By TAMMY PIETE

Georgetown University Law Center faculty will lace up their sneakers and go head-to-head with members of Congress in the sixth annual Home Court basketball game to benefit the Washington Legal Clinic for the Homeless. The on-court battle between the “Hoya Lawyers” and the “Hill’s Angels” will take place at the Gonzaga High School Gym (19 1 Street, NW) on Wednesday, March 24, at 7:30 p.m.

Law professor and coach of the “Hoya Lawyers,” Tom Krattenmaker, jokes that the faculty’s involvement in Home Court is “not because of our athletic ability—because we have none.” Krattenmaker is a loyal team player “because the game serves a good cause and creates a wonderful sense of community at the Law Center. It gives us (the faculty) the chance to show some respect for the extraordinary talents and ingenuity of the students who’ve put this event together.”

In addition to the game, there are many other festivities planned. Home Court will host a happy hour at the pub, with faculty serving as guest bartenders. Dean Everett Ballamy, Dean Wendy Williams, and professors Mike Gottesman will be serving the drinks on Thursday, March 11, proceeds to benefit Washington Legal Clinic for the Homeless.

A Home Court information table will be set up in the chapel starting on March 8. At the table, students can bid in a silent auction for a chance to test out the “Hoya Lawyer” team for themselves. The winners will take on the faculty in a game on Sunday, March 21.

A carnival and auction will take place on March 24, 1993, the day of the game, at the Georgetown Law Center. It is a chance for the community to support the Washington Legal Clinic for the Homeless as well as indulging in the fun of carnival games and live entertainment.

Barristers’ Ball, Graduation, Etc.

By RAJIV PARISH

Having missed my column for a few weeks now, I felt the need to share the important and fascinating information that has piled up in the meantime regarding our “lives” here at GULC. Before I get to that, though, I would like to take this opportunity to address all those people who approached me after my last column thinking that it was a pitiful plea for a date for the Barristers’ Ball. NOT TRUE! This is the column where I’ll do that. Just kidding, because as many of you now know and, hopefully, understand, a date is not necessary in order to have a great time at the Ball.

The Ball is being held this year at the French Embassy on Saturday, March 13, from 9:30 p.m. to 2:00 a.m. NOTE: We mean the Embassy, not the Residence—we will not have the Ambassador’s family traipsing through in the middle of the big dance. Tickets are $23 and include hot and cold food (although it will not be enough to feed you dinner, trust me), an open bar for beer and wine, and much music and merriment (hopefully more merriment than music, but who knows). Last year, there were over 700 people at the big dance and we hope to do about the same this year. Tickets will be sold in front of the chapel (conveniently located by the money machine) until Thursday, March 11. THEY WILL NOT BE SOLD AT THE DOOR!

GRADUATING STUDENTS! Don’t forget that the attention of none, because those not graduating will skip this and those who are graduating are already daydreaming and don’t need this paper to get them through class. But some tentative plans have been made regarding Commencement Weekend. It looks like the Graduation Gala will be held on May 26, from 8-12 p.m. Tickets will probably be $25 in advance and $30 at the door. Apparently, there will be enough food to constitute a light buffet, rather than really simple fare. Please look for more announcements regarding this evening, soon!

There has been talk of wearing a T-shirt for the graduating class to commemorate our amazing achievements. If you are interested in helping with the design or marketing, please leave a message with your name and phone number in Cathy Pew’s folder.

In the same vein, the Graduation Committee will be soliciting students to submit speeches to give at their section ceremonies. There will be an intensive advertising blitz sometime soon for this, but be forewarned! By the way, how many are going to graduation this year, given its late date? And if you are going, are you planning to stay for the reception following the ceremonies (where you will have the once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to mingle with professors, most of which didn’t give the time of day while you were a student, but now that you are an alumnus, can’t wait to discuss your views on the legal profession and the direction it is taking)?

SBA ELECTIONS! Quite an eye-catcher, huh? Okay, maybe not, but it is an important issue. I realize that many of you don’t know what SBA does, (and looking back on my year, I’m not quite sure, either) and although there are definitely times when it feels as though the position is simply time-consuming, without the usual attendant rewards, I think it is important that the students at GULC stay active and try to be involved with what happens at the law school. Concerns such as exam feedback, funding for student groups, and, yes, social activities, need to be addressed by those affected most, the students. So please run for a position—if you didn’t like what we did this year, you can do something about it! Look for the relevant info elsewhere in this issue, but the candidacy forms and info are available in the SBA office (rm. 171).

I’m about out of information, except that the administration people are working on getting the pool table back, so please don’t harass me in the hallways about it—share your frustration, I share your pain.
Letters to the Editor

Some Final Thoughts

To The Editor:

welcome Mr. Martin’s response in last week’s Law Weekly; I would like to think that the candid exchange of opposing viewpoints is what law school newspapers are all about. At the risk of belaboring the point, I, too, would like to respond briefly.

LACK OF EVIDENCE. Mr. Martin decries my lack of “evidence” to support my view. I have three responses. First, I tried to use what is essentially common human experience to support my main points: that sexual attraction does, in the main, make people behave differently; that very close loving conditions combined with sexual attraction can lead to discomfort and complications; and, that given the foregoing, the presence of sexual attraction (or the perception of it) is incompatible with military command relationships. These are factual assertions about common human experience, and people can either accept or reject them based on whether their own personal experiences and sense accord with them. I suspect that many might find some common ground.

Second, if it is hard data rather than common experience that is required, numerous recent media reports invariably show that military personnel vehemently and overwhelmingly disapprove of the allowance of overt homosexuals in their ranks. This “fact” in turn supports the inference that the introduction of overt homosexuals into the ranks will have a negative effect on troop morale.

Third, Mr. Martin falls prey to his own criticism. For example, Mr. Martin states that it is a “fact” that many in the armed forces “don’t give a damn about the sexual orientation of their shipmates.” Does simply declaring that this assertion is a “fact” raise it to the level of “evidence”? But what of the “fact” of the above-mentioned media reports on the widespread resistance to lifting the ban among armed services personnel? Mr. Martin says that he “does not believe that the vast majority of those in the military are as violently opposed to homosexuality as the press would have you believe.” Not only is Mr. Martin’s “belief” not, it would seem, “evidence,” but it flies in the face of rather overwhelming contrary evidence. Or is Mr. Martin suggesting that the media has an anti-gay bias?

