Geltner Resigns; Clinic Consultant Hired

By ARES AXIOTIS

Professor Michael Geltner, co-director of the GULC Appellate Litigation Clinic, has submitted his resignation from the faculty to Dean McCarthy, spelling an end to a protracted tenure dispute that has sparked a growing debate over the role of clinical programs at the Law Center.

When questioned about Geltner's leaving, Dean McCarthy said that "he has resigned and I have accepted his resignation effective at the end of the academic year." An outside consultant, Professor David Barnhizer, on sabbatical from Cleveland State University Law School, has been commissioned by the Law Center to evaluate its clinical programs and to make recommendations to Associate Dean for Clinical Programs John Kramer.

Barnhizer, a full professor involved in clinical work for many years, has begun interviewing fellows and faculty at the clinic. His report is expected to be completed within four months.

Presently chairman of the Clinical Section of the Association of American Law Schools, Professor Barnhizer has lobbied effectively in Congress for clinical legal education, and according to Professor Greenhalgh, director of the Criminal Justice Clinic, "has evaluated more clinical programs than anyone else in the country."

Associate Professor Larry Ritchie, the other co-director of the Appellate Litigation Clinic, has given notice that he will not be teaching next academic year. Dean McCarthy indicated that the final disposition of Professor Ritchie's status will not be determined until January.

Dean McCarthy revealed that the Law Center plans to hire a clinical instructor in addition to the two new faculty members presently budgeted for next year. Professor Geltner declined to comment about his resignation, neither confirming nor denying speculation that his action was prompted by dissatisfaction with the faculty's past evaluation of his qualifications for tenure.

Professor Greenhalgh indicated that Geltner "felt unfairly treated by Georgetown." Ellen Sue Shapiro, an attorney with the Law Center, has already committed himself to the clinic's new director.

Concern that the absence of a definite policy regarding departmental surpluses may impair the Law Center's ability to attract the best teachers for its clinical programs has prompted the Clinic Committee to try to work out some guidelines.

The Clinic Committee will meet (See Geltner, page 2)

Surplus Allocations System Challenged

By DENISE PAGANO

The Finance Committee met this week to discuss departmental budgets. Most departments requested only increases related to inflationary costs, and most of these costs were no higher than 15 percent.

Patrick Merkle ('83 Evening Division), a student member of the Committee, proposed that a change be made in the present policy regarding departmental surpluses.

Under the usual practice, according to Dean McCarthy, surpluses are redistributed among the various departments according to priorities established by the University through his office.

Merkle claimed that "greater surpluses would be realized if managers were permitted to reallocate surplus funds from one account to another within their own departments."

McCarthy likened Merkle's idea to a "carrots and sticks approach," and suggested that such a procedure would be "dying a thousand deaths.

Merkle recommended granting this new authority, in conjunction with a "across-the-board reduction in total allocations.

Thus, a department would be funded at a lower level than the spending authority. This, he said, would incorporate cost-savings incentives within the budget process.

Those departments whose budgets were considered were: Admissions, Mail Services, the Office of the Dean, Law Telephone, the Financial Aid Office, Instruc tion, Graduate Studies, the Law Tutorial Program, the Summer Session, and Aca demic. The Law Mail Service is requesting an extra staff member as an assistant. Academic is requesting two full-time faculty and nine part-time members.

Next week, October 17, the Committee will discuss instructional as well as library budgets.
Recent bombings of a train station in Italy, festival grounds in Germany and a synagogue in France prove that terrorism is no longer a phenomenon of the seventies left behind. The topic of discussion at Father Healy's dinnertable last week thus had a grim sense of reality to it. The dinner was the first of this year's monthly series to which the President of the University invites members of the international press corps, the Georgetown faculties and student body for a meeting of the minds on a current topic. Among the guests were undersecretary of state Anthony Quainton, columnist Jack Anderson, and Yonah Alexander, who has published much on terrorism.

Amid the splendor of Father Healy's office, under the stern and sometimes rightfully disapproving eyes of the omnipresent paintings looking down from the walls, the discussion this time centered on international political terrorism, and provoked the following observations by the various participants.

One of course noted that credit for the recent European bombings were claimed by the extreme political right. It is therefore easily suggested that we are confronting with something different from what we've experienced so often during the past decade. Yet this is misleading. Only terrorism itself claims to belong to the political extreme, from which they usually derive their motives. It takes little sense of reality, however, to look beyond the motives of the extremes and see that the goals are identical. It also takes little sense of practicality to see how the networks intertwine. Neo-Nazi and Anti-Semitic movements are inspired by and have natural ties to the Palestinian extremists, who, in turn, have longtime connections with the international left-wing terrorist groups. Since the ultimate objective of all extremes is the destruction of the center, or the existing order, or whatever you or they want to call it, and their methods are identical, it is much more difficult to distinguish between the extremes than between any of the extremes and the center.

