"Funeral For Free Speech" Held

By L.C. RENBAUM

Around 100 students and faculty members gathered in the second floor lobby forum a "funeral" for free speech on Wednesday, November 18. The demonstration was organized by Give Us Lots of Choice (GULChoice), the non-recognized student pro-choice advocacy group. With a small black casket serving as a podium, and a number of white flowers, students and faculty members delivered "eulogies" for free speech.

GULChoice organized the protest to raise awareness about what they see as a "gag rule" promulgated by Georgetown's main campus that attempts to reduce the amount of pro-choice advocacy by refusing to recognize or fund student groups who are committed to a woman's freedom to choose to have an abortion.

According to eulogizer Beth Kingsley '93, the university has forbidden the Women's Legal Alliance (WLA) from advocating a pro-choice position. Kingsley recounted how the university forced WLA to remove a statement about its pro-choice activities in the group's description that appears in the school bulletin.

Professor Peter Byrne, another eulogizer recounted how the university set up a free speech and expression committee following arrests of students protesting the university's investments in South Africa. In 1986, Byrne asked, "Are the viewpoints of the Roman Catholic Church privileged in the Georgetown community?" Byrne said that the university is committed to allowing free speech, but does not feel it must necessarily support thought un-Chatholic. The committee's policy requires the university to provide, in person, and manner for expression regardless of the speaker's content.

The most well-received and poignant speaker was Professor Anita Allen, who pointed out many inconsistencies in the university policy that are "alined at suppressing student speech and debate," while the faculty is actually encouraging students to explore abortion rights. Professor Allen brought with her a display of the articles and books she has written that are all in some way advocate a pro-choice position. "My career is based on reproductive rights issues," she lamented, "but don't allow students to debate abortion issues. Why do I deserve more free speech than you?"

Professor Allen also pointed out other inconsistencies in university policy toward abortion rights. The faculty and staff are encouraged every year to donate money from their salaries to a number of United Way charities. The United Way card identifies around 26 charities to whom money will be sent, including Planned Parenthood, whose central mission is promoting safe and legal abortions. Allen said, "How can you rationalize this when students aren't able to discuss it?" Allen concluded her remarks by saying, "You have a right to be angry, and a right to demand that this policy be rescinded immediately."

Third-year student Steven Middlebrook told of free speech as "our old and dear friend," humanizing the abstract value of expression. Middlebrook discussed the last time that Georgetown tried to silence Catholic-expression, when the university was taken to court for refusal to recognize gay and lesbian student groups in 1977. After ten years of litigation, the university was forced to recognize the rights of gay students to organize on campus, but the university did not need to endorse the group.

Middlebrook then discussed the difference between recognition and endorsement, "If we have university recognition, then we can proceed like any other student group, with the material and tangible benefits that other groups enjoy. We are not asking for the university to endorse our position."

Indeed, much of the debate centers on the difference between endorsement and recognition. Beth Kingsley explained that GULChoice is not a recognized student organization, it cannot receive or send mail, use telephones, have office space, or keep a bank account with the university. Because of these restrictions, much of the time the group spends raising money and organizing keeps them from their mission of promoting a woman's right to choose to have an abortion. Some students feel that since Georgetown is a Catholic university, it makes sense for the university to restrict pro-choice activities, which are against Church teachings. It is for this reason that GULChoice has attempted to shift the debate from funding and endorsement to recognition and tangible benefits.

GTV: Up and Running

By RONNIE LONDON

Wayne's World notwithstanding, few law students fully realize the implications or potential of public access cable television. That is about to change.

This spring, a new student group was formed to take advantage of the availability of CCTV, the public access cable channel on District Cablevision, the Washington, D.C., cable system. Within the last month, Georgetown Television Network, GTV, has completed orientation sessions at CCTV, adopted its by-laws, and elected officers and an Executive Committee representing day and evening students of all class standings. The group has established itself as a student association to produce cable television programs, documentaries, and public service announcements on various areas of the law and public policy.

GTV is the brain child of Alan Bowzer, an evening student who is the group's founder and President. Bowzer envisions GTV as a logical next step in exposing a broad, diverse audience to the law and current events. According to Bowzer, "CDTV provides the Washington metropolitan community with a way of gaining the same access to important points of view on critical issues that Georgetown's students and faculty enjoy, and GTV wants to assist in that endeavor as much as possible."

GTV encourages the participation of all students, faculty, and staff. To date, the response has been very encouraging. Over forty students, faculty and staff members have expressed interest and become active participants. Many Georgetown students have experience in television and film, and GTV was conceived to enable those students to blend their interest in the law with their interest in the media. GTV Secretary Erika Molyneux, a 2E, is a case in point. She has worked with Luciafilm in a public relations capacity, as well as for the George Lucas Education Foundation, an entity conceived to help students learn through visual media. Her interest in GTV lies in its educational potential.

Several students already have programmed in the early stages of conception. For example, Winston Bell, a 2E who serves on GTV's Executive Committee, has designed a program in creating a "Woman in the Law" show. The show would use a panel discussion format to educate and entertain a legal audience with a bent towards presenting the law from women's perspectives. "We would examine all facets of the law as they pertain to women," said Bell, who hopes that such a show will one day attain a stature that would attract such guests as Judge Mary Lupo or Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor.

Michael Newman came to GTV with an interest in putting a legal spin to his New York radio show and adapting it to the visual medium. A LL and GTV's Treasurer, Neuman described his radio show as "a Spy-Magazinique issue...and humoristic programs" that used a talk show format and often included local politicians and musicians and included variety sketches with a libelous bent. Karl Schumer, a member of the Executive Committee, is looking toward creating a sports law program and envisions it in attain series status in the future. GTV is also in the process of developing programs focusing on international law, environmental law, and the criminal justice system. A Spanish-language "Street Law" program is also in development.

In addition to the programming concepts already underway, GTV's long-range goals include creating a video archive of influential guest speakers who appear at the Law Center and bringing some of Georgetown's nationally acclaimed Continuing Legal Education seminars to cable. Continued on page 3
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Letters to the Editor

GULChoice Not About Money

To The Editor:

This letter is written in response to the letters of David Druffner and Scott Shuda in last week's Law Weekly. My first point is that this whole issue concerning GULChoice is not about money. I am seriously offended by Georgetown's new policy. I would be as equally offended if there existed no pro-choice student group to deny money to. It is the principle of the matter that is at stake here. Additionally, the fact that GULChoice is denied such necessities as office space and a phone on the school's campus interferes with its ability to function as effectively as it otherwise could, and these are things that no amount of private donations to the group can overcome.