COST. I disagree with Mr. Martin’s assertion that the cost of discharging personnel, in and of itself, is a justification for lifting the ban. Any such costs are an ancillary issue. If allowing overt homosexuality in the military would have no impact on combat effectiveness, then the policy of exclusion should be discarded because it would be unjustifiably discriminatory, regardless of the costs of administrative discharges. If overt homosexuality does have a deleterious affect on combat effectiveness, then the cost of administrative discharges is far outweighed by the risks to soldiers, whose lives necessarily hinge on the combat effectiveness of their units. Let us not forget that people die and are maligned for life in combat, and that this human “cost” can be said to exceed any monetary losses.

MILITARY TRAINING. While it is true that I may have an infantryman’s biases, I do not think I go too far when I claim that military training—from boot camp to more advanced training—seeks to remove much of what could be called “normal, civilian human interaction.” This is not to suggest—and I did not do so—that all human emotion is eliminated; that is certainly not the case. But it is to say that imposition of hierarchical military authority upon formerly civilian recruits requires that their prior concepts of relationships and interactions be radically transformed. To a private, corporal and sergeant can never be “peers” or “friends” in the civilian sense of those words; first and foremost, they are superiors whose orders must be obeyed unequivocally. The military aspect of their relationships predominates. This, in my experience, is a “fact” of military life.

ENLISTED TROOPS. Finally, I do not want my comments about enlisted troops to be misconstrued. I couched my language in terms that I hoped that those exposed to law school but unexposed to military life would understand. I certainly did mean to imply that they are “backward,” as Mr. Martin suggests. Let me be absolutely clear: I have the utmost regard for those Americans who serve their country by enlisting in the military. The high quality of the American military is almost wholly attributable to the outstanding caliber of the soldiers and sailors who serve in the enlisted ranks.

—Mike Lilley

Dear Law Weekly...

Sorini Racist and Not Funny

To the Editor:

As a Third World (Iranian) woman, I found Marc Sorini’s article, “The Budget Battle: A Military Analysis,” blatantly racist and offensive. Firstly, Mr. Sorini labels all third world people as “third world yahoos.” My people are no “yahoos,” rather a people with a proud and strong civilization and heritage.

Secondly, Mr. Sorini jokes about the death and destruction that the United States has perpetrated against the Middle East and the people of that region. I suggest that he find another avenue of amusement and diversion, instead of wishing for renewed hostility towards the Third World. The death of thousands of innocent civilians (as witnessed in the Gulf War) can only become the subject of humor when the lives of Middle Easterners are not worth as much as those living in the “Great” Western Nations. For your information, Mr. Sorini, that is racism.

Haydeh Beheheghi
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An EJF Summer Assisting People with Disabilities

By JIM PITOSKY

To those of you who contributed to the Equal Justice Foundation in any way, thank you for granting me the opportunity to have worked for the Disability Law Center of the New York Lawyers for the Public Interest (NYLPI). EJF provided me with a fellowship to work as a summer legal intern for NYLPI, a non-profit public interest law firm. The passage of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) proved to be the center of controversy in 1991. The Center's goal is to "develop and implement a coherent legal strategy to ensure that the rights and opportunities embodied in the ADA are transformed into a living reality for the two and a half million New Yorkers with disabilities."

NYLPI presented an excellent environment in which to work. Because the staff members were diverse, they were informal in their dress and demeanor and they complemented this with expertise in and dedication for disability issues. These hardworking and committed people brought with them a sense of laughter and fun that is not often found in the workplace. They all looked forward to their work and to the opportunity to have an impact on behalf of people with disabilities. They brought that spirit to the office every day.

I was permitted to pick each research or advocacy project from a list of potential projects, because of the funding provided by a variety of interested cases.

One of the most memorable cases was one in which I represented a distraught client who had not been reimbursed by her insurance company for more than a year's worth of occupational therapy services. She would call at least once every day. I recognized the significance of this case to her, so I believed I could help her best if I listened patiently and then, the moment I hung up the phone, I would attack the case again. After filing through all the medical records and learning the meaning of the medical terms and the medical provider, I provided counsel, and without a clear occupational therapist with a massive series of questions that would speak to the insurance company's concerns and then I bombarded the insurance company with the new evidence. In the end, after full reimbursement for the client and, for the first time, she sounded free of anxiety.

Another major project required me to coordinate a survey of N.Y. employers' compliance with the ADA. The employment title of the ADA confronts all of the stated goals of the ADA—the assurance of equality of opportunity, full participation, independent living and economic self-sufficiency. Many people with disabilities are unemployed and have frequently faced barriers to gain employment. One of the things commonly used by employers to discriminate against people with disabilities has been discrimination in applications which have required pre-employment medical examinations and made inquiries as to an individual's abilities. Prior to the ADA, applications were presented with unwarranted and unfair options—either forgo the application entirely, undergo the indignity of a medical examination and the accompanying inquiries, with the possibility of being discriminatorily denied employment, or lie, only to be fired when discovered.

The ADA specifically prohibits pre-employment medical examinations or inquiries. In anticipation of the effective date of the employment provisions of the ADA, I reviewed the entry-level employment applications from twenty major companies in the New York Metropolitan Area for compliance with the ADA. Nine of the twenty companies violated the ADA. I was able to resolve these violations by working with the media to publicize the results of the survey, so we were able to initiate fair-practice revisions in employment applications and we were able to raise awareness of other New York employers as to the ADA's requirements.

Another significant project was one in which I wrote a brochure, to be distributed statewide, on the rights of New Yorkers to accessible handicapped parking facilities under the ADA and other disability-related laws.

I also assisted a group of parents, whose children were students in a school for the deaf. The parents wanted the school board, which was reviewing applications for a new school superintendent, to consider applications from individuals who were deaf. The parents were not denied, but that the selected superintendent be deaf, but they were requesting that the school board not exclude qualified applicants who were deaf. After the first meeting with the parents, some of whom were deaf, the sign language was, was late. As a result, I surprised the attorneys by filling in as the interpreter. Later, I investigated successful strategies by groups initiating a similar dialogue in other communities in the country.

Additionally, every other week, in consultation with a supervising attorney, I provided information and referrals to people who called our office in search of assistance. I had to ascertain the callers' problems and provide them with the necessary assistance. On several occasions, I was surprised by the success stories and histories and some of these desperately needed assistance. Some of the people with whom I spoke and assisted were: mothers of children in special education classes whom the mothers believed were not receiving an appropriate education; a postal worker who, because of one attack of epilepsy on his route, was compelled to retire one year shy of the point at which he would have received full retirement benefits; a woman with a multiple personality disorder, who was afraid to vote; and, an elderly woman who had not left her house in the years, who was being abused by her home care attendant. These are the kind of people with whom I sought to assist when NYLPI said I could do so.

