause me to leave the Law Center in a rage, but after the first SBA meeting I left the Law Center frustrated and annoyed at many of my fellow students.

Last Wednesday, SBA held its first meeting of the 1992-1993 school year. The purpose of the meeting was to pass the budget for GULC student organizations.

Adopting a balanced budget with limited funds incorporating all the needs of the student organizations at GULC is a near impossible feat. Because each organization is unique, it is necessary for each club to explain what it needs and justify the funds it receives. SBA recognizes that every organization should have an opportunity to present their proposed budget to the Student Bar Association, and therefore has adopted a process where a group of six members act as the Appropriations Committee.

The Appropriations committee, made up of the SBA Treasurer and five delegates, spent an entire weekend at the Law Center listening to all the organizations present their budgets. All the members of the committee will be trying to create a budget based on the funds allocated to SBA from the law school administration. Those six individuals should be commended for all their hard, work. They spent over 26 hours working on a budget so that the other member delegates of SBA did not have to sit and listen to all the club presidents explain their budgets.

The Appropriations Committee came to the meeting with a budget. Instead of adopting the Committee's proposed budget, many delegates felt it was necessary to give more money to some organizations that they personally felt did not receive the money they deserved. The Appropriations Committee would have liked to given all the clubs the money they asked for; however, because of the limited availability of funds, cuts had to be made.

After much debate a motion was made that $2400 be allocated to six organizations that needed extra funds. It is all fine and great to want to give out more money to organizations that make GULC a unique law school; however, the problem arises as to where this extra money is going and how to come from. The Appropriations Committee is doing, during the emergency fund for groups that may form during the 1992-93 year. It was supposed the money be taken from this fund which seems to be the most logical place to get the money from. Instead of taking the money from the emergency fund many delegates wanted to further cut SBA's budget. Up until this point I had not expressed my views on the whole process. I was waiting for someone to inevitably suggest that SBA's budget be cut. When the motion to cut SBA's budget went on the floor, that is when I became angry. I cannot believe that delegates of SBA who are supposed to be representing the entire student body voted to cut the single organization at GULC where the need is a member. Membership of SBA is not drawn on any ethnic, racial, or religious lines. The criteria for membership is that one attend GULC. SBA is one of the only organizations that put on a wide variety of events where all students can participate. The Barister's Ball, for example, which is an annual dance sponsored and subsidized by SBA, is an event in which all students at GULC are invited to attend. As a result of the budget cuts, that delegates who are supposed to be representing the student body voted for, the SBA will likely have to charge higher prices for tickets this year.

SBA's budget was cut as a result of delegates who deemed it more important to look out for themselves in selecting organizations instead of voting as a representative of the student body. I cannot believe that certain delegates, who had ample opportunity to sit on the Appropriations Committee this year, but instead devoted that right and delegated authority to the committee, had the nerve to sit and complain about the Committee's budget cuts, and had the gaul to suggest that SBA's budget be further cut at the expense of other organizations.

I think some delegates are completely ignoring the reality that SBA is an organization for all students that incorporates all the student clubs at GULC. As a Student Bar Association Delegate for Second Year students, I see myself as a representative of SBA and as a representative of other organizations that I personally am a member. As a voting delegate, I do not think it is appropriate for me or any other delegate to vote for their special interest over the interest to represent and accurately reflect the needs of the entire student body. By voting to give more money to clubs that they themselves are members of, some delegates are essentially voting their special interest. I think I strongly urge all students to consider who they elect as Student Bar Association delegates, because their choice can have a significant impact on school-wide events.

Kimberly Scardino 2L

SBA Delegate
Freedom of Choice? Freedom of Speech?

by Karen Bower

In late April, the Women's Legal Alliance (WLA) was informed by the Dean's office that the description submitted for publication in the University bulletin would not be printed because its language violated Georgetown's policy prohibiting funded student organizations from engaging in pro-choice advocacy. This is the same policy which the University used to de-charter GU Choice on main campus last year. The language in question stated that WLA "organized a GULC contingent for the NOW pro-choice march." During the summer, several WLA members discussed our response to the decision to censor our description. We also discussed the larger issue of WLA's funding status. The choice to continue pro-choice activity and risk possible loss of funding in the pro-choice organization with alternative funds was a decision which could only be made with the input of our entire membership, after consideration of our alternatives.

We considered the impact of the policy and our response on the GULC community, the practical use of our time and energy and the ability to effectively advocate for choice. While we believe that reproductive rights and other feminist concerns are intricately linked, we were not prepared to jeopardize funding for pro-choice activities due to pro-choice advocacy. We agreed that the policy could be protested in the fall without the loss of WLA funds or programs. We therefore decided to completely rewrite the description of WLA. The new description indicates our concern with issues of reproductive rights and sexual autonomy.

We did not consider this decision as acceptance of the policy. Rather, it was a way to continue to engage in feminist activity until our membership could discuss the issue and make a unified decision.

On Wednesday, Sept. 16, WLA's membership and interested students met to consider this issue. After discussion of the alternatives, we again decided that WLA would engage in other activities and would present speakers on reproductive rights, but that we would not jeopardize our funding by violating this policy. We make this decision under protest and plan to meet on Wednesday, Sept. 23, at 3:30, to begin organizing a protest to this policy. The room location will be posted on WLA's door.

The University's policy, originated and administered from main campus, is offensive and discriminatory. There are numerous instances in which the University directly funds activities contrary to Catholic doctrine. Suppression of activity regarding the reproductive rights of women is an extremely selective and arbitrary application of doctrinal purity. The policy not only prohibits advocacy of abortion, but also inhibits free speech and free association. It discriminates against pro-choice activity while allowing all other forms of opinion.

We invite interested students, faculty and staff to join us in protesting this attack on free speech. We expect discussion to continue.

Brennan Lottery, continued

nan visited different classes at the invitation of their professors to give lectures. The topics for this year's seminars were selected by Justice Brennan from last year's lectures and a semester-long seminar the Justice led at the University of Miami School of Law a couple of years back. The seminar forum was adopted in order to nurture a more intimate, informal, and friendly discussion environment and to better take advantage of Justice Brennan's fund of teaching. It is unknown at the present time whether Brennan will be teaching next semester or next year. A decision on that issue will be made based on his health at a later time. There are no plans to either audio- or videotape the seminars in respect of Justice Brennan's wishes. The only manner expressly prohibited in the seminars by Justice Brennan are tapes currently pending before the Supreme Court.

Justice Brennan retired from the Supreme Court July 20, 1990. Assistant Dean O'Neill cited Brennan's strength of personality as legendary, and noted that the respect afforded him cut across party lines. President Dwight D. Eisenhower granted Brennan a recess appointment as an associate justice to the Supreme Court to replace retiring Justice Sherman Minton on October 16, 1956. He was subsequently elected to the position by President Eisenhower on January 14, 1957, and was confirmed by a Senate voice vote on March 19 of that year. Justice Brennan had previously served on the New Jersey superior court, first as a judge, then in the appellate division. He moved up to the New Jersey Supreme Court in 1952.

During his tenure on U.S. Supreme Court, Brennan was opposed to arrests without warrants and the death penalty. He was a staunch supporter of the First Amendment. In Herbert v. Lando et al., Brennan wrote, "The First Amendment bars the State from imposing upon its citizens an authoritative vision of the truth." Brennan also wrote, in one of the Supreme Court's earliest opinions defining obscenity, Roth v. United States, "[sex and obscenity are not synonymous. Obscene material is material which deals with sex in a manner appealing to a prurient interest. The portrayal of sex, e.g., in art, literature, and scientific works is not itself sufficient reason to deny material the constitutional protection of freedom of speech and press." In addition, Brennan was the author of the majority opinion in N.Y. Times v. Sullivan, which established the "actual malice" test for libel against public officials.

Justice Brennan also wrote several majority opinions supporting the civil rights of minorities. His opinion in NAACP v. Button upheld the right of association. Baker v. Carr allowed constitutional challenges of malapportionment to be properly tried in federal courts. Kirpikovich v. Preisler held states to a strict application of the "one person, one vote" rule. In Green v. County School Board of New Kent County Virginia, Brennan wrote that states had an "affirmative duty" to desegregate schools.

Justice Brennan was born in Newark, New Jersey, on April 25, 1908. He received his B.S. from the University of Pennsylvania and was graduated from Harvard Law School with an LL.B. in 1933. He married Marjorie Leonard May 5, 1928, and has two sons and one daughter. After the death of his wife in 1982, he was remarried to Mary Fowler March 9, 1983.
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The Pizza Story

By JM BLACK

I love pizza but, admit, I don't know much about pizza. Back in California we recognize three types of pizza: Chicago style, New York style and the famed "California pizza." Chicago style is the thick kind with the toppings pretty much under the cheese and New York style is the thin "Domino's" type pizzas. I have no idea what they actually serve in those cities, and, considering the way my interview has been going, I doubt I'll ever find out. California pizza is pretty much what you'd expect it to be. Sort of a healthy pizza with brown wheat bread type crust with all your favorite vegetable items on it and low fat sauce.