Mr. Shuda seems to rely on the idea of consent or social contract, because we knew Georgetown was a Catholic school when we enrolled, we have no right to complain when the church decides to dictate how the school should be run. This argument is without merit. First on two grounds. Find out the time when we chose Georgetown as a school, it was represented to us that the church was one of an enlightened atmosphere. We saw a tolerant community; one that treated many groups with ideas antithetical to Catholicism on equal grounds. Specifically, we were told that groups such as the Women's Alliance existed at that time, was permitted to be involved in prochoice advocacy, BILAGA and JILSA were recognized organizations, enjoying all the same rights, such as funding and office space, as did other groups that were transformed to Church doctrine. This is the school we decided to attend. I am sure that some, and perhaps many of us, had known we that this tolerant atmosphere was just a mirage, would have chosen a different institution. I would not hesitate to tell any prospective student of the discrimination that is occurring here, and would not be surprised if many well qualified students making difficult choices between this school, chose a school other than Georgetown because of policies such as this. I should hope we could all agree, Mr. Druffner and Mr. Shuda included, that any loss in diversity of the student body at this school is a serious disadvantage to us all.

Second, I think the real fear here is the familiar slippery slope. Once we allow the Church to decide, in a discriminatory manner, how it will spend our tuition money with respect to student groups, how far off is the day when it will decide what will be taught in the classroom? The school pays the professors' tuition just as it pays money for student groups; next year will the church forbid any professor receiving a saw to having office space from espousing pro-choice viewpoints? Will it deny funding to the Journal if it publishes articles advocating choice? Will it refuse to fund and give office space to a dean that endorses a man's choice presidential candidate? None of these hypotheticals involve a technical violation of the law, but rather are a denial of funding. And all would be legitimate under the University's new policy to conform to church doctrine.

Mr. Druffner erroneously states that pro-choice proponents view the right to abortion as a right to kill. It is unclear from which literature he arrived at this preposterous assertion (maybe he mistook a transcript from the Student Scream as pro-choice literature). By definition, to kill there must be a person whose life is ended. The center of the whole debate turns on when one believes life begins. Some pro-choice believers a fetus becomes a "person" at viability, and do not support the right to abortion after this point. Others believe that the right to abortion is absolute and that the fetus is not a "person" until it is born. And there exist a variety of other viewpoints in between. And even individual's resolution of the issue involves deep moral reflection, as well as a consideration of a woman's right to control her own body. One would think that Mr. Druffner could think of more legitimate arguments to present rather than mischaracterize and sensationalize the views of his opponents.

Mr. Druffner claims that "most of us have suffered through the tragedy of abortion." Luckily, most of us are too young to have suffered through a time when abortions were illegal and women were forced to turn to the life-threatening conditions of back alley. The existence of pro-choice groups will help ensure that these conditions will never return. In the meantime, this school, as an institution of "higher learning" can at the very least allow both sides of the issue to be placed on equal footing, and let the students, as grown adults, decide to which they wish to subscribe.

—Amy L. Padden, 2L

A Modest Proposal

To the GULC Community:

With an interest in labor law from the union perspective, I am interested in both gaining practical labor experience for myself and promoting the advantages of the exercise of Section 7 rights by employees related to GULC. National Labor Relations Act, as amended, 29 USC 157.

Knowing the strong interest of this institution in promoting justice and equity in society (e.g., the formation of a Poverty Law Journal), I propose that an ad hoc group of law students from and volunteer their time in assisting employees in the exercise of their rights under the NLRA.

Specifically, I wish to suggest the organization of three groups of employees, employees of the GULC faculty maintenance contractor, D.C. Management Corp., employees of the GULC food services contract, and the GULC student guards. This voluntary group would investigate and select a union for which to volunteer, considering the quality of Union representation including its democratic structure, historic local leadership, representation of other GULC related employees, and other appropriate factors. (Working as volunteers with an outside union relieves individuals of legal liability under 29 USC 301, under which the union is liable for damages arising from any result of the actions, and not its members or employees).

Then, a determination of appropriate bargaining units would then have to be made, and an organizing drive begun—including collecting names of employees, educating the unit, and collecting authorization cards. If successful, requests for recognition can then be made, and if refused, NLRB supervised certification elections demanded.

In the remote chance that this drive is successful until this point, both labor and management are bound by statute to engage in good faith collective bargaining until a contract is agreed to. The chance of a successful decertification election after the one year bar has expired.

All interested students are invited to drop a note in the student folder labelled "Union Organizing Committee" listed under "U" among the student folders.

—Stuart Weichsel, 3L

Gag Rule Hinders Debate

To The Editor:

Georgetown University's gag rule prohibits recognized student groups from advocating choice. This policy hinders students from effectively expressing pro-choice views because it denies them funding and access to facilities such as phones, mailboxes, photocopying machines, offices, etc.

The gag rule is a blatant attempt to control pro-choice students and prevent them from having the circulation of pro-choice ideas on campus. GULChoice exists to keep safe and legal abortion an accessible choice for all women, not to grab GULC cash as some Law Weekly letter writers have claimed. To achieve its goal, however, GULChoice will continue to fight for its right to freely express and advocate its beliefs on equal terms with other student groups at GULC.

—Kristen Hansen, II

DON'T SUBMIT

This is the last Law Weekly for the semester. Happy Thanksgiving, Merry Christmas, Happy Hannukah, have a good Kwanzaa, etc...
Fighting Brimstone With Fire

A proposal to achieve content neutrality in Georgetown’s abortion speech funding

BY CARL SELTMER

Those who favor sustaining Georgetown in the lawsuit believe...
Catholic School—NOT!

By ASHLEY G. GABLE

I write in response to Mr. Shuda's opinion piece of 11/16 entitled, "Is the Issue Really Free Speech?" Later, I have often heard students brag about the squelching of the pro-choice point of view, and say, "What do you expect? We all know it's a Catholic school." Now that Mr. Shuda has repeated this view in print, I feel compelled to respond: 1) we don't talk 'bout it's a Catholic school and 2) so fucking what if it is?

But first, I would like briefly to address the non-funding = gag rule question. Evidently refuse to take Con Law II, having been bitten by Con Law I in my youth, but I do recall the not-so-subtle argument that a refusal to fund is a restriction on free speech. Perhaps even Mr. Shuda would acknowledge that while it may or may not amount to a "gag," refusing to fund a group is at least a restriction. After all, the refusal to fund a group means I refuse to talk. For pro-choice advocates, it cost money—to pay for those posters Mr. Shuda finds so annoying.

We Don't All Know It's a Catholic School

I'm not stupid, and I have no idea a graduate school would be so oppressively "Catholic" as to attempt to restrict a pro-choice activity. As I hear the rising chorus of protest from my former professors, I hastily amend, "I'm not that stupid."

Compared to the population at large, I mean. So puzzled was I at Mr. Shuda's assertion of the obviousness of the Catholicism of this school, that I perused the current GULC application pro forma: "This is a research of enlightenment. Here is what I found.

The words "Roman Catholic" are mentioned once, on p. 37, when Library Resources are described. "Other Georgetown libraries include...: the Woodstock Theological library collection, one of the best Roman Catholic theological collections in the country."

Georgetown's "religious heritage" is mentioned on p. 38, in the same breath that it exhorts an earnest "If you keep with its respect for all faith, the Law Center welcomes students of all religious beliefs." I guess they should add, "as long as they don't cross us on abortion."