Because of EJF, I was given the opportunity to make a difference in the lives of these people with disabilities.
First Annual Latino Moot C.t. Competition in NY

By MONICA PALACIO

A little over a week ago, some of the nation's leading Latino judges, attorneys, and students convened at Columbia Law School in New York. The National Latino Law Students Association (National LALSA) had organized and sponsored a national Latino Moot Court Competition, the first of its kind. The Honorable Juan Torruella of the U.S. Court of Appeals, the Honorable Sonia Sotomayor, the first Latina appointed to the federal bench in New York, and the Honorable John Carro, the highest ranking judge in New York State (Appellate Division) were among the jurists presiding over the competition.

Students from Boston to L.A. attended, with a total of twenty-five two-person teams competing in the event. Tulane, University of Southern California, Harvard, Michigan, Yale, Southern Methodist University, and Columbia were some of the law schools represented at the event. The students arrived in New York for the February 27-28 weekend prepared to make oral arguments and wrestle with the issue of U.S. citizenship for persons from Puerto Rico. Teams had submitted written briefs in January and were judged based on both written and oral advocacy skills during a total of six rounds.

With an increasing number of Latino lawyers and law students succeeding in the legal world, this type of event was long overdue. Judge Sotomayor highlighted the importance of the event, stating: "I am very pleased that the Latino legal community is being brought together in such a prestigious format. The educational format of a moot court competition is invaluable aid to our community."

The Puerto Rican Bar Association, the Association of Hispanic Judges of New York and the Hispanic Federation of New York are some of the public sector institutions that set up in support of National LALSA for Moot Court. Several corporations, including AT&T, Chase Manhattan Bank, Reebok and Lexis contributed to the competition, as did New York's top law firms, such as Milbank Tweed, LeBoeuf,lovewalker, McCarter & English, and Teitelbaum & Hiller.

National LALSA is a non-profit, student-run organization of Latinas and Latinos dedicated to increasing the recruitment, retention, and ongoing success of Latinos in the legal industry. With over forty member schools, it serves as a network and forum for Latinos and legal issues confronting Latino communities in the United States. Next year's Latino Moot Court Competition is already in the planning stages. For more information leave a note in the La Allianza mailbox in the office of Students Affairs.

NEWS TO ME

By GABE GONZALEZ

It's not been a very pleasant seven days for America. The trouble started last Friday when a bomb exploded in a parking lot beneath the World Trade Center, setting off fires and forcing the evacuation of the Twin Towers as well as the Vista Hotel. Evacuating the 110-story Twin Towers, which at the time were inhabited by over 50,000 people, is not something that one practices in a fire drill. And, yet, quite amazingly, the casualties and injuries were kept low. Five people who were in the area underground near the bomb blast died. Two people were still missing. And, about 1,000 people were injured, most from smoke inhalation. All in all, it could have been much worse. The rescue and emergency services of New York City, who I would imagine haven't had much experience with disasters of this magnitude, proved their mettle. While several people have been arrested, many questions still remain: Why was the bomb put there? Is this the first of many such attacks? Going from an unexplained act to an unresolved one, America next turned its attention to Waco, Texas, where by Sunday, 33-year-old Vernon Howell, the leader of the Branch Davidians (a group formed 60 years ago by former Seventh-Day Adventists), was waging war with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms (ATF). Howell (who believes he is Jesus Christ) and his group had apparently been tipped off that the ATF was coming to search the premises, so when the ATF arrived, they were met with an onslaught of firepower that left four agents dead and sixteen wounded. In the ensuing days, Howell has released over twenty children and two women, but the standoff continues. Many families with such operations have criticized the ATF's initial move, but, c'mon, they had to move soon, otherwise the situation would have just worsened. Anyway, Howell has now said he will surrender, but he has yet to do that. Meanwhile, in a truly low move, Hollywood producers have already begun their attempts to secure rights to the story. Stay tuned...

On the other side of the world, the U.S. cut its losses, last Tuesday, After three days, the air drops to remote parts of eastern Bosnia were indefinitely suspended although Clinton later reversed Aspin's decision. The problem with the air drops became clear, quite quickly: the areas we thought were Muslim villages were now actually controlled by the Serbs, so the Serbs were the ones intercepting our relief packages. You'd think they were too busy with all their other atrocities to have time to intercept these relief drops, but I guess not. Isn't it time to get that war crimes tribunal up and operating, already? In another reversal, the Clinton Administration defended, before the Supreme Court, the Bush Administration's policy of forcibly returning Haitians that they encountered on the high seas. Candidate Clinton had previously said the New York appeals court "made the right decision" when, in McNary, it held that the Bush policy violated U.S. immigration law as well as international treaty obligations. What a difference an election makes. The official Clinton line is that they are concerned that changing the policy would result in a mass exodus from Haiti that would result in loss of life at sea. Besides the fact that we could facilitate things so that people wouldn't have to endanger themselves on the high seas, I have two questions: why aren't they concerned about mass exoduses from Cuba, and why do they think people are so desperate to leave Haiti?... Finally, the Supreme Court, last week, also agreed to hear a case on sexual harassment, Harris v. Forklift Systems. The Court is being asked to decide which test should be used in sexual harassment cases. Does the woman prove she was offended by conduct that would have offended a reasonable victim (not my word, or must she prove that the harassment led to "severe psychological injury," a much higher burden? Dismissal of the case was previously upheld by the 6th Circuit, using the latter test, because it felt Harris had not demonstrated that the misconduct had led to serious psychological injury. A decision is expected in 1994.
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The Law Weekly will print anything... so write something... Please.
Hoyas Looking N.I.T. Bound

By JUSTIN BROOKS

This has been a frustrating season for Hoyas fans, but try being one of the most highly sought after high school players in the country, coming off two consecutive state championships, trying to follow the legacy of three of the premier Big men in the N.B.A., working as hard as he can, and losing, and you'll know how it feels to be Othella Harrington. The consensus high school All-American and Mississippi player of the year came to Georgetown to win, but so far has spent the majority of his freshman year trying to figure out what's broke with the Georgetown Hoyas.