I guess the new pizzas here at the law center are Chicago style because they are a little thicker than the ones we had last year. Last year, for those of you who missed out, we had big normal slices. The last year's head chef was Brian Green. You might know him as "Luliji the pizza guy." Brian's philosophy on making pizzas is essentially: happy people + quality pizza = good business. He wants to give you a good value for your money and create a happy atmosphere where you can relax and take your mind off the troubles of the day. Luliji will fix your pizzas with just about any topping you could possibly want on it (provided they have it in the kitchen somewhere). He takes requests much in the same fashion as a nightingale DJ. The only limit I can see is thick: whether or not you can afford it. The pizzas are $2.50 plus 25¢ per additional topping. Brian makes about 100 of these little gems each day. This comes to be about $600 worth of pizza, which is equal to 50 large Domino's pizzas. And considering that the Georgetown pizzas are roughly 1/4 the size of a large Domino's pizza I guess it's not a bad deal. Brian offers one thing that Domino's doesn't: a guarantee that you will like it or you can bring it back. He refuses to serve a pizza that he would not eat himself.

Because I like New York style pizza the most I wondered why the switch this year from the big pizzas to the personal size, Tom Dyer, Assistant Operations Manager of the dining facilities, told me that they decided to switch to these new pizzas because they can make them quicker and easier. It is consistent in its size, shape, shape etc. All the ingredients are the same as last year, only the crust has changed. It is frozen and pre-shaped instead of in frozen balls of dough like they had last year. Although the new pizzas are more expensive than last year's slices so it's about the same deal. Also, with the smaller size it is easier to make custom pizzas for people. If somebody wants an unusual pizza they don't have to risk making a full size pizza just to accommodate the person (who will probably only eat 2 slices of it anyway).
Jobs, Jobs, Jobs

by Tom Heimann
Co-Chair, Georgetown Law
Students for Clinton - Gore

The central focus of this election year is the economy and job creation. One reason Jim Baker's trademark torrent of Republican sleaze has not been effective this time around is that it strikes voters as irrelevant and disheartening of their everyday pressing concerns. Voters want to listen to the candidates ideas on getting the economy moving again. Bush and Quayle have been forced to recognize that and have recently presented their economic agenda. This is, of course, not to say that they are prepared to settle into a campaign on the issues. That would be a sure loser for them. At least, though, they have had to present some economic policy along with the mud.

This article will attempt to briefly describe Bill Clinton's job creation policies as outlined in his economic plan - Putting People First. I will then attempt to compare Clinton's positions with the proposals that Bush made in his economic address in Detroit recently. That will not take long since Bush has virtually nothing specific to say about job creation. Keep in mind though, that even if Bush proposes something specific between now and election day, he has a clear record of paying no attention to campaign promises once elected.

Before discussing the specifics of Clinton's plan it is important to understand the basic philosophy behind it. Clinton believes that long term and sustainable economic growth can only be created by investing in this country's people. Do not write this off as an empty political slogan. Worker productivity and skills are the best weapons this country can have to compete in international markets in the years ahead. Bill Clinton's job creation strategy can be divided into four areas: public investment (particularly in the national infrastructure), investment in communities, encouragement of private investment, and opening world markets. Investment in these areas will create jobs directly as well as giving the economy the boost it needs to get people back to work.

Public investment begins with retooling and improving the transportation infrastructure. These investments are essential to economic development and efficiency but are not, for the most part, well suited to private investment. Infrastructur also means using existing technologies and encouraging new ones to help widen access to information through computer and telecommunications networks.

As we discussed in a previous article, both Bill Clinton and Al Gore used for the proposition that environmental technologies can be the engine of tomorrow's economic growth. American companies have the potential to lead the world in developing and marketing these new technologies. Bush would have you believe that government support for developing environmental technology would automatically backfire since government interference is incapable of creating economic growth. But let us not forget that even the most successful American arms manufacturers could not have gotten their start without government funding. In fact the Bush administration ignores its own rhetoric about arms control to help private arms companies swing deals abroad so as to avoid laying off workers.

The worst possible result of a policy of government assistance to environmental technology development would be an environmental industrial complex replacing the military industrial complex. If we are incapable of demilitarizing the post Cold War era, then this is certainly a better way to spend tax dollars for job creation than selling F-16's to Taiwan. However, environmental industries have a better chance to be a win for government support than do military industries. The potential markets are simply much larger.

All of these public investments would ease the transition from a military to a civilian economy. This is a transition that will have to be done sooner or later, but will only cost more later.

In new job in communities means providing grants and incentives to companies that propose projects to rebuild the urban infrastructure, especially in low income neighborhoods. It also means building on the success of community development banks in Chicago to provide advice and low level funding for underprivileged areas and requiring financial institutions to invest in their communities. Urban enterprise zones have their place in this program as well. Helping communities also means better loan enforcement - national gun control, more police officers coming from a National Police Corps (that college graduates could join to pay back their education loans) as well as from the military. The criminal justice system could also be helped by putting first time non-violent offenders in community boot camps rather than in our overcrowded prison system.

Clinton proposes encouraging private investment by providing an investment tax credit, a permanent research and development tax credit, a capital gains tax cut for investments in job creating businesses, and a civilian research and development agency as well as manufacturing extension centers to help industries make use of technology to compete.

A final question remains about Clinton's job creation agenda. How does he propose to pay for it? We have heard a great deal about the deficit the federal government is in. It is true that Clinton does not embrace the theory that eliminating the deficit should be done immediately at all costs. The simple fact is that the country cannot afford any crash deficit reduction aimed at its elimination in four years. People are already hurting in this country and it is simply not fair to cut back services and tax massively at this point, even if it were done in the sincere belief that five to ten years down the road everyone would be better off.

The fact is that we have two one-time political imperatives that we must face right away: deficit reduction and helping people back to their feet after two disastrous years of Reagan - Bush trickle down voodoo economics. Clinton has proposed a path through the middle ground. He will begin spending on initiatives that will help build the future of the country and at the same time significanly reduce the deficit and set the economy on a course to eliminate it completely around the year 2000. The bulk of the money to achieve this goal would come from spending cuts, raising taxes on the wealthy, and closing existing massive corporate tax loopholes.

Finally, what has Bush proposed to end the recession he and his predecessor made inevitable and to get people back to work? A capital gains tax cut. Yes, this is pretty much it. Of course, he would argue that he mentions other ideas like his plan to develop the economy through international trade and his proposals for across the board tax cuts. But just like his claim that the kind of deficit in the paper it is printed on until he gets specific.

So when you consider who to vote for, you can choose between a candidate with a coherent and sensible economic plan, or the president, who is capable only of cynical maneuvering to get himself reelected.
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Federalists to Host Ed Meese at GULC

by SCOTT SHUDA

On Tuesday, September 29, 1992, former Attorney General Edwin Meese III will address students and faculty in the Most Court Room of the Georgetown Law Center. Mr. Meese will be introduced by Dean Arean at 3:30 p.m.

For twelve years, Mr. Meese has confounded official Washington. First as Counsel to President Reagan, then as Attorney General, and most recently as lecturer, commentator and columnist, Mr. Meese has held steadfast in his conservative principles. In his recent book, With Reagan: The Inside Story, Meese makes very clear that he continues to celebrate the success of Ronald Reagan’s eight years as President of the United States.

With Reagan is intended to counter the liberal revisionists who would rewrite Reagan out of history, attributing his startling successes to either some magic of Mikhail Gorbachev or the greed of Wall Street. Meese gives no ground to this band of naysayers who would, by some perverse ideological alchemy, convert all of Reagan’s achievements into dismal failure. Meese’s recounting of history reminds us during this election year that if Ronald Reagan were somehow allowed to run for President again, he would no doubt win in a popular landslide.

Mr. Meese was, as Attorney General, the architect of President Reagan’s reshaping of the judiciary to inculcate a new philosophy in the separation of powers and the Founders’ original intent. This legacy, like the others, will carry on for years despite the efforts of some to discredit such principle.

Mr. Meese currently holds the Ronald Reagan Chair in Public Policy at the Heritage Foundation and is a Distinguished Visiting Fellow at the Hoover Institution. He will speak at the Law Center on the invitation of the Georgetown Chapter of the Federalist Society.

Volunteers Needed to Help Disabled

By JOHN C. CRESPO
THEO LIEBBMANN

"Without volunteers, we would cease to exist," says Executive Assistant Drew Schuler of the Zaccueaus Free Medical Clinic on 14th Street. The clinic provides free medical services to some of the more than 100,000 people in the District of Columbia who lack health insurance and cannot afford medical care on their own. Although he clinic provides over 4,000 doctor-patient visits per year, they still turn away as many as 25 people every morning the clinic is open. The clinic simply doesn't have enough volunteers to help all the needy who arrive at their door.

"Of the 310 people who work here, 300 are volunteers," Schuler elaborated. "They handle everything from admitting patients, to providing actual medical care, to insuring that patients are receiving all the government aid they are entitled to."

What Can I Do?

Volunteering at Zaccueaus is just one of many ways students can get involved in the community this semester. The Health and Disability area of Georgetown Outreach has prepared an extensive listing of organizations that need people willing to lend a hand. A range of opportunities are available, from delivering food to home-bound people with AIDS, to playing sports with children who have disabilities, to reading mail for a person who is blind, to operating a hotline for a battered women’s shelter.

However, you don’t have to skip classes, or forsake all your free time, to volunteer. Kids Enjoy Exercise Now, or KEEN, needs volunteers to "coach" children and young adults with disabilities a few hours every other Sunday afternoon in Rockville, MD. Elliot Portnoy, a recent GULC student and KEEN founder, asks volunteers to attend only as often as they can. Although more volunteers are needed because the program is expanding, Portnoy doesn’t worry about getting enough volunteers to show up. Many volunteers find KEEN an addicting experience. Volunteer Paula Ryan says, "I like doing something for people who really value the time you can give to them. I can spend two hours studying for classes, and that time can’t compare with the time I spend at KEEN."