The word "Jesuit" is mentioned twice. On p. 4, in the line as an afterthought in the "Faculty" section, "law students also share in the campus life of Georgetown University, the oldest Jesuit institution of higher learning in the nation..." Heavens here anyone share in the campus life on main campus? Maybe I hang with the wrong crowd. "Jesuit" is also mentioned on p. 28 under the section on... Student Activities! What delusional irony. The paragraph describes how the [bias] belief in the Jesuit principle that education must take into account the whole person is why "the Law Center is committed to supporting those professional and student development that take place outside the classroom." Again, they should add, "So long as you don't cross us on abortion."

The Progressive Alliance for Life is one of the student groups listed. The booklet also has a little quotes from people in the margins. On p. 31 is a quote by an actual priest! The famous priest-politician-man-about-town Father Drinan says, "We're really diverse as long as you don't cross us on abortion." Just kidding, he says, "Law is a way to change things."

How naive.

I should mention that the quote by GULC's only priest-professor is deprived by Dean Arean saying, "Georgetown has built an environment that is... conducive to the exchange of practical ideas." And, in another fabulous irony I would love to have had the guts to make up, there's a quote on p. 27 by Susan Deller Ross, founder of the Women's Legal Defense Fund, Director of the Law Center's Sex Discrimination Clinic, and Director of the Women's Law and Public Policy Fellowship Program. Her message is, "We have now accomplished a specialty in offerings on women's legal issues at the Law Center." Do we ever! "I know pro-choice-ness is not just a woman's legal issue, although it does interest me a hell of a lot more than it does most men. I know, I know— I just don't know the right men.

In conclusion, I do not believe it is clear that GULC is a Catholic school. On the contrary, GULC executes verbal somersaults to downplay the Catholic connection. They sure fooled me. I didn't pay x billion dollars to attend the best damn Catholic law school in the country. And, I would hazard to guess, neither did anyway here.

Being Catholic Is No Excuse

Even if this is a Catholic university, it purports to be a university first. An institution of higher learning. An institution committed to debating and ideas. To expect the nation not only antagonistic to the mission of the university to restrict the activities of a group based on the content of the message is evil. I believe in the church of free ideas. I believe in arguing the hell out of an issue to find out if it's right or way off. To attempt to curtail a group's activity because you don't like what it says is a desecration of the avowed purpose of the university. The application material, p. 43, "The goal of GULC is education in its fullest sense... a sense of the philosophical, political, social, and ethical dimensions of law, the awakening of an abiding curiosity about its nature and purposes, and the instilling of a sense of responsibility for its being Catholic than the fact of these about these grand, glaaming ideas. It does not say you cannot be Catholic and committed to unrestricted expression of ideas at the same time.

In fact, it says the Law Center is "committed to the belief that an educational community serves its goals best when it brings within it diversity of experience, heritage, belief and orientation.... The result is a vital, exciting intellectual community, in which learning occurs on many levels, both inside and outside the classroom."

But apparently they don't mean it.

Concluding the rant

Mr. Shuda suggests that GULC's anti-intellectual suppression of ideas makes a lot of sense because of the monetary impact of such an action, but because it will uphold the sanctity of unrestricted expression. GULC can whine all it wants that I'm just hurting future students. GULC is hurting future students by violating the marketplace of ideas, by punishing a student group for the content of its views, and by covering behind the excuse, "But we're Catholic!"

I won't have it. I encourage others to join me.

EFJ Funds D.C. Public Defender Clerkship

By LOUIS VILLENIEZ

The Public Defender Service of the District of Columbia (P.D.S.) provides criminal defense attorneys to indigent people. In P.D.S. has a stated goal of providing services of an equal or higher caliber as compared with large high-priced law firms. This goal has been attained. P.D.S. is widely recognized as the top public defender office in the country. P.D.S. hires staff attorneys from a highly competitive pool of applicants from the top law schools, and the agency exerts a very high level of work from its attorneys. Both quantitatively and qualitatively. P.D.S. attorneys who are in trial often put in seventy hour weeks and the agency utilizes a peer review system which is being evaluated in its critique of work. There is an aura of superiority at P.D.S. which probably parallels the attitudes of partners in certain large D.C. firms. This aura at P.D.S. stems not only from the perception of their moral correctness in defending the Constitutional rights of the downtrodden (their emblem is a knight in shining armor), but also from a superior quality of legal representation. This environment of principles and dedication combined with the standard of excellence at P.D.S. provided for an outstanding experience as a law clerk.
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The New Political Landscape

By ROBERT SCOTT GADDY, Georgetown Law Democrat

The Democratic Party is back. For the first time in over a decade, the party is energized with themes that resonate with middle income Americans. In an ABC News exit poll, 57 percent of the electorate identified with the Democratic Party with responsible fiscal management and economic prosperity. Bill Clinton is a "new Democrat". He favors social liberalism tempered by fiscal restraint, while maintaining American preeminence international economic and national security affairs. By stressing the economy and health care reform, Bill Clinton's campaign touched upon issues which middle income voters care about, and drew them home to the Democratic Party. However, he faces challenges on the international front. First and foremost, however, Bill Clinton must remain attentive to the problems of middle income Americans or lose their support like George Bush and the Republicans have.

Some commentators in the Wall Street Journal agree. In the past, what is needed for a "revival" of the Democratic Party is hopefulness the electorate identifies with its campaign promises to middle income Americans. Getting elected was easy next to the arduous task of trying to implement the program enacted. There is a gauntlet of entrenched special interests both outside and within the Democratic Party, waiting to pounce on the Clinton's policies. To suggest that, because the campaign is over, the battle is won, is to understand the political calculus. Yes, a great battle has been won, but not the war.

In the aftermath of the election, moderate Republicans, unhappy with the direction their party is heading, have begun to rebel against the intolerance preached by Pat Buchanan at the Republican National Convention in Houston. Moderate Republicans hope to form a political organization similar to the Democratic Leadership Council, a group formed in the early eighties by disillusioned conservative Democrats such as Bill Clinton who wanted to move the party closer towards the center. Calling themselves the Republican Leadership Council, and led by Representative Vin Weber of Minnesota, Defense Secretary Dick Cheney, former Drug Policy Czar Bill Bennett, and Housing and Urban Development Secretary Jack Kemp, these moderates hope to wrest control of the Republican Party from the likes of Pat Buchanan, Phyllis Schlafly, Jerry Falwell, and Pat Robertson and to give some semblance of ideological balance.

The members of the Republican Leadership Council wish to keep themselves from the extreme abortion positions of the party's right wing. Recognizing that more than 60 percent of the Republican Party is nominally "pro-choice," and motivated by a desire to woo independent voters, they hope to de-emphasize the abortion issue and return to the "big tent" envisioned by late Republican National Committee Chairman Lee Atwater. More importantly, the Republican Leadership Council hopes to regain control over the economic agenda from the Democrats, and reclaim the rhetorical edge on the tax issue. Specifically, they hope Bill Clinton is unable to follow through on his plans for middle class tax breaks. If that happens, look for Republican Senatorial Candidates in 1994, and Republican Presidential Candidates in 1996 to use this issue to maximum advantage.