It was not as clear cut what went wrong in the last home game against St. John's. Most of the games this season have been typified by the Hoyas falling behind in the first half and scrambling at the end. Against St. John's, the Hoyas once again displayed poor shooting, shooting 12-34 (35.3%) in the first half against St. John's, 11-21 (.524), but the Hoyas got more shots with Harrington and Owen controlling the offensive boards. Halfway through the first half, the Hoyas were down 23-18 but Churchill hit a couple of free throws and a three point shot to put the lead at 18-16 for the Hoyas. After a series of free throws, the Hoyas were up 32-31 at the half.

The Hoyas came out shooting in the second half as Joey Brown got the first field goal. The teams then traded baskets and the game was close until St. John's broke open a nine-point lead of 45-36 led by Sergio Luyk's three point shooting and David Cain's expert ball handling. Othella then cut the lead to seven on a beautifully executed alley-oop and St. John's tried a little alley-oop of their own which resulted in a turnover. Othella then hit a turnaround bank in traffic with 11:28 to go and cut the lead to five, 40-35. In the final ten minutes the Hoyas made some good plays but couldn't get the breaks. Joey Brown drew a charge perfectly, Churchill made a great move to the basket, and Othella had some big inside moves. With 7:44 to go, Othella went to the line and actually had his second free throw tipped in by a St. John's player. However, with 6:00 to go, Othella and Churchill looked like they were playing volleyball on one series as they each lipped the ball twice back to the basket without success. St. John's showed that they can close a game and with some great leadership from Cain, St. John's hit the critical baskets down the stretch and the Hoyas did not. St. John's won 61-56.

After the game, John Thompson was quiet, but once again as he has done all season, he talked about how his team's chances were going into the Big East tournament, he said "this team can be as good as we let it be." With only 10 Division IV wins, they are going to have to be very good to go anywhere but the N.I.T.

Does anybody really listen to the radio anymore? If it wasn't for the fact that I don't have a tape deck or a car (fortunately I don't even have a car, I borrow my brother's whenever I can) I would never listen to the radio. The radio waves of Washington are a boring wasteland of bland corporate influenced crap. Unfortunately, the same can be said for just about every other city in America. I can hear some of you saying, "Golly, that just isn't true. We have a great station back home that plays really boss stuff!" OK, I admit, there are some exceptions to the rule that all radio stations are lame, but even those stations have their moments of worthlessness. They can't help it; it's the nature of radio to be lousy.

Radio is a very strange business. The backstabbing competitiveness for jobs in radio makes the rivalry in law school look like a pillow fight. We all know that law students will go to great lengths to beat out their fellow students for a job, but usually they're fighting for a job that pays about $60,000 a year. Radio attracts people who will do damn near anything for a job that often pays about one-third of that. "Anything" includes working for free! I realize that many law students are also more than willing to work for free to get some "valuable experience," but are they willing to do it from midnight to 6:00 a.m., six days a week for five years, in Nowhere, South Dakota? I doubt it. Radio people on the other hand are very willing to do it, and they do it every day.

Radio is broken up into three market categories, Medium, and Large (pretty clever, huh?). Any station that has a strong enough signal to reach more than a million people is a Large Market Station. Small markets are very much like the station on Northern Exposure, and Medium is everything in between.

The primary difference for people interested in working in radio (particularly those in "DJs") is the amount of money the stations haul in. It's not uncommon for DJs at small market stations to make about five bucks an hour. In the large markets, radio giants like Howard Stern get six and seven figures. The process of going from a small market nobody to a big market Howard Stern is much like becoming a Supreme Court Justice. It can happen, but it sure ain't easy.

A few years ago a radio station in Los Angeles (the second largest market in the country) sold for over 80 million dollars. When a company (in that case the radio conglomerate Infinity Broadcasting) spends that much on one station they take certain steps to ensure that they'll get it all back. Unfortunately, it's those precise steps that make radio stations so lousy.

Radio operates on a vicious cycle. The stations attempt to give the public what it wants to hear, but the public doesn't know what it wants because it never gets to hear anything other than what the stations play. Thus, they think they want to hear the stuff the stations are playing. The stations push stuff that the public likes to make more money. To fully grasp the horror of the situation you need to understand the way a station operates. The following is a description of how players in the radio business and what their contribution is in determining the content of the broadcasts we are subjected to. They are listed according to the amount of power they have.

Days of the Sickest to the Most Successful. Basically this is the guy who oversees the entire operation of the station. He is supposed to be concerned with both the programming and the income of the station, but in reality, he usually doesn't care if the station plays nothing but Barry Manilow all day if it makes a profit doing so.

Advertising Director: Everyone at the station relies on this guy to sell enough ads to pay the bills. At a small market he will be out running around all day desperately trying to sell ads to local businesses. In larger markets the clients pretty much come to the stations. All the large market ad agents have to do is line the deals, take their cut, and do lunch (the rule of thumb at most stations is that all the expensive cars in the parking lot are owned by the advertising agents). The biggest problem with these guys is that they sell too much time. The more ads the less music we get to hear.

Program Director: He is responsible for the "sound" of the station. He hires the DJs and selects the music. The main problem with program directors is that they are paranoid. They know that one bad book (a "book" is a ratings report by a company like Arbitron) and they could be out on the street. (That's another thing I forgot to mention about radio—it's a pretty Continued on page 11
Weekly Crossword

"Crayola"

ACROSS
1. Joint
5. Rome's river
10. Baseball stats
14. _____ mater
15. Worship
16. Glee club member
17. Colorful pirate
20. _____ Paul
21. Pub offerings
22. Movie theater
24. Guarantee
26. Doc Severson, eg
28. Loch _____ monster
30. Wedding
33. Start
36. Bards
38. God:Latin
39. Thanks _____ !
40. Toothed wheels
41. Mild expletive
42. Building extension
43. Ms. Garbo
44. Root vegetables
45. Put it through the Maytag again?
47. California problem
49. Most sensible
51. Mad
55. Robin's pal
57. Norway's Capital
59. Breach
60. Woe is me
61. Colorful building
64. Traditional knowledge
65. Eagle's nest
66. Sea eagle
67. Army meal
68. William Butler
69. Doris & Dennis

DOWN
1. Black
2. McGovern
3. Gather
4. IBM competitor

10. _____ 16
11. _____ 19
22. _____ 23
26. _____ 27
29. _____ 30
30. _____ 31
31. _____ 32
36. _____ 37
37. _____ 38
40. _____ 41
44. _____ 45
47. _____ 48
50. _____ 51
51. _____ 52
52. _____ 53
53. _____ 54
56. _____ 57
57. _____ 58
58. _____ 59
61. _____ 62
62. _____ 63
63. _____ 64
64. _____ 65
65. _____ 66
66. _____ 67
67. _____ 68
68. _____ 69