Still one man's terrorist is often said to be another man's freedom fighter. By that theory terrorism is synonymous with revolutionism. While historically this is so, of course, in many cases true, modern day terrorism seems to have an exclusive target in the western pluralist democracies. Here we do well to distinguish, for the freedom fighter is not trying to liberate an already free country, he is not even trying to change it. A free society can be changed by less violent means. He is trying to destroy. For he has to tear as his weapon. Simply put it works like this: A system of democratically chosen government can only work as long as the people believe they are being adequately governed. Terrorism the people in a way the government can't stop, and come election day there will be another government. But keep up the terror and the people will lose confidence in the system. Then the system will collapse.

Those who are now grasping for the rationale of the argument are close to the heart of the problem. For what is gained? The Tupamaros in Uruguay say they want to start the first terrorist groups operating against a democratic form of government. They achieved their aim; they destroyed democracy. A dictatorship took over and fulfilled their aim: they destroyed the Tupamaros. The dictatorship is of course still there.

Turkey only last month saw a coup by the military, followed by the institution of martial law and a suspension of civil rights. Dozens of people were picked up and not seen or heard of for days. It didn't last very long, for they found the terrorists. But what if it had taken months, or what if it had not been a small isolated group but a well organized movement that the government was up against? Thus terrorism can easily establish a frightening pattern. A pattern which, as the whole, the American people seem relatively unconsidered with. This is only logical since, after all, here in the States we have no major problems with terrorism. And that brings us to the question even Father Healy's wall seemed to have no answer to: Why is there no terrorism in the United States?
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**THE CHANCERY**

Restaurant and Bar

704 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.

---

**HAPPY HOURS**

3-7 Monday - Friday

Treat Yourself to after work delights of dancing and drinking

.90 draft
1.00 domestic beers
1.69
1.00 glass wine
1.00 mixed drinks

*2 FREE SHOTS OF SCHNAPPS OR ANISJETE WITH EVERY PITCHER OF DRAFT*

---

**CLOG SHOP**

$2.00 off with old

---

**WHEN YOUR FEET NEED A FRIEND**

205 KING STREET, OLD TOWN ALEXANDRIA/548-5566
3220 STREET, N.W., GEORGETOWN, D.C./337-3399

---

Terrorism Featured At Healy Dinner

By JAN LAVERGE

Terrorism featured at Healy dinner.

The Therese Gunn Group

When your feet need a friend.

2.00 mixed drinks
.90 draft
1.00 domestic beers
1.69
1.00 glass wine
1.00 mixed drinks

*2 FREE SHOTS OF SCHNAPPS OR ANISJETE WITH EVERY PITCHER OF DRAFT*
TITLE: STUDENT APPROPRIATIONS

COMMITTEE ALLOCATION PROCEDURE AND POLICIES.

1. AUTHORIZATION

This Act authorizes the establishment of the Student Appropriations Committee ("the SAC") pursuant to the authority granted in Section 534 of the Constitution of the SBA. The Act further defines the procedures and policies to be followed by the SAC, the SBA House of Delegates (hereinafter "the House") and student organizations requesting budget allocations.

II. ORGANIZATION OF THE COMMITTEE

A. The SAC shall consist of Five (5) voting members, to be appointed as follows:

1. The Treasurer of the SBA, who shall serve as Chairperson of the SAC.
2. One (1) Third-year Delegate, to serve a term of one (1) year commencing on March 15th of each year.
3. One (1) Second-year Delegate, to serve a term of one (1) year commencing on March 15th of each year.
4. One (1) any year Evening Delegate, to serve a term of one (1) year commencing on March 15th of each year.
5. One (1) any year Delegate, to serve a term of one-half (½) year commencing on March 15th of each year to be replaced by
   One (1) First-year Delegate, to serve a term of one-half (½) year commencing in October of each year.
6. Any vacant position shall be filled by the House of Delegates.

III. OPERATING PROCEDURE OF THE COMMITTEE

A. The SAC shall meet at the call of the Chairperson or at the request of a majority of the SAC, but not less than three times per semester.

1. A schedule of each semester's meetings and deadlines shall be submitted to the House by the second meeting of each semester. Copies of the schedule shall be sent to each organization.

2. All meetings of the SAC shall be open to observation by the public. Any meetings which are disrupted by observers may be closed, or adjourned and reconvened at the discretion of the SAC.