The need for change, as symbolized by the Republican Leadership Council, has begun to infect the hearts and minds of the Republican Party leadership. As reported in the New York Times on November 17th, "Speaker after speaker" at this year's annual Republican Governors' Association meeting, "set out to recast their party as one of inclusion." The watchwords of the conference were, "diversity, tolerance, and the need for a "big tent."" Senator Bob Dole of Kansas, the Senate Republican Leader and delicato party leader, said to the Democrats, "let them be the party of exclusion, and let us be the party of inclusion.." Harper Bower, the Mississippi Republican and a candidate for the chairmanship of the Republican National Committee, suggested that, "we need to have our hands examined if we let abortion be the threshold of Republicanism." Fairly or unfairly, the Republican Party is universally viewed as being controlled by an intolerant mob of bigots, anti-Semites, male-chauvinists, and gay-bashers. The ineptitude and ignorance of the party's economic policies, combined with Pat Buchanan's call for a "religious war" has done grievous harm to the Republican coalition. The only hope the Republican Party is to heed the advice of its moderates and move back towards the center and the party of Ronald Reagan.

When Justice Fails

A jury is unwilling to convict for a murder based on the victim's religion and membership in a community. The murder is accepted, then tacitly approved, and the community refuses to protect the victim's very right to live.

On August 19, 1991, as a mob ran through the streets of Brooklyn they began to overturn cars, and yelling "Kill the Jews," Yankel Rosenbaum was surrounded by a group of a dozen black men beaten, kicked, and finally fatally stabbed.

As Rosenbaum lay bleeding on the ground, a suspect was arrested carrying a bloody knife in his pocket as a running away. The police took the suspect to Rosenbaum who identified him as his assailant. The dying man even asked, "Why did you do this to me?" The suspect, Lemrick Nelson Jr. later confessed in the presence of police officers.

The trial of Nelson in the police testified to the oral confession and the knife with Rosenbaum's DNA was identified. The admission at the scene by Rosenbaum was confirmed by ambulance technicians and nine policemen.

The defense argued that the police from three districts and the medical examiner colludied to frame Nelson. The troublesome confession was alleged to have been coerced or fabricated. It was even argued that Rosenbaum had somehow provoked the attack.

Despite the evidence and the best efforts of the prosecution, on October 21st, the jury found Nelson not guilty of all counts of murder and manslaughter. A predominately minority jury of both Nelson's and Rosenbaum's neighbors refused to convict in the murder.

This is not the first time this has occurred in New York City. Just 11 months ago, in December 1991, the Islamic fundamentalist charged with assassinating Rabbi Meir Kahana in front of a room of witnesses was acquitted of all but a weapons charge. While Rabbi Kahana's almost universally unpopular for his views, was he or is anyone else outside of the protection of American law?

There are many accounts of police and prosecutors in 1980's Misleisipi consistently failing to find or prosecute any suspects for the murder of blacks, or white civil rights workers for that matter. Well known are the famous stories of federal investigation by DOJ to bring cases to court and finally give every American in the South "equal protection under the law."

The commentary on the Nelson trial is not yet over, but it is generally agreed that the evidence was overwhelming and the prosecution made its best effort. If the government had failed to prosecute, it could be held responsible for leaving the Jews of New York unprotected, during and after an anti-semitic riot.

But in these cases the government did not ultimately fail the victim, the jobs did. This cannot be as easily solved by calling in DOJ to prosecute.

The right to a trial by one's peers is fundamental. What happens when one is consistently unprotected by a jury of one's neighbors?

After this trial and that for Kahane's murder, can a jury be found in New York to convict a non-Jew for murdering a Jew? If not, have the juries, or especially the minority juries, of New York made local Jews fair game? Do the Jews of New York have to live in fear, that they can be controlled by an intolerant mob of bigots, anti-Semites, male-chauvinists, and gay-bashers. The ineptitude and ignorance of the party's economic policies, combined with Pat Buchanan's call for a "religious war" has done grievous harm to the Republican coalition. The only hope the Republican Party is to heed the advice of its moderates and move back towards the center and the party of Ronald Reagan.
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The Public Defender Service

By RANDY SISKIND

I received an Equal Justice Foundation Fellowship last summer to work for the Public Defender Service for the District of Columbia. The Public Defender Service has a staff of about 80 attorneys who represent indigent defendants in all stages of criminal proceedings. I was paired with two attorneys by doing legal research, writing memoranda, drafting motions, conducting investigations, etc.

The first client I met was charged with possession of cocaine. I met him in the holding block in the basement of the courthouse. The holding block is a large concrete room with bars. He had been arrested the previous afternoon. He talked about his arrest:

"It was a hot day yesterday, in the afternoon I was playing around with friends in a neighborhood park. One of my friends had a water gun and was squiring people. He started to come after me. I tried to avoid him by sprinting down the street. I guess an undercover police officer had been getting in his car behind the block. He saw me running, and then started chasing me. At the time, I thought it was my friend with the water gun, so I ran faster. The undercover officer yelled, "Police!" and ordered me to stop. So I stopped.

"He pushed me to the ground and searched me. I asked him why he came after me. He told me that he saw me running, and that he was a police officer. I told him I was running with a friend with a squirt gun."

The next thing I know I am here in the holding block of the courthouse. This room is freezing. When I was arrested, I had on a waist-shirt and shorts. The temperature gives me no other choice, I tried to sleep on the concrete floor, curling up in a ball, trying to keep warm. But I couldn't keep warm. I was so cold. What time is it?

"It was 10:00 in the morning. He was still in the holding block since 4:00 the night before. About two hours later, we received word that the government had continued the charge. There are many reasons why the government dismisses a case, but it is likely that the prosecutors never found the bag of drugs allegedly thrown away. In any event, he was imprisoned for a day and then released without explanation or apology. My arrest remains on his permanent criminal record.

"I never did any work for that client. In fact, I just discussed everything I know about him. My story about him is not provocative. It does not dramatically reveal how a passionate public defender saved an innocent person from being unjustly convicted of a crime. And it does not describe the efforts of a dedicated and thoughtful law student fighting a corrupt criminal justice system. In a way, however, that client introduces me to why the Public Defender Service and the Equal Justice Foundation are important organizations."
Marc's T.V. Talk?

By MARC SORIN

Warning to Sensitive Readers: This column has been rated SIN (Silly, Insensitive, and Naughty) by Tipper Gore's L.0.R.C. tips on parental Resource Council. Any readers with aversions to silly jokes, bitter jobs, Saturday Night Live parodies, or puns wishing to read their own risk.

I have recently returned from a trip to Los Angeles and a peak at what's new for the fall season. As any avid T.V. viewer knows, this means new shows, new casts, new themes. And I'm not just talking about the new pilots already in production. If you don't like the new shows, you can always wait until next season.