Some people are apprehensive about volunteering with the disabled because they are "so different." But, as volunteers quickly learn, people with disabilities have real personalities and real feelings. "They were just like any other kids," said volunteer Christine Storimen when asked how she felt about the KEEN kids. "They were fun to play with, and some of them really surprised me with how talented they were, especially with the things they worked on hard," she continued.

Who Else Needs Volunteers?

KEEN and the Zaccueaus Free Medical Clinic are only two of the scores of organizations in need of volunteer help. Other area organizations, for example, are committed to helping people infected with the AIDS virus, such as the Whitman-Walker Clinic and Food and Friends. At Pediatric AIDS/HIV Care volunteers can serve as "buddies" for families with HIV-infected children. They can help families with child-care, transportation for hospital visits, and provide general support.

Several battered women’s shelters around town also need volunteer assistance. At My Sister’s Place, volunteers operate a hotline and often pro-vide the first friendly advice for many battered women and their children. Volunteers can also aide My Sister’s Place by assisting with community outreach programs, counseling women who file restraining orders, and helping to solicit donations of food and supplies.

Even a small time commitment to these, or other organizations can be meaningful and refreshing for both the volunteers and the recipients of their help. An hour or two every week, or even once a month, can make a real difference in someone’s life.

Volunteer Day Opportunities

If you would like to get a taste for volunteering, Georgetown Outreach’s Volunteer Day is coming up on Saturday, October 3rd. The Health and Disability area is planning an afternoon out with a group of young adults from the DC Association of Retarded Citizens. Volunteers will meet the people from the DC ARC at the Law Center and then walk over to Union Station to have lunch. The afternoon will be capped off by taking in a movie at the Union Station theater. Take the time to sign up and experience the rewards of volunteering!

If you have any questions about Health and Disability volunteering, drop a note for John Crespo or Theo Liebmann at the Georgetown Outreach office in room 172.

Pro-Choice Group Starts

by Beth Kingsley

Members of the law school community probably know that last spring on the main campus GU Choice had its status as a recognized student group revoked. The school insisted that it was enforcing a policy of permitting free speech and prohibiting only advocacy of a pro-choice position. GU Choice found this fine distinction impossible to live with.

It was not immediately clear what this policy meant for the law center. However, it has become clear that the powers that be at main campus will not permit university-funded groups here, the Women’s Legal Alliance (WLA) in particular, to undertake any activity which they define as “pro-choice advocacy.” At a meeting on September 16, WLA decided not to violate this prohibition and thereby lose its status and funding.

Following this decision, a number of students decided to form a new organization dedicated to pro-choice activities. This will not be an official campus organization, at least initially. While we will not enjoy the benefits of university recognition (office space and funding), we still will enjoy the rights of all individual students and faculty members.

One of the first issues for the group to confront is the best way to challenge Georgetown’s anti-choice policy. The first meeting will take place on Wednesday, September 23 at 4:15 p.m. in Room 140. We hope that this will be at least the start of a full and open debate about our options and the best response to the university’s position. All members of the GULC community, students, faculty, and staff, are encouraged to attend.

Those who are interested in getting involved but cannot come to the meeting, or anyone who wants to help organize this group, should leave a note in Beth Kingsley’s folder.
SIPLA News

BY: Greg Cramenopoulos
Communications Chair, SIPLA

For those of you who are not familiar with our association, the Student Intellectual Property Law Association (SIPLA) of Georgetown University is a group of over seventy-five day and evening students with interests in various areas of intellectual property law such as Patents, Copyrights, Trademarks and Trade Secrets. SIPLA is not limited to students with technical backgrounds (i.e., "computer geeks" and other "techies"), but also includes students with interests in litigation, licensing and negotiations.

SIPLA is dedicated towards educating, networking and providing career assistance for its members and the student body who are interested in intellectual property. In order to achieve these objectives, SIPLA presents monthly programs and lectures (with free refreshments being provided at the close of each meeting) that are open to everyone. For example, on September 30, 1992, SIPLA invited renowned head-hunter Kate Patterson to lecture on job hunting strategies, and to offer tips on preparing an effective resume.

This fall, SIPLA has tentatively scheduled the following programs and lectures:

- September 24 – The Patent Harmonization Act
- November 5 – Current Trademark Litigation
- November 19 – Harry Manbeck, Former Commissioner of Patents And Trademarks

For more information on upcoming SIPLA events, be sure to look at our bulletin board by Room 184 on the first floor. If you are interested in joining SIPLA or have any other questions, please feel free to contact me or one of the other SIPLA officers whose names and numbers are listed on our bulletin board.

Lastly, please note that SIPLA is currently organizing a resume book of its members which will be provided at no charge to intellectual property law firms. In order for this project to be successful, we need to know how many people are interested in participating in the resume book. If you are interested in having your resume included in the book (and finding a good job), be sure to drop a note in Pat McBride's folder or contact one of the other SIPLA officers.

TRIVIA QUESTION OF THE WEEK:
Are you free to copy a patented product that is not marked "patented" and does not have a patent number?
No, under 35 U.S.C. Section 278 patented products do not have to be marked. However, if you do copy an unmarked patented product, you can only be sued for damages from the date you are notified by the patentee of possible infringement, or from the date the patentee files its infringement suit against you, whichever is earlier.

First Year SBA Winners

Section 1
David Tafuri
Malini Majumdar

Section 2
Jon Gold
Gentner Drummond

Section 3
Dylan Walker
Donet D. Graves, Jr.

Section 4
Lauri Chapnick
and
Four Way Runoff Between:
Alvaro J. Mestre
Curtis L. Etherly, Jr.
Leslie Headley
Dan Toal

Section 7
Michael Lawrence
Pamela D. Scott

Save $200 WITH THE PIEPER SPECIAL DISCOUNT

REGISTRATION MUST BE RECEIVED BY 11/1/92

The Pieper Course Includes:
* Complete lecture series
* Essay writing
* Multistate Practice and Exam
* Multistate Professional Responsibility Exam (MPRE)
* Multistate Volume
* New York Law Volume
* Professional Responsibility Volume
* Plus – John Pieper's In-Class Guidance

Law student's discount of $200 will be deducted from the cost of $1,200 for any student still in law school who registers for the Pieper New York Multistate Bar Review Course by November 1, 1992.

For more information see your Pieper Representatives or contact:
PIEPER NEW YORK-MULTISTATE BAR REVIEW, LTD.
90 WILLIS AVENUE, MINEOLA, NEW YORK 11501
Telephone: (516) 747-4311
The Bar Course That Cares.

PIEPER REPS.:
GOing's On ... News & Info from Georgetown Outreach

UPCOMING EVENTS

- September 19-27
  Rape Awareness Week. Stop by the GO office for a detailed list of events.

- 21-25
  First-Year Section Projects begin. Ask your section rep. for details about the project times and dates.

Section One: (Still Undecided)
Section Two: Partnership with a D.C. Public School

Section Three: Sasha Bruce Youthwork.
Section Four: (Still Undecided)

- September 24 - October 2
  Sign-ups begin for Volunteer Day this Thursday. Stop by the Chapel area and sign-up for a community service project. There are over 10 different projects ranging from the traditional "Sandwich-Making for the Hungry" to fix-up projects with Christian Community Group Homes.

Volunteers are encouraged to invite spouses, significant others, children and friends on the projects. Make sure that you sign them up too!

- October 3
  Volunteer Day. Begin the day with the Bagel Breakfast at the Law Center and then join in on the fun. (The GU MBA program recently held a volunteer day and over 60 percent of the students participated.)

VOLUNTEER OPPORTUNITIES
- The Thomson Project is an after-school and Saturday morning tutoring program for children living near in Chinatown. Tutoring times vary depending on the tutor's schedule. If you are interested in becoming a tutor and getting to know some children who really need your help, stop by the GO office for details.

- GO is trying to expand! If you have contacts at other area schools and you think that they might be interested in doing some joint community service projects or organizing some fund raising events, let us know.

BENEFIT WALKS/ROAD RACES

- United Way 10K September 27 @ 8 am
  Call 202-833-1091 for more information

- Booking for Literacy 10K September 26 @ 8 am
  Call 202-387-1772 for more information

- National Multiple Sclerosis Society Fall Bike Fest October 11 (Maryland)

- AIDS Walk Washington September 29
  Call 202-787-4408 for more information

MISC.
- Do you have ideas for service projects, or comments on what GO is doing? GO has a suggestion box outside our office and we welcome your comments, suggestions and input.

- The Fall Clothing Drive was a huge success with over 100 bags of clothing donated to the Community of Hope. If you didn't get a chance to bring your unwanted clothing in this past week, stop by the GO office for a list of places that you can bring your clothing to on your own. PLEASE do not bring any more clothing to the office. We don't have room for it.

- Keep bringing in those SAFeway receipts and Campbell soup labels.

- If you are interested in becoming a Community Service Liaison in your student organization, stop by the GO office for details.

High Holiday Schedule for Washington Area College Campuses -- 1992/5753

Published as a service of the JEWISH CAMPUS ACTIVITIES BOARD
1025 Monrovia Road, Suite 201
Rockville, MD 20852 Tel: (301) 488-3422

AMERICAN UNIVERSITY (202) 885-3322
Kaye Spiritual Life Center Chapel

CONSERVATIVE (Rabbi Charles Azran)
Rosh Hashanah
Sun., Sept. 27
6:30 PM
Mon., Sept. 28
9:30 AM
Tue., Sept. 29
9:30 AM

Yom Kippur
Tue., Oct. 6
6:30 PM
Wed., Oct. 7
10:00 AM
5:30 PM

ORTHODOX (Times and locations to be announced.)