B. The SAC shall only consider requests from the SBA-funded organization and the SBA treasurer (or his/her representative). The format of the student advisory committee meetings will be decided by the SAC.

C. The SAC shall consider the following recommendations:

1. Contribution to the educational, political, legal, and cultural awareness of the GULC community.
2. Budget request need not meet all of these criteria, however, budgets will be prioritized depending upon the number of criteria which they meet.
3. Definitiveness and scope of each proposed activity or program i.e. organizations who submit well-formulated and developed plans, detailing the particulars of the proposed activity, and itemizing the nature of the expenses shall be given more serious consideration than those organizations whose planning is inchoate and whose budget items are general in nature. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted as requiring complete detail.
4. Each organizational budget and expenditure levels. In furtherance of this objective, the SAC shall require complete detail for the proceeding years expenditures.
5. Consideration should also be given to the expansion of programs, new programs, and new organizations. Allocation requests for these items should be governed by #E 1. above.
6. The program's compliance with applicable local, state and federal law, and University policy.

D. The total amount of the proposed budgets is in excess of the available SAC funds, the SAC shall consider the following:

1. Any budgeted student organization whose budget is overspent at the final meeting of the SAC, shall have been deposited, as above required.

E. The SAC shall evaluate a submitted budget on the basis of the following criteria:

1. Contribution to the educational, political, legal, and cultural awareness of the GULC community.
2. Budget request need not meet all of these criteria, however, budgets will be prioritized depending upon the number of criteria which they meet.
3. Definitiveness and scope of each proposed activity or program i.e. organizations who submit well-formulated and developed plans, detailing the particulars of the proposed activity, and itemizing the nature of the expenses shall be given more serious consideration than those organizations whose planning is inchoate and whose budget items are general in nature. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted as requiring complete detail.
4. Each organizational budget and expenditure levels. In furtherance of this objective, the SAC shall require complete detail for the proceeding years expenditures.
5. Consideration should also be given to the expansion of programs, new programs, and new organizations. Allocation requests for these items should be governed by #E 1. above.
6. The program's compliance with applicable local, state and federal law, and University policy.

F. The total amount of the proposed budgets submitted in good faith and in compliance with SAC procedures.

G. With regard to speakers, priorities shall be given to programs and activities that are open and available to the Georgetown Law Center community.

IV. OUTLAW PROCEDURES

A. Recognized student organizations shall be required to maintain accounting records of all expenditures and income as directed by the University.

B. Budgeted activities are required to secure an approval for expenditure from the appropriate University official.

C. No budgeted student organization will be required to have an outside checking account.

D. All fund student organizations should spend their budget for the purposes set forth in the originally approved guidelines. Exceptions shall be reported to the SAC at the submission of the immediately subsequent budget request.

E. All travel expense appropriations should conform with the travel policy approved by the House of Delegates on August 25th, 1980.

F. For purposes of this section the National Conference on Women and the Law falls within the travel policy definition of national conference.

G. No SBA funds shall be used for donations to public or private organizations.

H. No other requests for funding will be entertained.

I. The request candidate(s) for funding will be entertained.

J. No SBA funds shall be used for donations to public or private organizations.

K. No organization shall get cut from the House and shall be considered at the meeting of the House immediately subsequent to the SAC allocated funds.

L. No individual, including the SAC, should use any of its budgeted money to hire a work study student.

M. All budgets shall include all funds raised in/and/or expended, whether of not received from the SAC.

N. The guidelines contained in subsections VIII.B(1), (3), (4) and (5) shall only apply to SAC allocated funds.

VII. INCOME PROCEDURES

A. All organizations shall deposit any income in their established University account through the appropriate University official.

B. In cases where an organization's budget include raised income that organization shall expend no funds until the income shall have been deposited, as above required.

VI. SANCTIONS

The Treasurer is hereby empowered to impose the following sanctions:

A. Any budgeted student organization whose budget is overspent at the final meeting of the SAC, shall have the amount of the deficit deducted from its budget for the succeeding year.

B. In the event of a gross violation of expenditure rules, the Treasurer may demand the financial officer of the specific activity to submit in writing no later than five business days after the violation an explanation for the incident.

C. Any person who willfully violates the provisions of this Act or rules of the SAC in the purchase or contracting, either directly or indirectly, for services or extension of credit may be held personally liable for all expenses incurred.