In the past, television networks were the only ones examining potential shows and themes. But recent changes in the industry have made it possible for new ideas to be developed and brought to market. In fact, some of the most successful shows today are the result of collaboration between network executives and independent producers.

However, the challenges faced by producers today are significant. Budget constraints, increased competition from streaming services, and changing audience preferences all require careful consideration.

Despite these challenges, the television industry continues to thrive, and new shows are constantly being developed. So, what can we expect to see in the fall? Stay tuned for more updates on the latest in television!
GABE'S MOVIE TALK!
By GABE GONZALEZ

First off, sincerest apologies to all my devoted readers who had trouble making it through last Monday without "Gabe's TV Talk." I was going to write it, but then I decided to stop until the November sweeps period was over.

For those of you who don't know, sweeps periods are the months in which ad rates are determined for the next three months. The sweeps months are November, February, May, and, to a lesser extent on the national level, August. During these months, networks and local news get pretty close to the lowest common denominator.

So I asked myself, Gabe, who wants to hear about the latest "woman in distress and/or on death row" TV movie? Well, not even I wanted to, so I figured no one else did, either. Instead, I decided to perform a similar public service by reviewing "Bram Stoker's Dracula," the latest example of Hollywood's lack of original movie ideas.

When I first heard this movie was being made, I was not particularly interested. But, as the hype machine churned into action, even I was drawn in. By the time I heard that Annie Lennox was doing the love theme ("Love Song for a Vampire"), I was a given that my staff would be at Union Station to see this flick. So, along with four other Section 3 veterans, I went to see "Bram Stoker's Dracula" and, I think, I can say that this film was the latest attempt at regaling the glory that was "The Godfather." Mquiries: Ignorant had never go to a dark epic in a sleepy mood. Yes, you've guessed it. I fell asleep three times during "Dracula." But I don't blame myself. After all, I've been to many movies more tired than I was this time, and the only other time I've fallen asleep was when I went to see "Scandal" the day it returned from Italy after not having slept for 24 hours straight.

So, the movie was, to put it nicely, slow. We all know the story (really, you do; don't believe the stories that say this Dracula story has never been told on the screen before— it has, in "Nosferatu," with Klaus Kinski. And, it's not such a great story to begin with. It sure isn't scary, at least not scary like "Chitty-Chitty Bang Bang" was when we were little kids. If anything, it's a bit gross, but if I had wanted to see blood and general medical gore, I could have just watched "Cage the Lifetime on Sunday.

So, what's there of worth in the movie that had the biggest non-summer opening in movie history, with a $30 million-plus take? For one, Gary Oldman is a gifted actor, having already made his mark in rather different, dark movies like "Track 29" and "Prick Up Your Ears." It was also Lee Harvey Oswald in "JFK," but I didn't see that. Hey, sorry, the movie was so good I forgot. So, Oldman is a gifted actor, having already made his mark in rather different, dark movies like "Track 29" and "Prick Up Your Ears." It was also Lee Harvey Oswald in "JFK," but I didn't see that. Hey, sorry, the movie was so good I forgot. So, Oldman is a gifted actor, having already made his mark in rather different, dark movies like "Track 29" and "Prick Up Your Ears." It was also Lee Harvey Oswald in "JFK," but I didn't see that. Hey, sorry, the movie was so good I forgot. However, it's like his performance is that good that it raises the movie to a new level. And, if I had seen it again, I think I would have enjoyed it.

Anthony Hopkins is entertaining, milking his Hannibal Lecter image for all its worth, and I'll admit that the movie was enjoyable when he was on screen. Tom Waits, too, has a nice turn as an ever-more-insane devotee of Dracula. And Rachel Ford, a British newcomer who plays Winona Ryder's best friend, makes an impressive debut. Indeed. She reminded me of another British, Sammi Davis, who was in John Boorman's "Hope and Glory." In some ways Russell film, and on ABC's "Hornblower" and has always delivered the goods. I look forward to seeing more of Frost as her career progresses.

I can't say the same for the young Americans (and, I'm not talking about the Bowie song). Winona Ryder and kneus Reeves play the main couple in this film. Now, I'm not going to say that America does not have talented young actors because I saw plenty of them in the current independent sleeper, "Laws of Gravity." But Ryder and Reeves (hey, they could be a country duo) are so generic that you wonder why Coppola paid their large fees to have them in the film. Anyone else would have been fine, Frank.

Anyone.

Think about it, What's the value? Does anyone even really say, Hey, let's see the new Winona Ryder or kneus Reeves film? Except for their grandmothers, I don't think so. And, Ryder and Reeves can't do accents to save their lives (Reeves is especially strained). It may sound like I'm being picky, but, honestly, if the actors playing their roles had been interesting, the movie would have been a lot better, since they do occupy the screen for large amounts of time.

Sure, I know you're reading this and saying things like, What does he know, he watches "Knots Landing." Or, maybe, you're saying, Francis Ford Coppola's too much of a genius for even Gabe to comprehend fully. Well, listen, it in your movie dollar you'll be spending, and as I'm fond of saying, your dollar, your choice. But, don't say you were not warned.

With all the interesting movies coming out in the next few weeks, like "Malcolm X," "Lorenzo's Oil," "A Few Good Men," "Used People," "Alesidin," and "Peter's friends" (and, hell, even Whitney's "The Bodyguard"). you're really better off saving your money and your precious studying time until something worthy comes down the pike.

PUT THE KNOWLEDGE OF LAW IN ORDER
Study with Pieper...and pass.

90 Willis Ave., Mineola, New York 11501
Telephone: 1-800-635-6569 or (516) 747-4311

PIEPER REPS. : Hugh Mullane
Thoughts of Cheap Food

By PARAG PATEL AND KRISTIN CELSTROM

After a brief hiatus from the journalism world, the food writer FORCE has made a series of back to back, and we are faster than ever, as she prepares for the first scene in the new book, we are eating the Sun Spot Cafe as one of the best places for lunch, The Cafe is located at 440 1st Street NW behind the Hayt flag. Approximately 1 block from GULC.

The Cafe is a family operated business run by the Heded brothers. Sam Heded runs the Cafe and is always there and seems to know most of his patrons by name. While the Cafe boasts a cosmopolitan "Touch of the Mediterranean on Capitol Hill," the Cafe also offers more parochial items such as Philly cheese steaks and New York style subs. Also for those eaters not fond of coronary by-passes, the Cafe offers a huge salad bar with over 50 items.

But the true reason to go to the Cafe is for itsrellia's those you do not know that is put on. The restaurant is strictly with deep fried chicken pasta, some vegetables and a good tarragon vinaigrette. The Cafe has not a dish in its menu that is not good. In fact, this meal is impressive and makes you look like a Renaissance man or woman. Most importantly, it tastes good and its CHEAP. How cheap? Falafel on pita served with potato chips and a drink is $2.75. Best of all, our friend Sam Heded agreed to give all GULC people upon presentation of GULC Identification a free large fountain soda with purchase of any sandwich, sub or meal. So give it a try and invite the owners so they can continue to give us free food.