CONSERVATIVE (Rabbi Gerald Serota and Ellen Gershick)
Rosh Hashanah (Marvin Center, 3rd Fl.)
Sun., Sept. 27
6:30 PM
Mon., Sept. 28
9:30 AM
Tue., Sept. 29
9:30 AM

Yom Kippur (Lerner Auditorium)
Tue., Oct. 6
6:15 PM
Wed., Oct. 7
9:30 AM
5:15 PM

ORTHODOX (Dr. David Abuduler and Dovz Minsky)
Rosh Hashanah (Marvin Center, 1st Fl.)
Sun., Sept. 27
8:30 AM
Mon., Sept. 28
10:00 AM

Yom Kippur (Marvin Center, 3rd Fl.)
Tue., Oct. 6
8:30 PM
Wed., Oct. 7
10:00 AM

MUSICAUX LETTER (202) 296-8873

GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY (202) 687-6381
Gaston Hall (Rabbi Harold White and Jonathan Strom)
Rosh Hashanah
Sun., Sept. 27
7:30 PM
Mon., Sept. 28
9:30 AM
Tue., Sept. 29
9:30 AM

Yom Kippur
Tue., Oct. 6
7:30 PM
Wed., Oct. 7
9:30 AM
Stocker
7:30 PM

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND (301) 314-4500

ORTHODOX Hillel, 7612 Maryland Lane (Rabbi Seth Mandell)
Rosh Hashanah
Sun., Sept. 27
8:30 PM
Mon., Sept. 28
9:30 AM
Tue., Sept. 29
9:30 AM

Yom Kippur
Tue., Oct. 6
9:30 AM
Wed., Oct. 7
11:30 AM
Stocker
5:30 PM

CONSERVATIVE U. of Maryland Chapel (Daniel Lafler)
Rosh Hashanah
Sun., Sept. 27
7:30 PM
Mon., Sept. 28
10:00 AM
Tue., Sept. 29
10:00 AM

Yom Kippur
Tue., Oct. 6
6:30 PM
Wed., Oct. 7
10:00 AM
Stocker
5:30 PM

REFORM Tawees Theatre (Rabbi Robert Saks)
Rosh Hashanah
Sun., Sept. 27
8:00 PM
Mon., Sept. 28
10:00 AM

Yom Kippur
Tue., Oct. 6
7:30 PM
Wed., Oct. 7
10:00 AM
Stocker
5:00 PM
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IN SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITY
Law Weekly Feature

The Forum

Samuel Jefferson—"Publius X" Rodney Cunningham— "Rendez" Dwayne Brodie—"S.E. Sorcerer" Special Appearance, Charles Wardle—"2Women" Chief Justice, Court of Appeals

The Forum vs. Washington D.C. Media, 2round T.V. Radio/Print at 4, 5, 7, 9; Fri/Au; Post/Times (Every Year). Cert., granted.

Publius X:

It is advocated by some legal scholars that judges serving in their judicial capacity should never be swayed by public opinion. Therefore, in this opinion, the position which I feel is apparently the sentiment of the GULC public (ie... too much Redskins coverage) will not be adopted. Crank up the Bandwagon, warm up the VCR, look in all the sports radio, here comes Washington's football team. Put the team on the front page of the Post and Times. Put the team on every page of every print publication in the Washington Metropolitan area. The city needs this type of coverage to give it an escape and a source of city pride. "Hey, Publius aren't you just allowing yourself to be swayed by the opinion of the public, namely native Washingtonians, instead of the GULC public?" Not like it when I can just give one word answers without explaining myself.

Gabe's TV Talk!

by Gabe Gonzalez

As the new TV season unfolds, I will be reviewing some of the new shows and some of the returning ones. The first week of viewing brought "Hearts Afire", a Washington, D.C.-set comedy from the creators of "Designing Women", and "Delta", the new sitcom featuring former "Designing Women" cast member (and wife of NRA fascist/spokesman—just kidding, Major Dad), Delta Burke.

"Hearts Afire" debuted with a one hour episode. Generally, one hour of any sitcom is not a good idea. Just think—what if "Too Close for Comfort" had been an hour long? Granted, new characters are being introduced, but we don't need to get to know everyone's history in Week One.

Still, I have to admit that I saw much potential in "Hearts Afire". The two leads, Markie Post and John Ritter, are not as bad as their track records would indicate. They play members of a southern U.S. Senator's staff. The supporting cast—the key to any long-lasting show—is better. Wendie Jo Sperber, who most remember as "Amy" on "Bosom Buddies", has a plum role with many sharp lines to deliver. The Ritter character's children are hilarious (but, hey, it's still early), although I don't know why they have deep Southern accents, and he still has his Regal Beagle accent. The Senator is also played nicely by the guy who played Punky Brewster's—well, what exactly was that guy's relation to Punky? Grandfather? Foster parent? Zen Master? Anyway, he's good.

If subsequent episodes can keep things fast-paced and wicked-witty, this show should have no problem surviving (unlike Markie Post's chain-smoking character—Prof. Esks were would be disgusted), especially with its cushy Monday night time slot on CBS.

"Delta", however, is a show about a country singer that should soon be singing its swan song. This show wants to be "Steel Magnolias", "Hee Haw", and "Facts of Life" all rolled into one. Give it up. The reason why "country" shows like "Evening Shade" have worked is that they don't belittle their subject matter, "Delta" does. Further, it's an embarrassing.

It's not really the fault of any of the actors. Burke can do comedy with the best of the TV bunch. (However, this role also calls for her to sing, which she cannot do.) The supporting cast is okay, even Earl Holliman, one of the best "$25,000 Pyramid" celebrities, who I am sure is begging Angie Dickinson to do a "Police Woman" reunion.

But, a show usually lives and dies by its writing. "Delta" will not live far beyond its dawn because of unoriginal writing. None of the characters are unique or, worse real, and nothing they do is terribly interesting. It's sort of like that inexplicably-still-running show on NBC, "Wings". No humor.

I'm sure "Delta" will try to draw viewers with big-name country stars, but that won't work. Hello, ABC, do you remember "Dolly"? You should: it cost you $5 million. And, last year's "Hot Country Nights" sure cooled off soon enough. Day in, day out, a show has to have good characters to keep viewers interested. Unless some characters were hiding during the first episode of "Delta", I have little hope for its longevity.

Many of my readers pointed out to me that they were disappointed that I didn't give them one of my quotes to live by in the last opinion. That was an oversight on my part and rest assured that it will not be repeated. Drum roll please... Here it is, this week's Publius X quote to live by: "A message to the Oreo Cookie... Ye put on your suit and tie and your big clothes... Ye associate with the Negroes... Get a grip Oreo and be true to the game..."

Renaissance:

Once again, I have found it necessary to digress from the chosen line of public, namely native Washingtonians, instead of the GULC public! Must constantly question why it is necessary for the city newspaper/media to devote so much attention to the Redskins. I think there are more important things in the world to address than a five-page analysis of how the Skins lost. (Get a grip guys! You even take up 3/4 of the front page! The Post with a picture of Graceland or somebody smiling after a T.D.) What about world hunger, growth in crime, homelessness, or the economy? Redskins fan or not, there is no rational reason to subjecting the Washington Metro Area to Redskins overkill summer, winter, spring and fall. It's a limit to providing coverage to a dervianary pastime. Let's get our act together. Now, it has been stated on numerous occasions, "The Forum" provides an avenue for the expression of any meritorious claim, issue, opinion or concern. As a result, I will deviate the remainder of this discussion to Rap Music.

What value does rap music have? Does it have any redeeming qualities whatsoever? In what ways can rap be used as an implement of social change? Is there any measurable boundary which could prevent rap from doing anything more than a fast dance? Rap music is an original form of music which transcends the boundaries of social or economic conditions. IT IS A FORM OF COMMUNICATION. RAP MUSIC IS A TOOL FOR THE EDUCATION, UPLIFTMENT AND LIBERATION OF A PEOPLE. Rap music conveys a message to its listeners which has all too often been criticized, maligned, censored, and rejected as sexist, racist and violent. Yet rap music simply provides one's interpretation of life in terms that its intended audience understands. What could be the inherent danger of words, poetry, social commentary, and music about life? The only response I can fathom is that rap music's performers and supporters often espouse an interpretation of life which threatens the truth as we know it. Or the truth as certain people would like us to know it). But what's wrong with different ideas and approaches to understanding life and the existing conditions in which our lives are shaped? (I'll leave this for the reader to answer).

Rap music provides an opportunity, not unlike this column, for views and concerns to be expressed. Rap music has thrust upon our generation a new form of teacher for the masses. Why can't Chuck D., KRS, Ice Cube, Q-Tip, and Brand Nubian be seen as "social commentators" expressing the concerns and doubts that can be found in the minds and hearts of this country's forgotten people. A people that so often fall by the wayside while the attention of empowered people is turned elsewhere. I say this because not all that has occurred over the years: be it scrutiny of certain parts of Public Enemy's music; the rise of the non-threatening likes of Hammer, Young M.C., Vanilla Ice or Marky Mark; the current wave of "rap" vocals on R&B and Pop songs; or the prolonged debate over sampling? isn't it an attempt to divert attention away from the message, to take the offensive bite out of the art form, to further stifle the political voice which is just now starting to mature.