D. All sanctions imposed by the Treasurer are subject to SAC review, and all actions of the SAC are directly appealable to the House and shall be considered at the meeting of the House immediately subsequent to the action of the SAC.
Jingles For The Legal Beagle

By R.K. FREEDMAN

Sung to the tune of Jingle Bells

Emanuels, Emanuels, commercial all the way oh what fun to get it done without reading every day Gilberts too, Gilberts too does the job as well it's a must a big, big must and the prof can never tell

Dashing to the pub at the end of a long day it's so nice, to sip a beer and kiss the law away. Oh, legal lines, legal lines they can help a bit when you're caught asleep, unfit no one will call you twit

Sung to the tune of Barnacle Bill the Sailor

May I ask you for a job May I ask you for a job May I ask you for a job, said the Georgetown student Get out of the door, you journal bore, said Arnold, Kline, and Porter I can really write a brief I can really write a brief I can really write a brief, said the Georgetown student To hell with the pen, we take ivy men, said Arnold, Kline, and Porter We are just like ivy too we are just like ivy too, said the Georgetown student You're such a fool and so big a tool, said Arnold, Kline, and Porter But I want to practice tax But I want to practice tax But I want to practice tax, said the Georgetown student You got a D in your MCE, said Arnold, Kline and Porter

Sung to the tune of: Kumbaya

Memo time, my son, memo time Memo time, my son, memo time Memo time, my son, memo time Oh crap, memo time

Someone sliced the case, at memo time Someone sliced the case, at memo time Someone sliced the case, at memo time Oh crap, memo time

Someone stole my brief, at memo time Someone stole my brief, at memo time Someone stole my brief, at memo time Oh crap, memo time

Sung to the tune of: As The Caissons Keep Rolling Along

In B-7, In B-1. Boy, the law is not much fun As the caseload keeps moving along At my desk, on the floor, Class is such a friggen bore, As my ulcers keep moving along And it's brief, brief, brief, until you have no teeth oh boy, can't wait to do some more When the day is done, and there is no sun Don't you wonder what you're doing here Up all night, eyes shut tight, You are really quite a sight As the evening keeps moving along Have some tea, have a smoke This is all one big bad joke As my pencil keeps moving along And it's read, read, read, until your mind's a seed Oh hell, I'm still a lot behind When the case is due, relax and have a chew Cause you're really not caring at all.
Jingles For The Legal Beagle

By R.K. FREEDMAN

Sung to the tune of Jingle Bells

Emanuels, Emanuels,
commercial all the way

Dashing to the pub
at the end of a long day
it's so nice, to sip a beer

Oh what fun
without reading every day
and kiss the law away.

Gilberts too, Gilberts too
does the job as well
no one will call you twit

it's a must
and the prof can never tell

Oh, legal lines, legal lines
they can help a bit

Sung to the tune of Barnacle Bill the Sailor

May I ask you for a job
May I ask you for a job
May I ask you for a job, . . .
said the Georgetown student

Get out of the door, you journal bore, said Arnold, Kline, and Porter

Get out of the door, you journal bore, said Arnold, Kline, and Porter

I can really write a brief
I can really write a brief
I can really write a brief,
said the Georgetown student

To hell with the pen, we take ivy men, said Arnold, Kline, and Porter

To hell with the pen, we take ivy men, said Arnold, Kline, and Porter

We are just like ivy too
we are just like ivy too
we are just like ivy too,
said the Georgetown student

You're such a fool and so big a tool, said Arnold, Kline, and Porter

You're such a fool and so big a tool, said Arnold, Kline, and Porter

But I want to practice tax
But I want to practice tax
But I want to practice tax,
said the Georgetown student

You got a D in your MCE, said Arnold, Kline and Porter

You got a D in your MCE, said Arnold, Kline and Porter

Sung to the tune of: Kumbaya

Memo time, my son, memo time

Memo time, my son, memo time

Memo time, my son, memo time

Oh crap, memo time

Someone sliced the case, at memo time

Someone sliced the case, at memo time

Someone sliced the case, at memo time

Oh crap, memo time

Someone stole my brief, at memo time

Someone stole my brief, at memo time

Someone stole my brief, at memo time

Oh crap, memo time

Sung to the tune of: As The Caissons Keep Rolling Along

In B-7, In B-1,
Boy, the law is not much fun
As the caseload keeps moving along

At my desk, on the floor,
Class is such a friggen bore,
As my ulcers keep moving along

And it's brief, brief, brief, until you have no teeth
oh boy, can't wait to do some more
When the day is done, and there is no sun
Don't you wonder what you're doing here

Up all night, eyes shut tight,
You are really quite a sight
As the evening keeps moving along

Have some tea, have a smoke
This is all one big bad joke
As my pencil keeps moving along

And it's read, read, read, until your mind's a seed
Oh hell, I'm still a lot behind
When the case is due, relax and have a chew
Cause you're really not caring at all.
Exuberant "Trial" Performance

Packed into a forty-five minute rendition of "Trial by Jury" was more flourish, harmonious flourish, and juridical finagling than Judge Sirica saw during Watergate, or Perry Mason saw in any 45 courtroom appearances. The Moot Court was not transformed, but transported to a point somewhere between London and Brighton.