To appease food connoisseurs willing to travel beyond the immediate GULC vicinity, we offer an alternative...So for all you pizza fans out there, here goes the list: First of all, I like pizza. Today's first installment in a series of pizza discussions, I'll start with the good news...there's a pizza place in DC that's not DIOE FOR. It is a multi-magnifig! For those of you who haven't been there yet, the place is called Pizzeria Paradiso and it is located right off Dupont Circle at 209 P Street. Again, the recent reviews of one of the most trendy wood-burning ovens I think that's what you call it that makes an oh-so-delicious pizza. The ingredients are fresh (yes, FRESH) - no canned mushrooms and frozen splatih here. You if the Hogs or Mark Ryden should be and prob- ably your date, away you'll like it here. I highly recommend anything with the spinach and the mushrooms, the best. And if you don't pronounce the "c", or "mushrooms" what can I say. The salads are only for the strong of heart and brave of taste buds (they come with flowers and stuff in them). The beer and wine selection is pretty cool. Fill up on the pizza, don't worry about saving room for dessert - they tend to be alcohon and somewhat pricey. For the mandatory that you're using to eat during the Olympics. The pizza may seem a little pricey, too ($14-$16, about $15 pizza). But ask anyone who's been there before and they will tell you that if you're going to spend all day in the snow then Michael's steak sells the ball. No lexicon should be so thin that you can't see the score in level with your blade reading red out the current information. This one was another great dish. Well that's our story and we hope you enjoyed it.

Marc's T.V. Talk,
Continued from page 7

ing new all-star show is ABC's The New Family Affair starring Woody Allen, Mie Farrow, and Soon Yee Farrow as daughter in "Boffy." Updating this original show theme of family values, the early episodes focus on the house after Boffy reveals to mother that she no longer wanted the baby. "Mr. French" is a better kiss than her with the prom ome. The show now gets the title of "Boffy," which is more realistic, and it is located right off Dupont Circle at 209 P Street. As a result, the recent reports of one of the most trendy wood-burning ovens I think that's what you call it that makes an oh-so-delicioous pizza. The ingredients are fresh (yes, FRESH) - no canned mushrooms and frozen splatih here. You if the Hogs or Mark Ryden should be and probably your date, away you'll like it here. I highly recommend anything with the spinach and the mushrooms, the best. And if you don't pronounce the "c", or "mushrooms" what can I say. The salads are only for the strong of heart and brave of taste buds (they come with flowers and stuff in them). The beer and wine selection is pretty cool. Fill up on the pizza, don't worry about saving room for dessert - they tend to be alcohol and somewhat pricey. For the mandatory that you're using to eat during the Olympics. The pizza may seem a little pricey, too ($14-$16, about $15 pizza). But ask anyone who's been there before and they will tell you that if you're going to spend all day in the snow then Michael's steak sells the ball. No lexicon should be so thin that you can't see the score in level with your blade reading red out the current information. This one was another great dish. Well that's our story and we hope you enjoyed it.
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International flavor to the original, the show featured third world contestants bidding for the title, large waves, large em- ployed nuclear scientists. Reining the states, returning champions have the opportunity to advance to the final round for the grand prize of a complete strategic nuclear deliv- ery system.

Reactions to the republic's renewed interest in things military caused by the Gulf War. Fox is offering a revue of "M*A*S*H," the musical "The Rogers & Hammerstein Review" with all your favorite characters. Hawleye the "Joker" (I'm glad he had dandruff - he head and shoulders are all over the place). Margaret the careerist ("I'm evil, I care, I will, I will, I'll. Burnt the loser, she has to have lost Margaret, and I the Hilfiger war- heads), and Klinger the cross-dresser ("I can hide the rider target designated your purse.") was one I just couldn't get used to. Maybe Arnold Schwarzenegger doesn't make a good Hawkeye for us old- timers. Besides, what's so funny about Klinger when he no longer can get a section of his hair cut. No new season would be complete without a new cop show, and this year's hand downtown the gritty world of the Green- Vot. Shot on the mean streets of Detroit, and heroes are tough cops take on thugs, mur- ders, rapists, armed men and tag- ramers armed with nothing but their but their basic training and instinctive defense of life in today's urban battlegrounds, CBS is sure to score with this one.

No other show would be complete without a new cop show, and this year's hand downtown the gritty world of the Green- Vot. Shot on the mean streets of Detroit, and heroes are tough cops take on thugs, mur- ders, rapists, armed men and tag- ramers armed with nothing but their but their basic training and instinctive defense of life in today's urban battlegrounds, CBS is sure to score with this one.

Another show taking advantage of Russia's surplus television industry is the CBS revival of The Price is Right. Adding an

The Forum

The Forum v. Whodini Johnson II, cert. denied

Publish this statement

There is sufficient precedent to under- score the position of the Forum with respect to the re-re-re-enactment of Margaret and no further consideration is warranted. Unless he tries to break Sugar Ray Leonard's record for comebacks.

The focus for the remainder of this opin- ion will be the tension between team vs. individual sports.

America asserts that it is an individualis- tic society, that individually is what makes America. American athletes in fact are activities a society engages in reflects that society. Then this would lead one to hypothe- size that activities that are highly valued in America. Exactly, the converse is true. Four of the major sports in America are team oriented. (Golf is not classified as a sport. Citation on title) The characteristics of individual sports are superior in a variety of ways.

Individual sports teach the individual to become responsible for their own actions and accomplishments. If an individual makes a mistake occurs during the competition there is no other participant to blame nor is there an environment that is conducive to scapegoating. You cannot say that Johnny dropped the ball. John does the playing the wrong play. Shanaway blew his own coverage. The responsibility becomes focused on what you do, rather than what your team or coach did.

When two mistakes occur, one early in the game and another late in the game, the game is over. Compare this to the latter mistakes. This characterization is false and in individual sports becomes less significant be- cause the same person made both mistakes. Again focusing responsibility.

Accountability for the outcome is also magnified. Credit blame always goes to the individual. The player whose position is the exaggerated and unfair credit blame on one team member. Who can really say if Michael Jordan wouldn't have been MVP, or if the player's mistake. Throwing the ball away can be stretched to far-fetched proportions in team sports. The "coach won the game for us, he wears the kites' fault, I can't believe he hung at that pitch...." In individual sports, you're guilty if you get eaten by a single drop. If for some reason receiv- ing all the credit not just, is at least more justified than the credit distribution in the team concept, in losing the blame is distributed in a like manner. These facts about individual sports enhance accountability.

Very interesting it is that Hollywood. You have it all when a player in a team sport makes a mistake which deems to be his fault and unconscious yells at himself. This is inherently contradictory in team sports. The sincerity of such actions is questionable within that framework. Is she mad because she destroyed the ball or be- cause someone threw it too hard? Is she yelling at herself so the coach won't?