5. Follows multiplication
6. A French idea
7. Brag
8. Be wrong
9. Colorful soldiers
10. Gilda
11. Colorful mountains
12. Piece of news
13. By oneself:Latin
18. Ms. Black
23. Inflammatory suffix
25. Smallest whole number
27. Musical dramas
29. Velocities
31. Uncluttered
32. Supreme beings
33. Former heavyweight
34. Little girl in Paris
35. Colorful awards
37. Cereal grain
40. Colorful Wisconsin
41. Brain tests
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THE GEORGETOWN LAW REVIEW

Vol. IV, No. 2: The Raw Law of, Legal Johns

Howdy! Welcome to the fourteenth issue of THE GEORGETOWN LAW REVIEW. This issue encourages all of its faithful readers to buy their tickets to the upcoming BARRISTERS’ BALL, sponsored by their very own representative governmental body, the Student Bar Association, a.k.a. the SBA. As mentioned last week, the festivities will be held at the French Embassy’s MAISON FRANCAISE, over at 4101 Reservoir Road, not far from the main Georgetown University campus. The date to remember is Saturday, March 13, 1993 (CARPE DIEM—CAESAR THE DAY), and this year’s BARRISTERS’ BALL runs from 8:30 PM to 2:00 AM (which technically is March 14, 1993). Fine beers (Heineken, Kronenbourg, and Beaujolais) and FRENCH wines will flow freely from the OPEN BAR. Soft drinks such as WATERS by the bottle will also be available from the cash bar. GHT & COLD HORS D’OEUVRES will be on hand FREE of charge, as are the NAP-KINS, but beware, the do not grab a little bite to eat somewhere else beforehand and should have their head examined.

There will be plenty of wonderful music, provided by a PROFESSIONAL DJ, and bodys of opportunities to dance the night away and cut plenty of rugs. THE GEORGETOWN LAW REVIEW is still circulating the rumor that The Grateful Dead may make a surprise appearance at the BARRISTERS’ BALL and that they will be joined by President Bill Clinton playing the saxophone (we’re still basically saying anything).

We’re even willing to stoop to using these sleazy ball-and-switch tactics, but we only admit that the chances of this really occurring are so remote as not to even merit this disclaimer. All of this and more, for the incredible low, low price of $30.00 per person (the SBA is of course more than welcome to accept more, either on per person ticket per ticket for every person of all interest in making AN INTER VIVOS GIFT to the SBA). We’re also happy to accept reputable transferable tickets, which are becoming rare by the minute as ticket sales shoot through the roof, can be yours for a mere $23.00 per person), and remember—TICKETS WILL NOT BE SOLD AT THE DOOR! But your tickets RIGHT THIS SECOND from friendly SBA delegates sitting at a table on the first floor outside the library just waiting to sell you the opportunity of a lifetime, the chance to go to the 1993 BARRISTERS’ BALL (Black Tie Optional & No Data Required)—HURRY.BUY YOUR TICKETS NOW! (no tickets will be sold AFTERTHURSDAY, MARCH 11, 1993—unless you pay a lot more than $23.00 DIRECTLY TO ME, and then there’s still no guarantee that you’ll get a seat or ever see your money again).

THE GEORGETOWN LAW REVIEW

whole $15,000 a year in taxes. We’re supposed to believe this, regardless of the fact that the Feds can spend $15,000 on tanks in a small fraction of the time it takes Neil Bush to shout “Silverado!” In fact, John Marshall brought the suit against James McCulloch, a mere cashier in the Olney Branch of the Bank of the United States, because of an underhanded trick which McCulloch and other cashiers were pulling on naive, unproctected customers, such as the State of Maryland.

John (James) was president of the Johns of the American Revolution (JAR), forgoing the unlimited free checking. John (Marshall), being the type of guy he was (wiseasse and cheap), opened up a new account for the Johns with James (McCulloch).

James (McCulloch) was in the lead at the Olney branch in a scheme to get new accounts among cashiers to get new accounts. First prize was a two-week, all-expenses paid vacation to Hollywood, which the winner could take as soon as Los Angeles was established. The tellers got extra credit for getting the customers to choose the toaster over the free checking, so James kept a toaster at his window. It was a really easy sell, which would be able to toast four slices of bread to any desired shade chosen as soon as they were invented.

Well, John (Marshall) was quite happy, at least until the first statement came. Not surprisingly, the per-check charges amounted to something more than James (McCulloch) pulled on John (Marshall). He was furious! Marshall (John) told James (John) to hire some attorneys, and he would take care of everything else. John (James) went right to the best firm in town (Olney, that is)—John & John. The two attorneys, James John and Marshall John, worked with the Johns to develop a tax strategy for getting this whole back, as the Johns also had control of the Maryland State Legislature. The new law was on the books the next day and the Johns filed their case.

Well, the Bank of the U.S. knew it was no match for the Johns, but they decided to bluff and took the case all the way up through the Circuit Court. A panel of three judges, John McCulloch’s cousin, John Marshall (no relation) and John Bigboutte found in favor of the Johns. James (McCulloch) held a meeting with John (James) and John (Marshall), and their clients, Marshall (John) and James (John). In exchange for a ruling from the Supreme Court that said States could not tax the Bank of the U.S., the Olney branch would refund the $15,000, waive the per-check charges for two months, let John (Marshall) keep his toaster, and would furthermore give a free toaster to John (James) and John (Marshall), and their clients Marshall (John)—no relation—and Bigboute (John). McCulloch (James) already had a toaster, but was stuck with the per-check charges. The Johns were delighted with the free toaster, but held out for four months of waived per-check charges, and the Bank agreed.

The Johns went bankrupt in 1843, after racking up $1.2 billion in per-check charges. McCulloch (James) won that contest, but died in 1856, only three years before Los Angeles was established. Now that the vertical separation of powers has been exposed as the sham that it is, States will be free to tax the Bank of the U.S. whenever it uses fine print to dupe a customer into choosing the toaster over free checking for new accounts.
Take the "caterpillar becomes butterfly" theme of "Grease," add the "with enough practice with the person you secretly lust after, anyone can become a good dancer" theme of "Dirty Dancing," and throw in the "adults just don't understand" theme of "Footloose," and you have "Strictly Ballroom," the new Australian film that is hitting the art house circuit (well, actually, we saw it in Shirlington, which would hardly be seen as an art house).