The breathless pacing of the production numbers lifted the full opening night house almost immediately, and the levitation was sustained throughout, despite several brief miscues in the first half of the trial.

The buglers peaked with a flawlessly orchestrated performance of "A Nice Dilemma" which drew the best efforts of the principals that evening as well. Plaintiff Mellen Candage, her advocate Peter Ives, defendant John May and the usher Lavar Taylor each displayed surprising operatic talents.

John May, as the carefree fop, created a buoyant, besotted betrayer, confident of his charms and his chances, cavalierly consuming champagne as the rabble debated his fate.

Candage, for her part, left no soul untwisted by her beauty, and no heart unmoved by her troubled tale. Her solos shone among the fine efforts of the principals.

Professor Richard Gordon, as the Judge, wistfully wooed the madding crowd, which responded sympathetically.

Thomas Wassel poignantly portrayed the defense attorney, pilloried and pummeled by the pro-plaintiff populous. Even the Judge slyly persuaded him to posture for punishment.

Every entrance of the Moot Court was the scene of some element of the action. Especially well-done was the rice-showered entry of the bride, who artfully fended off the attentions of her admirers. The rogues brought the balcony into the courtroom, expanding the small Moot Court stage to respectable proportions.

The visual gags that are the staple of Gilbert and Sullivan productions embroidered the action. My complaint is that there were not enough of them in a production that generally thrives in the midst of frenzied activity.

While the plot was generally elaborately and clearly developed, the directors failed to provide an opportunity for dear Plaintiff to indicate her growing admiration for the statesmanlike magistrate. The enthusiastic audience was undaunted by such a banal defect, and evidently joined the townspeople in its acclaim for the match-up.

Leaving the stylishly costumed cast, frozen in animated tableau, was difficult, however, the champagne reception following the performance, replete with potato chip (?) hors d'oeuvres quickened my steps. (The repast was underwritten by the omnipresent SBA Recreation Committee.)

The spirit displayed in this production bodes well for the full-scale spring show. -G.M.

A behind the scenes look before a performance reveals in photos clockwise from top: Maureen DeMaio, a townsperson, applies make-up; while defendant John May ensures he possesses the proper evil visage while juror Richard Rossler looks on; and Rogue Herbie Di Fanzo gets the able assistance of townsperson Carole Kagan while juror Pete Wales discusses his role in the background; when all is ready the performance starts and action is underway when plaintiff Mellen Candage swoons into the arms of her attorney Pete Ives, and usher Lavar Taylor. (more photos page 8)
Praxis in Crisis

The popularity of Professor Michael Geltner, whose resignation we report this week, is well-known. Students have praised his teaching and clinical supervision highly. His superior expertise has made the Appellate Litigation Clinic the most sought-after clinical program in a school known for its clinical opportunities. And without reason: no other clinic has taken a case to the Supreme Court, of late. Why is the Law Center losing Mr. Geltner?

Fundamentally, the answer is that the Law Center and its faculty are deeply ambivalent about the role clinicians should play in the traditional academic life of the institution. The contours of the problem are familiar to those who are acquainted with medical schools: clinicians, who make the first practical use of the theories and knowledge developed by those engaged in "basic" academic research, are not recognized as having unique skills by the traditionally-oriented faculty. For the patient, however, those who combine clinical and academic skills provide the best care. For the profession, such doubly-gifted persons provide a model of superior treatment. And for the student, the combination of theory and practice imbues coursework with reality, and casework with theoretical dimensions.

At the Law Center, the tension between clinical and academic practitioners is usually resolved by separation. "Clinical Instructors" are mostly hired on contracts, and tend not to enter a tenure-track position. Academicians, for the most part, teach, publish and have nothing to do with the clinics. Students leave their classes questioning the value of learning theoretical constructs that their work experience tells them are irrelevant. They leave the clinics searching for theories usually resolved by separation. "Clinical Instructors" are mostly hired on confidentiality—physicians—are denied access to the relationship between the Attorney General and his counselor is such that their offices are two blocks apart in order to make it less likely that counsel from the Coordinator will reach the Attorney General in time for him to act on it. As you know, I have a rather strong voice when aroused, but I assure you that cannot be heard by the Attorney General while standing in the doorway of 411 11th Street, N.W., pointing my head in a southerly direction and shouting, I could, of course, employ a battery-armed megaphone but this would raise serious questions of disturbing the peace, a misdemeanor of which I am frequently accused but for which I have never been arrested.