In the wake of the L.A. riots many people now see the art form's performers as messengers, representatives, ambassadors of inner-city youth, using the art form to reach the masses. But reach the masses with what, may I ask? Messages filled with hope, patience, and praise of the powers that be and the system that has created the problem? Messages which exemplify a union that has formed as an attempt to quell and coddle the disgruntled (and certainly cannot be sincere)! Or messages about truth, good, evil, life and death? The performers have all always seen themselves as messengers, representatives and ambassadors of their target audience's concerns. Providing the knowledge and information which may be the first step to empowerment. It's just that now the influence of the art form is openly being acknowledged.

RAP MUSIC IS A FORM OF COMMUNICATION. IT IS A TOOL FOR THE EDUCATION, UPLIFTMENT AND LIBERATION OF A PEOPLE. It is just a matter of preserving the integrity of this tool, and preventing the exploitation of the art form so as to protect it from achieving contradictory goals.

S.E. Sorcerer:

Because D.C. is the home of the Redskins, D.C. is entitled to emphasize the Redskins in the town Newspaper, period. S.E. Sorcerer, JJ.

Dribbling the basketball has become a teenage craze in the inner city today just like in Smokey Robinson's era the dance "the mickey" was a teenage craze.Today's youth emphasize dribbling in their overall game. But why S.E. Sorcerer? Well, I am like Socrates; I never answer questions!

Continued page 13
The Four Horsemen

Mike Satiros, Dave McDonald, Mike Lilly, Scott Shea

The Pig-Stye has been retired. Replaced by a much more suitable image. We extend our fond farewell to the pigs of old and embark on the task of adding our own insights into the world of sports. First up on the docket is the NFL preview (made easier since we have had two weeks to see the teams play!).

It's true; it's nice to make season predictions after two games have been played. But, then again, this is The Georgetown Law Weekly.

NFC East

Gratuitous Comment #1: Still the toughest division in Football. Okay, we're all from the East Coast, but the records speak for themselves.

1. Dallas:
Whatever you can say about Jimmy Johnson, he wins. Michael Irvin, Emmitt Smith and Troy Aikman make this one of the best offenses in the NFL. Despite the addition of Charley Bailey, the big question is for defense. Isac Holt is toast and Linemen Russell Maryland & Tony Casillas have been hurt already. Stupid to release Danny Noonan, they may need him later. Regardless, this is a fired-up, talented and confident team.

2. Washington:
No one has a better system nor prepares his team better than Joe Gibbs. The offensive line is the NFL's best. Monk, Clark,Sanders and the new addition of Desmond Howard make for an imposing Posse. Byner is good and Ervins is better. And the defense, despite the loss of Darrell Green, is underrated. Question Marks: Ryipien. Although he didn't deserve last week's ungrateful book, he's not as good as last year's numbers. Ryipien can throw long but has yet to learn how to look off a D-Back. And Chip Lohmiller continues to kick the 'skins into close games. Can the 'skins avoid the post-SuperBowl blues?

3. Philadelphia:
Randall Cunningham, Mr. Instant. Offense is back and provided the knee holds will make this offense dangerous. But, if the Eagles are overrated but can't help but improve the Eagles' pathetic running game. The bigger question is whether the tragic loss of Jerome Brown will improve or weaken the defense. The Eagles D was among the best in the history of the NFL last year. If it holds its own this year and the offense fills out (read: Keith Jackson comes back) the Eagles are the most serious threat.

4. New York:Jersey Giants:
Off to a woeful start. Ray Handley is no Bill Parcells and the Giants' fans have let him know it. However, this is still a good team. Though getting old, LT, Marshall, and Walls provide proven leadership on defense and young players. Rodney Hampton is an excellent back and Phil Simms can still perform. Hey Ray, you're not the only TE Derrick Brown, he can fill Bavaro's shoes and let 150 lb Jerod Bunch throw his weight around.

Question marks: team morale and inconsistent receivers.

5. Phoenix:
A team of question marks. Can injury-prone Timm Rosenbach make it through a season? A half-season? A game? How about the first half? What happened to Johnny Johnson? Are there even any Cardinals of theavian type in Arizona? Joe Bugel is a good coach and the defense led by E.J. Junior and Tim McDonald can play. But is there a team that likes to go up and play the Pack at Lambeau Field in December? The defense hits and Majik Maikowski returns despite major inconsistencies. Sterling Sharpe is a player, though Tony Mandarich apparently isn't. But, unfortunately, this team just isn't very good.

NFC West

1. San Francisco:
George Seifert has carried on where Bill Walsh left off. The same can be said of Steve Young, who, next to the Eagles' Cunningham, is the conference's most potent quarterback. But who is the best quarterback on this team? A question that promises to haunt the 'Niners all year. Young is in his prime, Montana's a legend and Bono hardly ever loses. Rice and Taylor both merit last year's Pro-Bowl status and remain the best receiving tandem in football. The addition of Ricky Watters to the backfield gives this offense up where it was with Roger Craig and Joe Montana. Plus, who wouldn't want the All-Madden Tom Rathman on their team? Despite the loss of Charles Haley, the 'Niners have a quick, powerful defense. This is a very good, contend-
ing team.

2. New Orleans:
Dome Syndrome. Anchored by linebackers Swilling, Jackson & Co., the Saints have an extremely tough defense—along with the Eagles, the best in the NFL. A fair offense on all fronts except quarterback. Bobby Herbert has yet to show that he can play with the big boys, and these commentators don't think he can. Coach Finke also is a bit too enamored with the field goal, often plotting his offensive strategy just to give the opening Morten Anderson a chance to kick. On the cusp of gaining a wild-card spot by virtue of their defense.

3. Atlanta:
Jerry Glanville, the "Run & Shoot" and all other garbage aside, this team

Weekly Crossword

ACROSS
1 Dog eating spot
5 Prevent
10 Dogs' mortal enemies
14 Press
15 Mr. Verdugo
16 "God's Little......"
17 Teacher's manual
18 DOGGONE IT!
20 NYC time zone
21 Ayn-------"The Fountainhead" author
22 Una
23 Right a wrong
25 Jet's Mr. Eubank
27 Gofers run
28 DOGGONE IT!
33 Jules
34 Fortune-telling card
35 Eatin'
36 French friend
37 Plate
38 Mr. Hazan
39 No in Glasgow
49 Bargain events
50 Equipped with weapons
52 DOGGONE IT!
53 Rip off
55 Old words,PL
56 Perch
57 Speak pompously
58 Trig's cousin
59 Soft meaning doctrine
60 DOGGONE IT!
61 Dorothy's dog
62 Divide by 2
63 Break
64 Sittin's son
65 DWEEB
66 Fragrant floral oil
67 Botanical Gray & others

DOWN
1 Location
2 Underground assets
3 DOGGONE IT!
4 Floridator
5 Supply's antithesis

SOLVED BY GERRY FRY

[@c 1992 All rights reserved] EFR Associates P.O. Box 461, Schenectady, NY 12301

6 African antelope
7 Care for
8 Sharon's abbrev.
9 Cheerleader's word
10 Sweet melon
11 Play parts
12 Dog's friend?
13 Sun's tilt
18 Chef
21 Mr. Descartes
24 Lion's pride & joy
25 Merchandise
26 Black
27 Sally or Linda
28 Change the situs
29 See us!
30 DOGGONE IT!
31 Bay window
32 Studies History
34 Yarn
37 Messenger
38 Post words
40 Smite scornfully
41 Tiny bit

42 Plan
43 Oklahoma football player
44 Luke
45 Word with French or Australian
46 Warden
47 Part of N.A.
48 Sum & substance
49 Greek portico
50 Hart-US Playwright
51 Turkish title of nobility
52 Word with profit
53 English social occasion
Four Horsemen, Continued

is exciting and talented. The Falcons have an excellent receiving corps led by the phenomenal new fastest man, Michael "I outran Darrell Green" Haynes, znt teammates Mike Pringle, Andre Rison and Drew coll. Deion is, well, spectacular. He simply performs on a different level. And Chris Muller is an adequate QB. But the Falcons have a seriously flawed defensive line and running attack. Also, the secondary has been prone to giving up big plays. Yet, Blaneville's brew box never out and will cause many teams many problems.

4. Los Angeles Rams:

A team that plays on the West Coast. The Rams seem to have been left behind by the competition and find themselves a long way from the top. Jim Everett can't be as bad as statistics make him out to be. Robert Dillman and Gary Turner have shown some sparks at running back which are all too often extinguished by wet-track offensive line they run behind. Our guess is that the Rams are in a "rebuilding" stage. In the meantime, nearly will continue to be as bad as their uniforms are ugly.

AFC

Gratuitous Comment #3: Let's face it, the AFC is the "softer" conference. The NFC has two teams in the Superbowl, but most of them haven't even been close. NFC teams are bigger and more physical.

AFC EAST

1. Buffalo Bills:

Better than last year, this team has hit stride. But, Bill Parcells, the coach, appears to have regained his dominating form and, along with Cornelius Bennett and Shane Lewis, he can lead a solid defense. The offense is simply the best in the AFC, if not the NFL. Thurman Thomas continues to dazzle as the league's best all-around back, and serves as the perfect compliment to the Kelly-Rice-Lofton aerial attack. Look for the Bills to repeat.

2. Miami:

Dan Marino continues to amaze (viz, last week's Hall-of-Fame drive against the Browns), and teamed with a strong line and Bobby Humphry, gives the Dolphins a formidable offense. John Offerdahl bolsters a competitive defense. With Don Shula at the helm and Marino's weakened knees intact, look for the Dolphins to make the playoffs.