The story begins and revolves around Scott Hastings, a promising ballroom dancer who, during one performance, "snaps" and does moves that are not "regulation." His partner freaks out because she knows they won't win now (kind of how Tal Babalonia felt when Randy Gardner got injured before the Lake Paul Olympics). They'd crossed that line from showing to showy.

What follows is quite amusing. In a mock documentary style, all those close to Scott are interviewed. Funnest of all is his mother, who looks sort of like Tammy Faye Bakker, Aussie-style. His mother, in fact, was herself a former ballroom dancer who now helps run the local dance school where Scott first flourished. She asks if maybe somehow she failed Scott as a mother, and deserves to be seen as selfless and stupid decision to dance non-regulation steps at the dance competitions.

Indeed, everyone at the dance school is concerned (I imagine that having a champion from the dance school would increase enrollment, but maybe I'm overestimating the demand for ballroom dancing schools in Australia). Now that his very pissed off partner has left him, who will his new partner be?

Enter Fran. Yes, Fran, the wallflower. Every movie of this kind has one. We, the audience, all know she's great, and we don't understand why the main protagonist doesn't see this, too. (Like in "Grease"—how could Danny not love Sandy?) Anyway, to its credit, this film doesn't drag this on for an interminable length.

Fran, who until then had yet to find a dancing partner at the dance school, catches Scott one night, trying out his uninhibited steps. Well, Fran dares him to teach her how to dance this way. (I wonder if Scott and Fran were based on those tradition-breaking ice dancers, the Duchesnays.) At first, he declines, but, before you know it, they are practicing night and day. Suddenly, Fran's face clears up, and, guess what, she doesn't need to wear those glasses to dance. Well, gofig, I guess that dance is more of a miracle worker than I thought it was. (But, of course, if that were true, Danny Terrio would still have a career.)

So, Scott and Fran are getting good, but, meanwhile, everyone else is forcing him to audition new dancers to be his partner. Of course, he finds something wrong with each and every one of them. His mother is getting very concerned. How could he not understand the gravity of the situation? Time is running out before the regional competition.

Little does Scott's mother know that Fran's father, who is from Spain, has been teaching Scott and Fran how to put some real emotion into their dancing. Inevitably, the emotion makes the dancers, as Scott and Fran fall in love.

But, the lovebirds don't live happily ever after, just yet. The head of the ballroom federation (another amusing character) confronts Scott, late one night, and "convinces" him that he owes it to his parents to dance regulation. So, Scott tries to conform for a while with his old partner.

In the end, though, the bad guys lose, and the good guys win. Scott's parents are happy, Fran's father and grandmother are happy, Scott and Fran are happy. Scott's ex-partner is happy, and, yes, the movie audience is happy, too. Mind you, though, this movie is not "Hows End"; it's merely a light, feel good movie, and sometimes that's more than enough.

I finally got it, today. I've been waiting many years for its arrival, and now the moment has arrived. Yes, I finally get the invitation to my ten-year by high school reunion. I'll bet there are a good number of people there who can relate to my situation.

You remember high school. Unfortunately, most of us here pray to God that no one from high school remember them. Brown corduroys, concert tee shirts, hair which was already too long to be fashionable but not long enough to give that devil-may-care look. Oh, yes, I cringe just thinking about those days.

GULC is just full of us ex-nerds (and even some closet nerds like myself). We were the ones who stayed home playing "Squad Leader" while the others learned quadrants. In gym class, we found our tastes more suited to swimming and lacrosse than basketball and football. We combed the record stores looking for bands like U-2 and R.E.M. while everyone else was listening to Zeppelin and Judges Priest from their room.

OK, confession time. While I never owned anything by Judas Priest, I did own the complete collection of Zeppelin. I also loved Van Halen and Jethro Tull, etc., etc. It's an important point however, as more of my friends were into their "nerdy" music that anyone who was anybody in high school would not be caught dead listening to.

In short, high school was not good for us. But now its payback time. I'm rehashing my lines of condescension already: "Mary Kay, I'm not familiar with that firm." I hear there are great management opportunities at Exxon, and hell, you gotta start somewhere." And my personal favorite: "So you got those tatoos after you were released, huh?" Yes, my post high school life gives me plenty of ways to inflict sweet revenge upon the tormenters of my youth.

Of course, some of you are saying, "but duds, I don't know of anyone who's pumping gas or selling cosmetics door-to-door." In that case, you probably grew up in some town like Weston (MA) or Beverly Hills or Deren (CT) and won't have the foggiest idea of what I'm talking about. You poor saps aren't going to have it easy at your reunion. At least 25% of the class has probably already made their first millions, won an Olympic medal or two, or slaughtered their family and had a TV movie made about them. This is still competition. Worse yet, if you're still in law school by the time you go to the reunion, there will be plenty of practicing lawyers to meet you at the gate.

Not to worry, however. The rich kids are immunized by their performance at the fifth reunion, when they had to explain why they were taking six years to graduate, why secretarial work seemed fine to them, or why the pregnancy absolutely, positively wasn't a mistake. Besides, all the law students they met at the fifth reunion were all really impressed that they still knew where to get some green bud and had the "cahones" to spark one up in the ballroom.

Hackensack High didn't have a fifth year reunion. I still suspect its because the designated committee chairperson wound up arrested before the invites could go out. Note my incorrect construction of the contradiction. It's, contrary to my editor's intentions, rant, and bile, an artistic device symbolizing the pathos of modern linguistic conventions and the oppressive nature of the English language. Besides, my spell check doesn't catch such mistakes. [Face it Marc, you're a lousy writer. -Ed.]

Still, I'm careful I don't get my hopes up too much for this reunion. One of the most frustrating experiences to be experienced is the overabundance level is so far above the people you're dealing with that they totally fail to recognize it. This happened to me at the wedding of my friend in lovely Moonachie, N.J., between the end of my summer abroad and the start of the new undergraded semester. These dudes I worked with bragged about their latest crack binges and thought listening to reggae meant "youse pay o sommit." Needless to say, I didn't get the respect due to the only person there who didn't dead or doing hard time by age 30.

Snobbery is usually not a pretty thing. Nor is revenge. Put 'em together, however, and nothing so sweet. Hell, a good lawyer can justify just about any impulse. Let me demonstrate: as the entire class is a big joke. Nor would anyone actually stand for building anything like the Gewizl student center unless they had a sense of humor.