To sum up, I have informed Georgetown of the following:

1. My correct address is Office of the Attorney General, Department of Justice, 401 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 5111, Washington, D.C. 20530.

2. Please correct all your records containing my address.

3. Please continue to notify me of these seminars a few days in advance.

Warm personal regards.

Victor H. Kramer

Weekly Criticized

To the Editor:
The *Law Weekly* headline stating "U.S. Considers Large Tuition Hike" of October 6, 1980 has succeeded only in further confusing and confusing an already complex matter.

Finance Committee meetings consist of no more formal a procedure than the "chairs' recognition of individual members for their questions or answers regarding the areas of discussion. No decisions are made in any of these areas until the last meeting of the year. To date, and contrary to what the *Weekly* reported, no actual proposals concerning projected tuition levels have been made or submitted to the Committee.

The Law Center administration should be notified that any discussion of increased/decreased expenditures at the Law Center necesserily prompts discussions of the impact on tuition. (GULC is approximately 83% tuition dependent.)

Such discussion has always been treated with caution and great sensitivity. The invisible analysis and incompre comprehensible reporting of this article betrayed this sensitivity and this prompted unnecessary alarm. Moreover, not one student representative was contacted as to his/her views regarding the meeting and none were quoted. This is unfortunate.

Student members of the Financial Committee are well informed, and active participants of every meeting. The Law Weekly, which should serve as a forum for informing students on how they are being presented, should not have ignored their presence.

The Weekly should be encouraged to and congratulated for reporting the activity of any committee whose work impacts so greatly upon the Law Center community. This reporting, however, should impart a thorough and accurate account of the activity transpired.

Richard Taylor '82
Jim Tapper '82
Prof. Barry Carter
Members, Finance Committee

Editor's Reply

From the Editor:
The concern of the members of the Finance Committee is misplaced. The Law Weekly provides weekly accounts of the discussions of the committee because the committee structures its deliberations in such a way as to otherwise preclude any public scrutiny of its meetings until the absolute last meeting of the semester, when decisions are made, hurly burlly, without any opportunity for debate in the wider Law Center community.

By failing to vote on each departmental budget, even tentatively, during the semester, the members do not get a clear picture of how such a change would impact on tuition.

Such discussion has always been treated with caution and great sensitivity. The invisible analysis and incompre comprehensible reporting of this article betrayed this sensitivity and this prompted unnecessary alarm. Moreover, not one student representative was contacted as to his/her views regarding the meeting and none were quoted. This is unfortunate.

Student members of the Finance Committee are well informed, and active participants of every meeting. The Law Weekly, which should serve as a forum for informing students on how they are being presented, should not have ignored their presence.

The Weekly should be encouraged to and congratulated for reporting the activity of any committee whose work impacts so greatly upon the Law Center community. This reporting, however, should impart a thorough and accurate account of the activity transpired.

Richard Taylor '82
Jim Tapper '82
Prof. Barry Carter
Members, Finance Committee

Heroin Rebound

Last week's editorial on the Post article about a child heroin addict missed the point. A child who has been abused and neglected has a right to be removed from the abusive atmosphere. Other professionals who have a legally recognized privilege of confidentiality—physicians—are protected by that privilege by statute in cases of reporting and identifying cases of child abuse and neglect.

May I remind the editor that a newspaper's privilege of source confidentiality has been denied in many legal contexts. When a child in need of care is identified by the press, the news privilege of confidentiality should defer to the best interests of the child.

A last thought, responsible journalism should not be limited to informing the public. The Post reporter could have anonymously phoned the foster family and neglect hotline whereby Jimmy would have received help, and still gotten her story about a child heroin addict missed the point. A child who has been abused and neglected has a right to be removed from the abusive atmosphere. Other professionals who have a legally recognized privilege of confidentiality—physicians—are protected by that privilege by statute in cases of reporting and identifying cases of child abuse and neglect.

May I remind the editor that a newspaper's privilege of source confidentiality has been denied in many legal contexts. When a child in need of care is identified by the press, the news privilege of confidentiality should defer to the best interests of the child.

Janet W. Forsythe '82
From the Deans

Faculty Meeting

There will be a meeting of the faculty on Wednesday, October 5 at 3:30 p.m. in the faculty lounge to consider the report of the Accrediting Commission on the first year curriculum.