At some point if not throughout their life, every human being seeks the recog- nition of their own destiny. This is the core of why people engage in the rat race every day. This is why the Disney story of Aladdin is universal, popular. It is even rooted in some of the greatest evils known mankind. The megalomaniac Aladdin dream is based on, "You work hard, you will make it." Underlying that statement is you control your own des-tiny. The degree to which you control your own destiny, the more felicitous if there is any greater in individual sports which should then make them more ap- pealing to America.

Individual sports make a comeback for there own individual weaknesses and strengths. In team sports if there's a mistake you're looking then a teammate sometimes hides that mistake. The most blatant example of this is the Chicago Bulls. No inside scoring than Michael post up. No outside shooting then Michael shoots 3's. No defensive stopper then Michael steals the ball. No lexicon should be so thin that you can't see the score in level with your blade reading red out the current information. This one was another great dish. Well that's our story and we hope you enjoyed it.

The most compelling argument in favor of team sports is that America really is a team based society. I wonder what are the teams? I wonder the teams? The government the sanctions the_cutoff, the cutoff. That was the about full competition between the teams. The teams are not usually. All this is based on school is a high school is a, I, AA, et al., based on the size of the student population. Competition is limited to distinct team sports, which is fraught with unfairness.

Does America do the right thing?

Remanded for further consideration.

Randall Cunningham Update: "I imagine what I would have done, I would have said no I refuse to play for the sorry Cowboys he would have never seen the NFL." "Offensively I played better inferior. Over 30 sacks though...

Never had "the three amigos" or "the pose..."

"Calling the Eagles coach an average NFL coach would be a gross exaggeration. The Eagles owner a guy with a hands off approach, would have gone shopping for the hand's in Superbowl."

Ray and Sherrill have won exactly the same number of Superbowls.

"No Quarterback on a team that receives benching will ever see the podium in the NFL"

Publish X's quote to live by: "Thinking of playing definitely isn't a 34 inch waist or bigger "Inside my hand...I need money...I need to stick a kid up..." But now I learned to earn cause I'm righteous... I feel good..."
THE GEORGETOWN LAW REVIEW

Vol. III, No. 1: Examinations and Nothingness

By RANDY FURLONG

Howdy! Welcome to the ninth issue of THE GEORGETOWN LAW REVIEW. This issue is all about examinations and nothingness. Let's dive into the content and see what we can learn.

Very few loyal readers of THE GEORGETOWN LAW REVIEW have asked me what the RESTATEMENT OF PROPERTY was restating. The answer, obviously, is that, just as the RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF PROPERTY is a restatement of the RESTATEMENT OF PROPERTY, the RESTATEMENTS OF PROPERTY is itself a restatement of the basic body of property law in general. It is almost irresistible for humans to believe that we have some special relation to the universe, that human life is not just a

Obviously, he never read any of my "scientific" paper. (Actually, one time I told him on the phone about some results I got on genetic manipulation and the fermion mass problem and he said, "It sounds too good to be true." I personally find great solace and comfort in graceful failure.

Besides, Weinberg et al. are guilty of overindulging in the navel-gazing style of research. They are essentially writing a book on modern cosmology. The First Three Minutes: A Modern View of the Origin of the Universe

However, all these problems may be resolved, and whichever cosmological view proves correct, there is not much comfort in either one of them. It is almost irresistible for humans to believe that we have some special relation to the universe, that human life is not just a

JUDGE LEARNED HAND AND COUSIN
JUDGE AUGUSTUS N. HAND DEMONSTRATE THE EQUITABLE DOCTRINE OF UNCLENCH HANDS

MERT (FIRST) OF PROPERTY where you find, for example, SECTION 137, defining the jury system. Against Perpetuities:
(a) a life in being plus twenty-one years, or
(b) words to that effect, whatever the hell that means.

which is further discussed in the study aids endorsed by THE GEORGETOWN LAW REVIEW in anticipation of the upcoming exam period. EMANUEL J. RUSSELL'S LAW OUTLINES, GILBERT & SULLIVAN'S LEGAL OUTLINE AND SONGBOOK, and LAW ON THE HALF-SHELL (RAWLAW). For example, RAWLAW presents a pellicand discussion by the inimitable Hand Cousins on the equitable doctrine of Uncle Ho.

Many readers of THE GEORGETOWN LAW REVIEW may be contemplating the meaning of life or whether life has a mean...

UNG or indeed ANY meaning at all, especially when exams are just around the corner. Therefore, this issue of THE GEORGETOWN LAW REVIEW will consist of one note, excerpted from my forthcoming pop-psychology book: Extremes and the Art of Being.

"I'm OK, You Need a Lawyer!"

Many brave existentialists, like Bertrand Russell and Albert Camus, decry the absurdity of the human condition, our all-too-brief trip from the womb to the tomb, and would probably tend to agree with Nobel Laureate physicist Steven Weinberg's somewhat bleak assessment toward the end of his popular book on modern cosmology, THE FIRST THREE MINUTES: A MODERN VIEW OF THE ORIGIN OF THE UNIVERSE.

What is demanded of man is not, as some existential philosophers teach, to endure the meaninglesslessness of life but rather TO BEAR HIS INCAPACITY TO GRASP ITS UNCONDITIONAL MEANINGFULNESS IN RATIONAL TERMS. Emphasis mine.

Believe me, I’ve been there and back. I know the score. I’ve journeyed out to the Frontiers of Knowledge and stared over the edge into the abysmal depths of ignorance (some would say that I fell over the edge in my quest for the Holy Unified Theory. Just About Everything in High Energy Particle Physics, and I can personally attest to my own incapacity to grasp UNCONDITIONAL MEANINGFULNESS even in IRATIONAL terms.

But, of course, none of this directly addresses the issue of how best to prepare for the upcoming examination period. A service to its readers, THE GEORGETOWN LAW REVIEW presents the following tips for successful exam survival:

1) If you work and your place of business callsously schedules its Holiday Party in such a way that it conflicts with one of your final (or midterm) exams, talk to your professor to try to get him to futilely try to reschedule the exam, and then go to the Holiday Party anyway, but drink in MODERATION so that you will at least be able to find the exam room sometime before the end of the exam.

2) If you happen to use, for example, THREE exam books (remember, THREE is a prime number. In fact, the first ODD prime number) please ignore the advice of the Video Exam Guy and number the books as follows: 1 of 30", "2 of 30", and "3 of 30" (NOT "not". Obviously, the savvy student would really number the books -1 of 30", "2 of 30", and later claim that her professor had clumsily lost 27 of the exam books whereas were written the RIGHT answers!

3) Nothing psyched out our fellow pre-classmates more than wheeler's ego into the exam room and pouring yourself a nice tall cocktail of soda to take with you to your seat. The psyche-out effect is enhanced if you come into the exam room 30 minutes or so earlier.