I can just hear them in Dean Aren's office now: "I gotta a great one (guffaw), why don't we form a student police. students (gasp, yik-yik) into a big great dorm together!" "(Giggles) Yah, and we could put bars on all the doors so when..."

Continued on page 10
**A Tribute to our Jim Black**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>I MUST PAY ATTENTION, I MUST PAY ATTENTION...</strong></th>
<th><strong>I AM LISTENING, I AM LISTENING, I AM LISTENING...</strong></th>
<th><strong>I'M TAKING NOTES, I'M TAKING NOTES, I'M GETTING BORED</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="character1.png" alt="Character" /></td>
<td><img src="character2.png" alt="Character" /></td>
<td><img src="character3.png" alt="Character" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I'M LOOKING AROUND THE ROOM I'M LOOKING AROUND THE ROOM</strong></td>
<td><strong>I'M CHECKING OUT THE BABES, I'M HAVING WILD FANTASIES...</strong></td>
<td><strong>I AM WATCHING THE CLOCK, I AM THINKING ABOUT LUNCH...</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="character4.png" alt="Character" /></td>
<td><img src="character5.png" alt="Character" /></td>
<td><img src="character6.png" alt="Character" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I'M LISTENING TO MUSIC IN MY HEAD, MY BODY FEELS HEAVY...</strong></td>
<td><strong>EVERYTHING IS GETTING FUZZY, I CAN'T HEAR PROF ANYMORE...</strong></td>
<td><strong>I'M GETTING SLEEPY, I'M GETTING ZZZZZ...</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="character7.png" alt="Character" /></td>
<td><img src="character8.png" alt="Character" /></td>
<td><img src="character9.png" alt="Character" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By AMY UELMEN

A million young adults gathered in the same place to celebrate something hopeful and positive? It's not Woodstock, and it's not the 60s—it's World Youth Day in the 90s.

Pope John Paul II started the tradition in 1985 when he invited young people from all over the world to gather in Rome for a special celebration of their faith. The celebration continued: in 1987, one million gathered in Buenos Aires; in 1989, 400,000 gathered in Santiago de Compostella, Spain; and in 1991, more than a million in Czestochowa, Poland. In 1993, the appointment is set for Denver, Colorado. From August 11th to August 15th, young people from every nation will gather, as the Pope described, "to symbolize the living faith, or at least the most urgent questionings, of the world of youth from the five continents."

The program will include opportunities for discussion, prayer, and reflection in the theme "I came that they may have life, and have it to the full" (John 10:10). Addressing the young people preparing for the event, the Pope reflected on the life that "flowerishes in the gift of self to others... so that all can share in the gifts they have received, in a spirit of solidarity, especially with the poor and needy." In Denver, the young people will also share their experiences of trying to live up to the challenge of the radical Gospel message.

Because of the broad age span, there will be three tracks for the meetings and workshops—high school, college, and young adult. The program will also include concerts, dramatic performances, and intercultural exchanges which promise to make the gathering, as the Pope described, "a first step and a proposal of a new unity, a unity which transcends the political order but enlightens it."

As the hosts of the international event, young people from all over the United States are making fervent preparations. Many groups are planning to travel by bus or train, to make the trip to Denver a modern "pilgrimage" in which the journey itself reflects a common desire to work together for a more peaceful, more united world.

While World Youth Day will be a Catholic event, young people from other Christian denominations and other religions are invited as well. For more information, contact Amy Uelmen by dropping a note in her folder.

---

**SWITCH TO PIEPER**

**IT'S NOT TOO LATE!!!!**

Don't lose money because of a foolish mistake!!!! If you were lured into another bar review course by a slick sales pitch, there is a way you can get out now without losing money.

Pieper Bar Review will credit ANY MONIES put down with another course (up to $300). All you have to do is send in proof of payment with your Pieper application. It's that simple!!!

**So don't wait...call now!!!!!!**

*Offer ends April 16, 1993*

1-800-635-6569

Pieper Bar Review 90 Willis Ave. Mineola, New York 11501

---

**Nerds, continued**

anyone gets really crazy, the student can't even escape the pressure by jumping. "We can sucker in all those ner- vous suburban kids who drive us crazy by (hah, hah) trumpeting the safety ad- vantages of the place, but where do we put it?" "I got it, this one's great (guff- awl), let's put it next to the homeless shelter down the street (both break down in hysterics)."

Speaking of humor, what is a guff- awl anyway? Most other things used to illustrate mirth are verbs or ordi- nary usage, but you can't substitute guffaw for any of them. I mean, can one "guffaw out loud" or say "those immature idiots keep guffawing in class" or even, "reaching my climax, I let out a loud guffaw?" It doesn't work, and Black's Law Dictionary, not to mention the Blue Book, has noth- ing to say on the subject. But back for one last though on my tenth re- union.

The letter I got lists the Reunion Com- mittee Chairperson as a certain Evermarie Rubinacca, Folks, I could have picked her ten years ago. This is the type of person who was born to be the reunion com- mittee chairperson. One of that rare species of nerd who actually achieved some sort of popularity in high school while maintaining the highest standards of nerdism. Which brings me to the point.

I think it would be interesting to con- duct a secret ballot nomination for the most likely to be Committee Chairper- son award here at GULC. Since I'm not enough to pull it off, I may even try to save the results for the reunion in ten years (depending on response, we want a statistically accurate sample, of course). So if you have anyone in mind who'd be the person you see in the role ten years down the line, please leave a note in my box (that's Marc Sorini), at the Law Weekly Office, or just tell me. Don't forget (for all you slow people out there) to include your class.

Hope to see the notes rolling in. Besides, such an enterprise might actually give all of us something more interesting to think about than the law during the mid-semester del- drums.
More Res Penders

Office Of The Registrar

Your final examination for FIRST YEAR SEMINAR, all sections, has been scheduled for May 7th at 8:00 a.m.

Exam Conflicts
If you have a conflict, please contact the Office of the Registrar immediately. The office will try to accommodate your needs as best as possible.

Inclinent Weather Policy
In the event of inclinent weather conditions, the regular testing schedule will be adjusted.

1993 Prospective Graduate Students
A list of prospective May 1993 graduates is posted on the bulletin boards outside Room 7. If you are not listed, please contact the Office of the Registrar immediately.

Summer Fellowships Available in California Public Interest Organizations
The Dan Bradley Summer Fellowship provides five $2000 summer positions in California public interest organizations. The application deadline is Mar 11, 1993.