From the Financial Aid Office

Financial Aid Office

Recipient

A reminder—students who receive Georgetown Law Center financial aid are required to notify the Financial Aid Office if there has been any change in their financial situation since the G.A.P.S.F.A.S. was filed. These changes include but are not limited to: change in marital status or receipt of outside scholarships. If any situation has occurred and you are uncertain of its impact on your aid, contact the Office for further information. Failure to report such changes can jeopardize your future aid eligibility.

From the Clinics

Investigators for Clinics

Instructional class on what to do as an investigator will be held on Wednesday, October 15, 3:30-5:30 p.m. in the Registrar's Office.

From the Registrar

Academic Regulations

The GULC Student Code of Professional Responsibility is detailed in the Administrative and Academic Regulations. Copies of the Code are available at the 4th floor Registrar's counter and in the Library. "A student is held to have notice of this Code and its provisions by virtue of enrolling at the Law Center." (p. 18, Administrative and Academic Regulations, August 1980).

Fall Break

The student holiday this semester is October 20-21. Classes will resume on October 22.

Graduation

Students who plan to graduate on February 1 should submit a degree application to the Office of the Registrar October 15.

From the Library

LEXIS

A LEXIS training session will be held twice on Wednesday, October 22, at 3:30 to 5 p.m. in Room 1818 and once at 8:00 to 9:30 p.m. in Hall 2. All GULC students except first-year students are invited. First-year can take this training in the Spring semester after they have completed their practice briefs. There are sign-up sheets on the LEXIS terminal and on various bulletin boards for those who wish to attend.

From Counseling Center

Speed Reading Seminars

Series #2 will meet Tuesdays at 2:30 p.m. starting October 21. Call the Counseling Center at 4601 for information.

From Student Health

Health Insurance

The Student Health Service wishes to apologize for any inconvenience which may have resulted from a delay in transmitting information to Blue Cross/Blue Shield of D.C. regarding the enrollments and the GULC Group Health Insurance Plan for the fall term. The situation arose from a misunderstanding that has been corrected, and claims will be honored retrospectively. We ask your indulgence and that you submit claims incurred between August 15 and October 15, after the latter date. By that time the insurance record should be in the computer.

From the Bar Association

Symposium

The National Bar Association will sponsor a symposium, "CONTEMPERAL LAW: A CHOICE WITH ADVANTAGES," on October 31, 1980 at 8:00 a.m. until 5:30 p.m. at Howard University School of Law, Moot Court room, 2000 Van Ness Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20008. Admission is free. You are invited to attend. The registration is open until November 15, after the latter date, by that time the insurance record should be in the computer.

From the S.B.A.

Placement Committee

There will be a meeting of the SBA Placement Committee on Friday, October 24 at 2:30 p.m. in the Placement Office. All committee members are urged to attend.

Recreation Committee

Movies

The SBA recreation committee will be showing films throughout the school year. Those students desiring an input into film selection should leave suggestions at the SBA office, addressed to Kim.

Recreation Committee

Meeting

The Recreation Committee will meet on Wednesday, October 22, at 8 p.m. in Hall 3. All interested students are encouraged to attend. Written proposals and ideas will be accepted at this meeting.

House of Delegates

The House of Delegates will hold its next meeting on Wednesday, October 29 at 8 p.m. in Hall 3. Any student wishing to attend the Agenda must be submitted by Tuesday, October 21. In order to be considered for the agenda, a proposal must include the following:

(1) A written proposal
(2) A proposal submitted by a delegate

Intramurals Sign Up

Intramural sign up week in the student activities office at the law center. Squash and racquetball: Deadline will be Thursday October 16 at noon sharp at the Law Center. Fee is $5.00 for each. Submit entry of name, address and phone number to Student Activities at the Law Center. Basketball: Entries available Monday, October 13 at the Law Center. Deadline will be Thursday October 22 at noon sharp at the Law Center. Entry fee is $20.00 ($10.00 Registration and $10.00 forfeit for refundable deposits back). Entry forms available at the Law Center.

Advocates for the Republic

Advocates for the Republic is a newly formed organization at GULC for discussion and good-fellowship among adherents to traditional American notions of liberty. It welcomes all students to attend its inaugural meeting on Wednesday, October 15 at 8 p.m. in Hall 6. For further information about the Advocates please call Jim Garey at (w) 223-9066 for (h) 820-3953.

PAD Initiation

For those of you who are interested in joining Phi Alpha Delta and missed our inaugural meeting on Tuesday, October 14. Initiation will be at 8 p.m. in the moot court room and dress is semi-formal. Applications for P.A.D. may be picked up in our box in student activities or from the envelope dedicated to PAD Applications (See Res Pendens on page 8)
Theater Review

Folger Production Totally Enjoyable

BY WILLIAM NATBONY

This past week I visited the Folger Theatre for the first time to see a production of Shakespeare's Measure For Measure. For those who enjoy Shakespeare as much as I do and for those who are simply looking for a totally enjoyable evening of well-performed theatre, this production is a must.