3. New York/Jersey Jets:

What looked so promising last year looks too ordinary this year. Browning Nagle, despite having a great arm, seems to need the time to grow into his new role although receivers Toon, Moore and Carpenter will provide the hands to lift his confidence as well as his stats. Keep an eye on CB Erik McMillan who foolishly was not used much last year. Key injuries however will stall the Jets. First round pick Johnny Mitchell who promised to surpass the timeless Micky Shuler at TE is out as is Jeff Legerman, the Jats #1 pass rusher and big guy DE. Pat Leahy won a lot of games, Jason Staurasky may lose some. All this being said, the Jets may still put it together. Not.

4. New England:

Dick McGee performed a miracle by getting this team to scratch its way to 6 victories last year. Lighting rarely strikes twice. The Patriots are infected with Red Sox disease, an inability to score. The offensive line is hurting. Hug Millen is ordinary on his best days and even if the running backs are a talented lot, there is not much they can do when they are consistently hit in the backfield. The Pats did show some guts last year, but lack of talent relegated them to a battle for last place with the hapless Colts. We pick the Pats because they play outside on grass.

5. Indianapolis:

You would like to say that letting NFC Divisional Game. But since he's not. Then it's a real "big game" stigma.

5. Indianapolis:

You would like to say that letting NFC Divisional Game. But since he's not. Then it's a real "big game" stigma.

5. Indianapolis:

You would like to say that letting NFC Divisional Game. But since he's not. Then it's a real "big game" stigma.

AFC Central

1. Houston:

Mega-Dome Syndrome. Always tough at home, but a different team on the road. Warren Moon can rack up the yardage connecting with Houston's horde of little wide receivers. The defense, and particularly Chris Dishman, can be opportunistic and physical. But the question remains: can Houston consistently win outside of the Astrodome (and avoid obnoxious Ciller fans)? And what goes with the hideous pastel uniforms?

2. Pittsburgh:

Big surprise #1. But can Barry Foster and Neil O'Donnell keep it up? And where have you gone Bobby Breen? Rod Woodson is as good a DB as you'll find in a traditionally strong pass defense, but the new Steel Curtain may have some holes. If they tighten up, you might expect more victories like the eyebrow-ripping over Houston in the Astrodome. But it will take a measure of overachievement for this squad to contend.

3. Cincinnati:

Big surprise #2. The arrival of Dave Shula seems to have sparked the Bengals, whose '91 record was far worse than the team actually was. Boomer is a solid QB, but an aged James Brooks and a lagging lousy Woods make the offense sketchy. David Flower should be the secondary but the defensive line is weak. Basically, a lot of question marks, which may, or may not, be overcome by Shula's new presence. In particular, if the 20-player rape charge sticks, it will be a long season.

4. Cleveland:

A seemingly snake-bitten team. Bernie Kosar is steady, capable QB but has been sacked (eleven times by Indianapolis) on to the injured reserve for 6 weeks. However, the tandem of Kevin Mack and the Stanford standout "Touchdown" Jimmy Vardell give the Browns two of the hardest nosed backs in the AFC. The defense appears physical but man.
only pose them. So, reader why do you think today's inner city youth rather dribble the ball around all else? Well, reader I know this is a difficult question to answer...

First things first. When I am talking about dribbling the basketball, I am not talking about dribbling around and around and around in one big circle. I am talking about having the skill to dribble behind the back, between the legs and cross the ball over while running at full speed on a fast break or while your opponent is right there in your face trying to take the ball away.

This is not something new. Like everything else, dribbling has a past, a history. For example, remember Earl "The Pearl" Monroe? He was a wizard to say the least. Earl "The Pearl" would make his opponent look silly when he tried to take the ball away. Remember Dwayne "Pearl" Washington, the Syracuse Orangemen's point guard of the early 80's? I still remember as if it were yesterday, sitting front row at the Madison Square Garden, when "Pearl" Washington made two defenders fall face first as he casually passed the ball to a teammate three times running at full speed.

This exceptional skill is manifested in at least two present NBA players, Tim Hardaway of the Golden State Warriors and Kenny Anderson of the New Jersey Nets. Tim Hardaway, AKA "Cross over Kid", has gained the reputation. Anderson is the deaddest cross over dribble in the league. Because there are numerous guards or even forwards in this league with great dribbling skills, this is a great honor to be given such praise. We all laughed as Kenny Anderson dribbled his way into our hearts at Georgia Tech. He made good defenders look bad (look Bobby Hurley). Oh, I almost forgot to mention that Earl "The Pearl", Dwayne "Pearl" Washington, Tim Hardaway and Kenny Anderson are all from the Inner City play-grounds.

But S.E. Sorcerer answer the question, why is it that today's youth emphasizes dribbling in their array of basketball skills? The answer is showmanship, razzmataz and just having fun. When S.E. Sorcerer was growing up the emphasis was on shooting long jump shots because in the 70's and early 80's there were many NBA sharp shooters that had fun scoring from beyond the range of a regular defender. (e.g. World B. Free, "Downtown" Freddie Brown, George Gervin, David Thompson and Larry Bird). Today's youth just happen to feel that it is much more fun to dribble past the opponent or make the opponent look silly while attempting to steal the ball.

Hood 2x2 (Concouring in part and disrating in part)

It is a definite and rare anomaly that I must concur with Renegade in part. The information and communications networks of the Nation's Capital are inundated with coverage of the Red Aikins. There is a war in Yugoslavia, and people are hungry in the former Soviet Union.

I feel it is my duty as a proud American to respectfully but emphatically dissent the outlawish writings of my fellow brother Renegade. In his opinion, Renegade boldly asserts that Rap Music is a tool for education, upliftment, and liberation of a people. What people? Blacks? I'm unsure what he means by education and upliftment but it is my understanding that slavery ended 400 years ago. I must admit I am an avid listener of rap and have examined various forms of rap music and the conclusion I come to is that the "art form" (term used very loosely) has no social value whatsoever, let alone a tool for education. The artist's are barely literate themselves (listen to the grammar), how could they possibly teach anything?

A few examples come to mind when considering the (education) these rappers give their audience. Case in point, KRS One of Boogie Down Productions rambles on about how Jesus Christ was a Black Man and that Christianity was taught to the slaves to keep them compliant. Totally ludicrous. The group Brand Nubian tells its listeners that the rampant spread of AIDS and crack cocaine may actually be a form of chemical warfare to wipe out the black community. Sheer paranoia. Chuck D of Public Enemy shouts at his audience that civilization began in Africa and that Greek and Roman philosophies were influenced not stolen from the dark continent (my 7th grade teacher would roll over in her grave). Totally baseless.

If I were a parent of a young black child, I would forbid him/her to listen to these wild pack of lies whose sole purpose is to elicit a false sense of pride amongst a race. Instead, what parents need to do is show their children the achievements blacks have made since their enslavement. Great Black men like Clarence Thomas who excelled against all odds. Now that's a fine history to be proud of.

It is also my humble opinion that rappers (with a few exception) actually stifle the upliftment of the black race. What blacks need is patience. Sure, everything isn't peachy keen in America these days but Rome wasn't built in a day. Many rappers make demands and if they not met, often times condone violence.

For example, Large Professor of Main Source says that he will shoot a police officer who is unjustly guilty of filling charges against the cop. This type of rationale is neither progressive or uplifting, instead, the rapper should be telling young black children to accept the abuse, take the cop to court and let justice prevail.

Chuck D, in a song titled "Shut 'Em Down", encourages blacks to boycott a famous sneaker manufacturer because the company does not have enough high level black employed. Rather then trying to understand why the company has no such employees (maybe there are no blacks qualified, the company is in a hiring freeze) or taking the time to wait for the company to hire a negro, the restaurant rapper wants all blacks to boycott. Children should learn that change evolves from patience and understanding, not from whimsical strong arm tactics. After all, the colonists' obtained America through log, painstaking deliberations/negotiations with the Native's.

In closing, I would like to share with you a few rappers that I actually enjoy and admire. M.C. Hammer, Young M.C. and Vanilla Ice, in my opinion are the only redeeming factors in an art form gone bad. These rappers intentionally chose not to stifle themselves and their careers by trying to "educate" the youth. Instead, they chose to appeal to the masses, smile and dance their way to the top. For this, they are justly rewarded the good old fashioned American way: MONETARILY. Black people of all ages should look to rappers like Hammer and Young M.C. as a guide to achieving the American Dream; put a smile on your face, don't make any waves and you'll be exalted with fame, wealth and the respect of those Americans who really count!

---
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On Campus Interviews: The Real Story

by Wendy Kilbride

Okay second year students welcome back. We know that last year was the toughest thing you’ve ever lived through, but well, now that you have the system down, we just thought we’d add a few extra tasks to your day. Now not only are you enrolled in classes, you’re probably working part time or involved in one or more semi academic, extra curricular activities. Furthermore, in case nobody told you last year, this fall you HAVE TO FIND A JOB OR ELSE! So, in addition to all of the above, you will be participating in an interesting process known as an OC and INTERVIEWING (OCI). Because this is all new to you, let’s examine it in detail.

Participating in OCI means that even before you came back to school you were sent long lists of employers from all over the country. UC Berkeley students were given a number of interviews, between 5 and 20 for two weeks, and told to go out and learn about these companies so that you’d be able to convince the recruiting person that you REALLY REALLY want to work for them. OCI is your last shot at getting a job for the rest of your life. (Practice saying, “I see the summer as part of my continuing career with your firm” without gagging.) (There are actually a lot of really handy things that you should practice saying without gagging like, “My biggest weakness is my perfectionism. I know I do things too much responsibility because it bothers me to see others work at less than 100%,” or “Although I’m from Delaware and have no ties there at all, I’ve made the decision to live and work there till the day I die because it is such an economically healthy state,” or “Out of all the firms in New York, I especially want to work for your firm because of your different practice and excellent reputation.”)