Environmental Career
Career Services is now subscribing to the weekly publication...Stop by our office and check out the first issue.

Summer-Fall Judicial Internship Available
H. Stephanie Duncan Peters has notified the Office of the Registrar that she is currently hiring two unpaid part-time judicial interns for the Summer and Fall of 1993. She prefers full-time, full-time students for this position. Please contact Sandra Davis at (213) 828-2245 or send detailed information to Recruitment Coordinator, Office of Alumni Relations, Grand Central Station, New York, New York 10017.

Second-Year Students Seeking Summer Employment
The Division of Enrollment and the Office of the General Counsel are seeking summer employment. Students with a summer position with their firm, please contact Sandra Davis at (213) 828-2245 or send detailed information to Recruitment Coordinator, Office of Alumni Relations, Grand Central Station, New York, New York 10017.

Secure and Exchange Commission Summer Honors Program
The Division of Employment and the Office of the General Counsel are seeking summer employment. Please contact Sandra Davis at (213) 828-2245 or send detailed information to Recruitment Coordinator, Office of Alumni Relations, Grand Central Station, New York, New York 10017.
Res Pendens

the federal securities law and take part in testimony sessions designed to give students the opportunity to develop investigative skills through hands-on experience.

The program is open to first and second year students. Second year students should have a B average in law review or moot court experience as well as in interest in the securities field. Send resume, transcripts, list of current courses and a brief writing sample to:

Summer Honors Program
Securities and Exchange Commission
Mail Stop 4-1
Washington, DC 20549

Attn: Margarita Brose, Esq., Division of Enforcement

Andrew Glickman, Esq., Office of General Counsel

Resumes are due by Mar 19; however, selections are made on a rolling basis beginning Feb 12. He recommends that you get your materials in early.

Hewlett-Packard

Will interview 100% LL.M.-Tax students on campus on March 25. Students must submit resumes by 12:00 noon on March 15.

The Small Firm Interview Program

Acoporative effort with The George Washington University National Law Center, will be held at GWU Law Center on Saturday, March 27. Students who submitted resumes for this program will be able to sign up their interview schedule on Mar 17 at the Office of Career Services.

Summer Internships with Legal Services of Northern Virginia, Inc.

Is currently seeking resumes from second year students interested in working this summer on issues relating to poverty. We have the need for 17 students to work in the areas of family, housing, elder, public benefit, and consumer law. We have no funds to pay students, but welcome volunteers and fellowship or IOLTA-funded positions. Interested students need to submit resumes no later than NOON, THURSDAY, MARCH 11. Students will be contacted the following Monday, March 15, and interviews will be scheduled for later that week.

S.I.P.L.A. Meeting

Tuesday, March 19, at 8:00 p.m., Room 207, meet five clerks from the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Hear how they got their jobs and what you can do to get a clerkship. Beer and pizza afterwards! Questions? Call Pat McBride at 202-547-5736.

Equal Justice Foundation Summer Fellowship

Students interested in applying for Equal Justice Foundation Summer Fellowships must attend at least one informational session on the fellowship program. The final two informational sessions will be held on Wednesday, March 15. One session will be held at 3:30 in room 110 and the other will be at 7:30 PM in room 141. Applications for the fellowships will be available at those meetings.

Summer Program in Florence

Don't forget! The application deadline for the Florence Program is April 1, but we can guarantee GULC students a space only if you apply soon! More non-GULC applications are coming in every day! Applications can be obtained from Molly Jackson in Room 401.

Ford Foundation Fellowship Program

The application deadlines for the Ford Foundation Fellowships are as follows:

1. JD Ford Foundation Summer Internship Program: applications are due Friday, March 12. There are several internship awards available. The Program guarantees intern a summer salary of $3,000. There is one overseas stipend available for $6,000. Preference will be given to second-year students.

2. The JD Ford Fellowship Program in International Law: Applications are due April 8. This is designed for prospective third-year students interested in public international law. The stipend is $1,250.

3. The JD/MIPS Ford Fellowship Program in International Law: Applications are due April 8. This is designed for prospective third and fourth year students with an interest in public international law. The stipend is $2,800.

For further information and applications, see Molly Jackson in Room 401.

The Georgetown Gilbert & Sullivan Society, the only theatre company with its own law school, celebrates its 20th anniversary with a gala production, "The Gilbert & Sullivan Spectacular," this incredibly original production, which boasts a unique "best of" selection from Gilbert & Sullivan's classic works, runs from Wednesday, March 17 through Saturday, March 20th in the Most Cour Room. Performance commences at 8:00 PM with tickets available for $5 (students and children in advanced), $8 (students and children at the door), $10 (non-students). Tickets may be purchased over the phone at the school or by calling (202) 995-9270. Moreover, half of the proceeds from Wednesday's performance will be donated to the Whitman-Walker clinic, an AIDS Service organization, which provides medical and other services for people dealing with HIV or AIDS. Don't miss this incredible opportunity both to assist a worthwhile cause and to take part in a one-of-a-kind performing event--an indescribable part of a great law school experience at a price you can't beat!

Registration for Next Year's Clinics

Students who are interested in taking a clinical course next year should begin to acquire information about Georgetown's ten clinical offerings. Clinic directors will present information about the courses at the Clinic Town Meeting which will be held on March 24th at 3:30, and on March 29th at 3:30 and 8:00 p.m. Students who are interested in clinics are encouraged to read about them in the bulletin, visit the clinic offices, and speak to the directors and the students currently enrolled and attend the information party on March 26th. Georgetown has the largest clinical program in America. Students should not miss this unique educational opportunity.

SBA Elections

Will be held on Wednesday, March 24, and Thursday, March 25, in front of the chapel. All GULC students are eligible to vote. Students will be selecting the SBA executive officers and class delegates for the upcoming school year. Please attend a Candidate Forum on Tuesday, March 23, where candidates will address students' questions.

SBA Elections

If you are interested in running for an SBA office or as an SBA delegate position, please pick up a Candidacy Declaration Form in the SBA office (Room 171). Forms are due back in the SBA office by Wednesday, March 17.

“The Future of Abortion in the Clinton Administration”

The Georgetown University Law Students for Life and the Women's Legal Alliance are co-sponsoring a debate on abortion titled, “The Future of Abortion in the Clinton Administration.” The event, which will be moderated by Dean Wendy Williams, will feature a medical, legal, and political expert from each side of the abortion issue. It will be held on Thursday, March 18, 1993, with a reception immediately following the event.