Measure For Measure is a play ideal for those who plan to have any connection with the legal process. If you find either the law or your professors somewhat confusing, take a lesson from William Shakespeare on the methods of reconciling justice and mercy.

While light and often sardonic, the play contains many puzzling complexities and hidden messages for the governing class of any nation. The basic conflict between individual and collective goals within a government is shown through the examination of each extreme, and through the basic conclusion that neither will be the ideal solution: both lead to a lack of self-understanding and dangerous absolutism.

While supplying his audience with few solutions to this problem, Shakespeare does suggest through the experiences of often wildly amusing characters, that the true answer is justice, tempered by mercy, easy to see but hard to learn.

The Folger Theatre lends itself to Shakespearean productions for it bears an aura of medieval romanticism and warmth which allows the audience to become more intimately involved with the actors and actresses. The set, designed by Ursula Belden, is imaginative, yet not so overbearing as to diminish the warmth of both the theatre and the production itself.

In general, the company blended together extremely well, and saved several moments, provided the audience with a swiftly moving and unified performance. Special attention should be given, though, to the performances of Justin Deas as Vincentio, the Duke and Brenda Curtis as Isabella. Both performers managed a difficult balance between ranged dramatics and overlaying, one of the hardest tasks of a Shakespearean performer.

Res Pendas

(continued from page 7)

for our second initiation are due on Tuesday at 3 p.m. Any questions? Call David at 544-4415 or leave a note in the PAD box in student activities.

WRC Business Meeting

There will be a brief business meeting on Wednesday, October 15, at 5:45 p.m. in the WRC offices.

ACLU Meeting

The Georgetown ACLU will hold an important meeting today in Room 1B-4 at 5 p.m. Elections will be held. A recap of the ACLU/NCA board meeting will be presented. All interested GULC people are encouraged to attend.

ACLU Panel

The Georgetown Civil Liberties Union is sponsoring a panel discussion on the legal issues involved in the Gay Rights Suit against the University. 8 p.m., Thursday, October 16, in Hall 6. Refreshments will be served, discussion to follow. All Welcome.

ACE Brown Bag Lunch

The second speaker for the ACE Brown Bag Lunch Series will be Mr. James Wolf, Deputy Administrator for Policy and Planning, The National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration. He will discuss alternative legal careers in general and his experience with NOAA. All students are welcome—Bring your lunch. Tuesday, October 14 at noon in 1B-33.

Delta Theta Phi Speakers

"After Law School, What Next?" Delta Theta Phi is sponsoring a program with a panel of attorneys who will discuss different professional opportunities in the legal field. Questions will be encouraged, information will be available for those interested in joining the fraternity. All are welcome. Tuesday, October 14 at 8 p.m. in Room 1B-19.

Delta Theta Phi Barbeque

Local Alumni Chapters of Delta Theta Phi Law Fraternity are hosting its annual barbecue. Lots to eat and drink. All interested students are encouraged to attend. See flyers posted or call Pati Benizer at 354-2233 or 790-9200 for details. Scheduled for Saturday, October 16, 11 a.m.-3 p.m. 10th Annual Brown Bag Lunch.

Christian Legal Fellowship

The Fellowship, a small group of Law and Graduate students, meets every Thursday afternoon at 5:30 in the Chapel for Bible Study and prayer. We are currently going through the book of James at a remarkably slow pace, and welcome anyone who might be interested to join us.

Jewish Law Students Association

The Georgetown Jewish Law Students Association will sponsor a campaign symposium entitled: U.S. Commitment to the Middle East. The panel will include Aaron Rosebrough of the National Unity Campaign (representing Anderson for President), Joseph Charbon, President of the Center for International Security in Washington, D.C. (representing candidate Reagan), and a representative from the Carter-Mondale Campaign.

The Campaign Symposium will be held on Thursday, October 16 in the Moot Court Room at 8 p.m.

Res Pendas

A Bona Fide Legal Secretary

Arlington, VA

Telephone: 971-8220

Joan I. Drinnen

Res Pendas

HAVE TYPEWRITER—WILL TYPE!

Perfect, error-free resumes, legal papers, term papers, theses, letters of application. IBM Electronic and cassette transcription. Joan Drinnen: 971-8220

I DO DAMN GOOD TYPING!

Joan I. Drinnen

A Bona Fide Legal Secretary

Arlington, Virginia

Telephone: 971-8220
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