As an added bonus service to our readers, THE GEORGETOWN LAW REVIEW employed a crack staff of house hackers that succeeded in getting advance copies of ALL the exams to be given this December, and we are proud to publish them here, along with sample answers that our panel of specialists, editors-in-chief have prepared that you are all — welcome to use freely.
Res Penders

OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR

Tuition Matters

Spring Semester tuition is due in full on January 8th. Tuition statements will be sent to all registered students in early January. Spring registration for upperclass and graduate students with an outstanding fall tuition account will be held on November 25th unless the fall tuition is paid in full.

1993 Prospective Graduates

Initial lists of prospective February and May graduates are posted on the bulletin boards outside Room 202 regarding the following policies:

1. 24-hour exam conflict
2. Deferred exam, and
3. Use of typewriter/word processor during examinations.

Last Call For Exam Conflicts

Upperclass and graduate students who have not petitioned for relief from an exam conflict should do so at the Office of the Registrar immediately.

Dates To Remember

Information for November 25—Thanksgiving Holiday Saturday, November 28 No classes meet Saturday, December 1—Last Day of Classes

The Office Of The Registrar will be open on the following Saturdays and Sunday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. during the examination period for pick-up and return of take home exams. Consult exam schedule for due date for individual exams.

OFFICE OF CAREER SERVICES

Opportunity in Eastern Europe:

The Civic Education Project will hold an information session on Wed, Dec 2, from 12:00 noon to 1:00 pm in the Career Services Lounge. The organization assists Central and Eastern European universities in the development of their social science departments. Teaching positions for the 1993-94 academic year are offered for scholars in law, as well as in political science, economics, sociology, and international relations. The universities are in Bulgaria, Hungary, Romania, Ukraine, Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia, and the Czech and Slovak Federal Republics. Instructors design undergraduate level courses according to the needs of the host institutions and teach classes limited in size to 25 students. All CEP courses are taught in English. More Information and an application are available in the Office of Career Services or by writing to: CEP, P.O. Box 5445 Yale Station, New Haven CT 06520.

Presidential Management Intern (PMI) Program:

The U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is accepting applications from the Class of 1993 for the prestigious Presidential Management Intern (PMI) Program. The PMI Program provides persons of superior talent and ability for management positions in the Federal Government. It aids in the Federal Government's ongoing goal of creating a highly qualified and diverse Federal workforce. Students who are committed to a public service career and are interested in applying to the PMI Program must secure a nomination form their graduate institution. Each advanced degree-granting program may nominate up to 25% of its current class. Applications are due Dec 1. More information is available in the Office of Career Services.

Career Alternatives:

The third monthly career alternatives roundtable discussion, scheduled for Wed, Dec 2 at 3:30 pm in the Career Services Lounge. The organization assists Central and Eastern European universities in the development of their social science departments. Teaching positions for the 1993-94 academic year are offered for scholars in law, as well as in political science, economics, sociology, and international relations. The universities are in Bulgaria, Hungary, Romania, Ukraine, Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia, and the Czech and Slovak Federal Republics. Instructors design undergraduate level courses according to the needs of the host institutions and teach classes limited in size to 25 students. All CEP courses are taught in English. More Information and an application are available in the Office of Career Services or by writing to: CEP, P.O. Box 5445 Yale Station, New Haven CT 06520.

U.S. Attorney Summer Positions:

The U.S. Attorney's Office, Northern District of Illinois, is seeking applicants for their summer program. Application forms, which are available in Career Services, and resume are due by Nov 30.
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Marc's T.V. Talk

Continued from page 9

Cable T.V. is also trotting out some innovative new shows. Reacting to new FCC Rules prohibiting nudity on cable, the Playboy Channel is offering Leave Out The Beaver. The show takes an new approach to erotic art by having beautiful models describe themselves dancing nude to a live audience.

Court T.V., feeling the need to offer more entertainment on the air, is premiering Court Comics Live for the 1993 season. Comedians slated for the first show include Anton "Nino" Rascall ("I've 60,000 fully consenting adults crowded into the Hoosierdome to display their genitals to one another...") Barney v. Glen Theatre, 111 S Ct 2456 L 1991), The Fabulous Jackson "J." ("...used with some of the semen reminders one has attached to Santa Claus, or Uncle Sam or Easter bunnies or disbelief judges...") U.S v. Ballard, 322 U.S 78 (1941), "Howitzer" Winter ("...Because of the alcohol in the..." "Blazing Alcohol")r ("...the product was an attractive possibility for beverage purposes for me..."), Barney v. Litton Industries, 659 F 2d 1194 (4th Cir. 1977), and newcomer Jimmy "D" Palmi ("...After an extensive close-up review of the record and excellent authorities...") Hurting Chunks, A Showcase and a Miss, "removal... is untenable and is a defeat deemed way Improvident", Prime Time Charters v. Bradford Marine, 789 F. Supp. 296 (S.D.FI. 1992) With host Susan Day ("...my tooth is playing music for me..."), Court Comics Live promises to be an exciting treat.

With all these new shows on the air, it certainly looks to be a year of fierce competition on the airwaves. One can only hope that we GULC students can resist the temptation of becoming couch potatoes and keep on hitting the books.

by Larenbaum

My Thanksgiving Prayer:

In 1492, Columbus sailed the ocean blue, and that's when the trouble began. When Europeans came to the New World, in search of gold and the East, and not finding it, they decided to improve. So they killed the indigenous population "Indian" and used menials about how ugly and short they were, as they raidied many anything valuable they could find in the name of the Crown. In Jamestown and Plymouth, the Europeans soon realized that the "Indians" knew how to cook food and survive a winter. So they became business partners. Once the Europeans mastered the native agricultural tricks and began making money on the tobacco and cotton trade, they decided that the "Indians" were in the way of their manifest destiny, and offered them a deal: Either leave the land or we will kill you. So the Europeans embarked upon a government-sponsored program of genocide and destruction against the native "Indians" which continues to this day, affinity more subversively now that killing "Indians" is recognized as murder. After the madness, though, the European conquerors felt a final guilt about the whole thing, so they named rivers, mountains, valleys, trees, cities, bodies, cars, and football teams after the culture they had all destroyed. And they created a holiday, Thanksgiving, to wipe the entire race under the rug so children would think that our society is moral and thankful to the beloved Native Americans.

We basically give thanks for nothing more than a long winter with good food and a hot stove in our mouths.
To Our Friends and Readers:

Wishing you and your loved ones all the best in the approaching holiday season; we hope that the upcoming year brings you joy and peacefulness, good grades, a nice return on your investments, paper extensions, sunny days, a good haircut, health, happiness, love, courage, kinky sex, cold beer, warm fuzzies, comfy shoes, exciting professors, job offers, milder hangovers, smiling children, puppies and kittens, backrubs, phone calls from old friends, good times with new friends, a hand to hold, a shoulder to cry on, and of course, WORLD PEACE.

From the Law Weekly Editors—Larry Renbaum, Gabe Gonzalez, Wendy Kilbride, Jim Black, Suzanne Maltais.

P.S. The next deadline for submission is Thursday, January 14, 1993 by 12 noon in room 161.