OCI also means that unless you block out your class time and in some cases even if you did block out class time you won’t be able to attend an entire class until October 16. Having an interview at the Hyatt means that you either wear your suit to school or change in a bathroom. Wearing it means that you’re either in stockinged feet and heel all day or that you have a wool jacket and a tie on in 85° weather. (There is no such thing as summer wool.) If you opt to change in the bathroom, well, you know how much fun that is. (By the way, thank goodness for journal offices. If we didn’t have journals there wouldn’t be any room.)

So, there you are, in your suit, sitting in class for the 24 minutes you have before your interview. You haven’t read for them (unless you’re in Professor Abernathy’s Con, Law II) because you’ve been too busy reading firm resumes on micro fiche.

The professor is talking and your trained law student instinct tells you it’s something important, it’ll probably on the final. The professor says, “If you get only one concept out of my class it should be ...” and it’s time for your interview. You slowly, gently quiet your consciousness out of your seat. You stand. Your chair makes that whacka whacka whacka sound as it bounces back and forth. Now, everyone knows you’re there. You’ve sat at the edge of a row so that you’ll be able to leave without disturbing the class. You thump your way toward the door, picking up momentum as you go. Slowly, gently, quietly you try to open the door closest to the wall. It never opens. You stand there bashing that bar, really wishing you hadn’t bothered to come to class at all. You either force your way out of that end door (it’s the principle of the thing) or you give up and scoot out the middle door.

Once out of the classroom it’s just a short hop, skip and swifty jump to the Hyatt. There you find your room number and interviewer name at the check-in booth and run up to the hallway. The interview process is spent in a hallway. This is actually the more pleasant portion of the process. You stand in the hallway, outside the door and wait there until your watch says it’s time for the interview to begin. You knock on the door, rap rap, a quick, assertive but not obnoxious little knock. You knock this knock to say I’m here. I’m out here, I’m important, I’m not rude.” Sometimes you’ll hear some guy yell “Just a minute!” and you continue to wait for eire silence. Eventually the door opens and either another interviewer leaves and the door shuts again, or your interviewer is there. You great each other, “Hello, how are you?” introduce yourselves “I’m Jim, are you Jane?” and move into the room. “Nice to meet you, have a seat, let’s begin.”

It’s true, some interviews are better than others. Some of them are actually “FUN.” You meet your interviewer and actually find a common, non-law-related interest. (One interviewee told me that he had attended the same concert in San Francisco.) Or your legal interests are compatible and your personalities just “click.” Maybe you’re offered a job. While these “FUN” interviews do occur, they aren’t the rule.

Most interviews are in the “IT WENT FINE” category. This means that there were no awkward silences, neither of you spilled anything on the other, and in general the two of you chatted about almost everything. The “IT WENT FINE” interview probably won’t get you a job, but it also won’t emotionally scar you for life either.

One man told me that during his “IT WENT FINE” interview there was a lamp blocking the view between him and his interviewer. He said everything went okay, but he could only see part of her face the whole time. He wondered why he just didn’t move his chair over. Oh well, it was Friday, you spill anything on the other, and in general the two of you chatted about almost everything.

There are two categories of bad interviews. The more common is the “WHAT A JERK!” category. This is the type of interview where your interviewer lets you know that s/he is more bored by what you could ever be by him/her. This interviewer looks out the window while you’re talking, makes faces of “Things to Do Today” and while you’re talking or actually talks on the phone to the office during your interview. Some of the interviewers in this “JERK” category do all the talking. You’ll never get a chance to let this person know how much you’ve learned about his firm from the micro fiche files in the library. (One interviewer told me in detail Continued next page

More Res Pendens

Command Your Future with LEXIS/NEXIS Training

The last “Career Searching” class will be held on Tues., Sept 22 at 3:30 p.m. at the Law Library Computer Lab. If you need more information or have questions, please contact Margery Ross at (202) 392-8203.

NAPL Fellowships for Equal Justice

NAPL has introduced a new fellowship program which will provide opportunities for graduating students to work in public interest settings throughout the country. Applications are available in Career Services. The deadline is Nov. 16.

NAPL Public Interest Fair

October 16 at Main Campus

The updated list of participating employers is now available to pick up in Career Services. There is still time to send out resumes to interview with the new employers at the program. Please note that employers are required to see students at an informal, walk-up bases on Friday afternoon. If you are unable to get an interview, please come anyway to drop off a resume with the employers.

Options in Public Interest Law

On Wed, Sept 23 at 3:30 p.m. room 100 there will be an excellent, informative and probably inspiring session with four public interest attorneys. Come hear what it’s like to work for The Legal Aid Society of D.C., The Washington Legal Clinic for the Homeless, Lawyer’s Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, and The D.C. Public Defender Service.

FREE, FREE FREE...

You can attend the NAPL Conference here at the Law Center for free if you volunteer for five hours anytime between now and the conference or if you host two students in your home during the weekend. The conference is Saturday and Sunday, Oct 17 and 18. This is the largest gathering of public interest students and practitioners in the country. If you are interested, call Caroline Durham at NAPL 466-3886.

The “O” Binder

Introducing a new Public Interest Job Listings Binder...the O Binder. It includes all academic year and summer public interest positions. There are already sum- mer 1993 positions starting to come in. The P Binder still includes entry-level & experienced attorney positions.
about how many people he knew in the Los Angeles office and how long he'd known them for. I sat there and looked fascinated, but really, why do I care? I'd just met this guy, I don't know any of his friends, and judging by that interview, I never will.) Someone else told me her interviewer began the interview by saying "I've met with twenty people today and there's less than an inch of difference between the best and the worst. Why should I even look at you? She made up an answer about working harder than other students, but she was really blown away. Someone suggested she should have answered "You choose me because I'm the only one with guts enough not to put up with this." Sometimes "JERK" interviews actually begin before you get into the hotel room. One student said that on her way to the interview, a woman stepped on her foot in the crowded elevator and acted as if the student had deliberately shoved her foot at the woman. Guess who was in the hotel room waiting to interview this student. There is really no way to save this kind of a situation. Just think of it as an alternative to sitting in class.

The other kind of bad interview is the "I DON'T BELIEVE I SAID THAT" interview. This kind is less frequent because most of us are already very good schmoozers and we don't give too often. But, when this kind of interview occurs, you can take comfort knowing that at least you didn't fall into the forgettable "IT WENT FINE" category. The "I DON'T BELIEVE I... interview usually starts out okay. Sometimes it even begins well. You feel really comfortable all of a sudden and your natural personality starts to come out or, you feel really uncomfortable and say something really stupid as a result. Either way, you're sunk.

My "I DON'T BELIEVE I..." interview began badly. The interview room was way off in a different part of the Hyatt. I wasn't certain I was in the right area and I tried to look for the people in the hallway and unlocked the door. I asked her if this was her room. (Since she had seen me trying to look in the peephole of the door and then left) I tried to look at the room. "I think you're waiting for me!" I asked if he was Bill. He said yes. I then told Bill that his wife had caught me looking in the peephole of their room because I felt when she told him first I would look like an even bigger idiot than I did at that moment. I knew then that things had not started out well. I didn't think that they were going to get worse. If I had, I'd have faked a convulsion and left.

We went into the warm room and Bill left me alone and went to talk to his wife. I could hear the baby yelling next door. When who came in and we began in earnest. He asked me why I'd chosen law school since my background is in biology. I began talking about my father, I told Bill how my father, a former criminal defense attorney, had a love for the Constitution, that most people didn't have. How valued he judged the rights it preserves for us in a way that few others do. I then told him that I am not like my father. (I don't know exactly why I juxtaposed these two ideas. But I had his attention.) "Why?" he asked. "On a scale of 1 to 10, where do you rank the Constitution?" I said I swear "I give it a 9, but it's hard to dance to." Bill was not amused. He just looked at me. Now totally flustered, I asked if we could stop talking about my father. I told him I felt like it was slipping. "No, you're not," he answered, "but your neck is turning red." At this point I was dead in the water. I should have made more excuse and left. But didn't, my neck stayed red for the entire interview and things went downhill from there. (At one point I answered that I might be interested in litigation, and thought about how red my neck was and that Bill was thinking "Oh yeah, let's hurry up and get HER in front of a judge.") He then asked me about my summer job. (I worked as an office manager for a sales office in Los Angeles and I told him that I'd been unable to find paying legal work in California. In fact, I mentioned, I'd submitted a resume to his firm's Los Angeles office, only to be told they didn't hire first years. I knew then that I was very interested in any of his firm's California offices. I knew particular offices were growing and that they were hiring partners from other law firms. He asked me HOW I knew this and I told him "Lexis," I don't know why and I regret doing it, but I then asked him if he wanted to see what I had. He said yes, so I handed him my Lexis printout (I didn't see it himself! that there was an article in the pile about how his firm has lower percentage of female partners than other firms in his area. BOOM. Did his mood ever change. He said, "WELL, I THINK I SHOULD ADDRESS THAT, DON'T YOU WANT ME TO ADDRESS THAT? IF I WERE YOU I'D WANT ME TO ADDRESS THAT!" I can tell you it isn't good interview technique to put your interviewer on the defensive. I tried to take it back and tell Bill this wasn't an issue for me, but he was not dissuaded. He told me in great detail his version of "WOMEN IN LAW SINCE 1972," and how he believed that women had a good deal at his firm. At this point all I dared say was "I agree with you entirely," and I don't tell you to short, confident knock on the door, it was over. I blurted out that I would be in California the first week in October if they'd (halo like to talk to me again. And I left. After examining this process in great detail, I've decided that students should get some kind of "OCI Award" for surviving bad interviews. I realize that at least 50% of the time things progress during an interview (more like 75% in this case) but I still want some kind of recognition. I haven't gotten any call backs yet, and although I can't really pretend to be surprised, my feeling is that if I can't get a job out of all this, I should get at least some kind of ribbon or plaque to hang on my wall to remind me of what I've been through.

If you have a best/worst/funniest/phildest interview story - please submit it to the Weekly and we'll print a compilation after this over.

by Larenbaum
OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR

TRANSCRIPTS: Through the end of the fall semester, the Office of the Registrar will have the following official transcripts of each upper class JD student's transcript for the last minute needs. The transcripts will reflect all grades received prior to September 1st as well as your Fall and Spring semesters as of that date. Please anticipate your needs and remember when you will sit for the Florida Bar exam in March. 48 hours notice is required for additional copies. Please plan ahead and be assured we will do our best to assist you, if an unexpected interview is scheduled. Transcript fee is $2.00 per copy.

SPRING/SUMMER EXAM REVIEW Will be available through September 30. Please complete the proper forms at the Registrar's counter. Exam requests received between Monday and Wednesday will be available on Friday, and requests received on Thursday and Friday will be available the following Tuesday.

ID CARDS: The Security Office will be at the Law Center to issue ID cards to students who have not had them made and those who need replacements on Tuesday, September 22nd, from 3:00-6:00 p.m. on the first floor beside the Chapel. There is a $10.00 replacement fee for a lost or stolen ID. Please present your Driver's License and take the receipt to the ID station. There is no charge for a new ID due to a name change. After September 22nd, cards will be made on Main Campus.

PROSPECTIVE FEBRUARY GRADUATES: Students anticipating graduation in FEBRUARY, who have not filled an application for graduation, must come to the Office of the Registrar to complete this application. As the submission deadline for graduation was September 15th, students who have not yet applied will be assessed a $50.00 late filing fee.

SAVE MONEY ON THE BAR! First-year students already earn that the Florida Bar exam after graduation can save $340.00 by registering with the Florida Bar in their first 180 days of law school. Second-year students who are already sure that they will sit for the Ohio Bar exam after graduation can save $150.00 by registering with the Ohio Bar by the first day in December after completion of one-third of their law school program. Also, beginning in February of 1993, Ohio requires a one-hour course in substance abuse. All first-year students who intend to take the New Jersey Bar exam in February of 1993 must file a Notice of Intention to sit for the Bar by November 30, 1992, or they will not be allowed to sit for the exam. Effective with the July 93 exam, the State of Maryland will add Family Law to the list of subjects covered on the exam. Details on all these items are posted on the bulletin board next to the eastern elevator on the first floor (call center level).

WE WANT TO HEAR FROM YOU! We have a SUGGESTION and COMMENT BOX at our front counter so students may let us know how we are doing or offer comments on areas that need our attention. If the writer will list his/her name and address, we will respond. Anonymous cards are stored away. Comments and responses of general interest will be posted on our office bulletin board and sent to the initial correspondent.

CLASS CANCELLATION RECORDING Can be reached by dialing (202) 662-9446, 24 hours a day.

On Tuesday, September 24, 1992, S.J.L.A. (Student Intellectual Property Law Association) will host the second speaker in its popular speaker series. Mr. Dennis Burke, Majority Counsel for the Senate Judiciary Subcommittee on Patents, Copyrights and Trademark, will discuss the pending "Patent System Harmonization Act of 1992." The bill proposes to amend Title 35, United States Code, to harmonize the United States patent system with foreign patent systems. The meeting will be held in room 205, beginning at 8:00 p.m. Refreshments will be served following the lecture.

UNION STATION PARKING: The Law Center has a limited number of subsidized parking spaces at Union Station available. Cost is $264/24 semester for students, $50/month for staff, and $70/month for academicians. Please contact Maria Osborne or Kirsten Stweiler in Room 487 to sign up for Union Station parking.

OFFICE OF CAREER SERVICES Judicial Clerkships This program, originally scheduled for mid-October, will now be held on Wed, Sept 30 at 3:30 p.m in Room 205. Professors Edelman and Regan, who make up the judicial clerkship faculty committee, will share their ideas on the value of clerkships and the process of obtaining such a clerkship. In addition, a panel of current and past judicial clerks, each with a different type of court, will be here to share their experiences and offer advice to those who are currently seeking a clerkship. Those students who are expecting to apply for the most prestigious federal clerkships may want to begin the process by attending this presentation and getting advice from those who have been through the process.

The program on State Court Clerkships will be held on Wed, Oct 21 at 3:30 p.m. Further details are forthcoming on this program.

JUDICIAL CLERKSHIPS: Students Helping Students Do you have a relative, family friend, neighbor, etc., who is a member of the judiciary? Might your judicial contact be interested in having a Georgetown student as a clerk? Perhaps those who might not be interested in hiring, would be willing to provide information on clerkships with their particular Court? The faculty/judicial clerkship committee would like to contact your judicial acquaintances. We would very much appreciate your writing us a note with the individual judge's name and court. Please drop off all notes to the attention of Marilyn Tucker, Office of Career Services. Thank you in advance for your willingness to help fellow students.

Call-Back Workshops The second call-back workshop will be held on Mon, Sept 21 at 4:00 p.m in Room 168 and the third on Tue, Sept 22 at 7:45 p.m.

NETWORKING: Does it Work? A series of Networking workshops will be held beginning...

Thursday, Sept 24 at 12:15 pm

Tuesday, Oct 3 at 3:30 pm

Wed, Oct 11 at 3:30 pm

L.L.M. sand the Job Market

On Mon, Sept 21, the Office of Career Services will sponsor a workshop on the job market for L.L.M. students. This program begins at 7:45 p.m in Room 140.

Alternative Careers Once a month during the entire academic year, the Office of Career Services will sponsor an Alternative Careers Roundtable. The first alternative career program, which will deal with Lobbying/Public Affairs, will be held on Tues, Sept 22 at 3:30 pm in Hall 207. The speakers are Matthew Germon of the Motion Picture Association and John Podesta of Podesta Associates.

Beyond OCI—Other Methods for Finding Legal Jobs

This panel presentation will take place on Wed, Sept 23 at 3:30 p.m in Room 141. Come listen to third year students and recent graduates, who were not successful in getting a position through the on-campus interview process, tell about their strategy for obtaining a summer or permanent position.

CAREERS IN LAW. 1992: Learning from Alumni Experts

On Friday, Oct 2 an illustrious group of alumni, who will be at Georgetown for the Alumni Leadership Weekend, will participate in a two-hour panel presentation entitled, "Careers in Law. 1992: Learning from Alumni Experts". The panelists are: Robert Bennett-Blackden, Arps, Slate, Maegher & Florom, who represents Clark Clifford; Plato Cacheris—Plato Cacheris and Associates, who represents another defendant in BCCI (Bank of Commerce and Credit, International) and Mark Gallegos—Mitriani, Rynor & Gallegos Managing Partner.

Theresa Gills—Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue

Georgetown Women's Forum, Steering Committee

Richard Hiley—Anderson, Hiley,

NAUmel & Blair Representing Clair George, CA/ian-Contra and previously represented Ferdinand and Madeleine Marcos

Tyree Jones-Brobeck, Phelger & Harrison

Activating group of Young Alumni in San Francisco

Mary Lupo—Circuit Court of Florida, Miami Judge, William Kennedy

Smith Case

Paul Saundars—Cravath, Swaine & Moore

Board of Regents, Law Affairs Committee

Panel Moderator

This 12:00-1:00 panel will be followed by informal, hour-long roundtable discussion sessions. One of the roundtable discussions is "Qualifying Your Resume to Meet the Market Practice?" led by the Honorable Mary Lupo. A complete list of roundtable discussion topics will be available within a few days.

The Alumni Association has agreed to provide a free box lunch to the first 100 students who sign up to attend the panel presentation and break out sessions. Students may begin signing up on Wed, Sept 23.

Deadlines for Third Year Jobs The Department of Treasury Honors Law Graduate Program application date is Oct 16. Please check Binder GC 4899 in the Career Services Resource Library for more information. The New York Supreme Court is offering a one year clerkship with the Appellate Division. For more information about this clerkship, please check Binder GC 4778. The application deadline for this position is Oct 1.

PRO-BONO...What to ask A brown bag panel, sponsored by Washington Council of Lawyers, to give students tips on how to bring up the issue of pro-bono during law firm interviews will be held Wed, Sept 23 at 11:30am - 12:30pm. Room 19A.

San Francisco and Los Angeles GRIP If you are participating in either of these regional interview programs, the last day to make changes and cancellations to your interview schedule is Wed, Sept 23. "Last Chance Day," or the last day to sign up for any remaining slots on the final interview schedule, is Fri. Sept 25 at 5:00 p.m.

Hour-long training sessions for the Westlaw Computer System will be held on Wednesdays, Sept 23 and 30 at 3:30p.m.

Sign up at the Reference Desk in the Library if you are interested. All classes will be held in the computer room of the Library.